



COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Local Langlands correspondence and ramification for Carayol representations

Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart

Compositio Math. **155** (2019), 1959–2038.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X19007449](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X19007449)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865



Local Langlands correspondence and ramification for Carayol representations

Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart

ABSTRACT

Let F be a non-Archimedean locally compact field of residual characteristic p with Weil group \mathcal{W}_F . Let σ be an irreducible smooth complex representation of \mathcal{W}_F , realized as the Langlands parameter of an irreducible cuspidal representation π of a general linear group over F . In an earlier paper we showed that the ramification structure of σ is determined by the fine structure of the endo-class Θ of the simple character contained in π , in the sense of Bushnell and Kutzko. The connection is made via the Herbrand function Ψ_Θ of Θ . In this paper we concentrate on the fundamental Carayol case in which σ is totally wildly ramified with Swan exponent not divisible by p . We show that, for such σ , the associated Herbrand function satisfies a certain functional equation, and that this property essentially characterizes this class of representations. We calculate Ψ_Θ explicitly, in terms of a classical Herbrand function arising naturally from the classification of simple characters. We describe exactly the class of functions arising as Herbrand functions Ψ_Ξ , as Ξ varies over the set of totally wild endo-classes of Carayol type. In a separate argument, we derive a complete description of the restriction of σ to any ramification subgroup and hence a detailed interpretation of the Herbrand function. This gives concrete information concerning the Langlands correspondence.

Contents

1	Classical Herbrand functions	1966
2	Certain simple characters	1973
3	Functional equation	1978
4	Symmetry and the bi-Herbrand function	1989
5	Characters of restricted level	1993
6	Variation of parameters	2005
7	The Herbrand function	2011
8	Representations with a single jump	2016
9	Ramification structure	2021
10	Parameter fields	2028
	Acknowledgements	2036
	References	2036

1. Let F be a non-Archimedean, locally compact field with residual characteristic p . Let \mathcal{W}_F be the Weil group of a separable closure \bar{F}/F . For a real variable $x \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{R}_F(x) = \mathcal{W}_F^x$

Received 29 May 2017, accepted in final form 10 April 2019, published online 6 September 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 22E50, 11S37, 11S15 (primary).

Keywords: local Langlands correspondence, cuspidal representation, simple character, endo-class, ramification group, Herbrand function, Carayol representation.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2019.

be the corresponding ramification subgroup of \mathcal{W}_F and $\mathcal{R}_F^+(x)$ the closure of $\bigcup_{y>x} \mathcal{R}_F(y)$. We use the conventions of [Ser68] here, so that $\mathcal{R}_F(0)$ is the inertia group \mathcal{I}_F and $\mathcal{R}_F^+(0)$ is the wild inertia group \mathcal{P}_F in \mathcal{W}_F . If \mathcal{G} is any of this list of locally profinite groups, $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ will denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible, smooth, complex representations of \mathcal{G} . We shall be concerned with the *ramification structure* of certain $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$, that is, the structure of the restricted representations $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(x)}$ and $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(x)}$, for $x > 0$.

On the other side, let $\mathcal{A}_n^0(F)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible, cuspidal, complex representations of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, $n \geq 1$, and set $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_n^0(F)$. For $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$, write $\mathrm{gr}(\pi) = n$ to indicate $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n^0(F)$. Such a representation π contains a *simple character* θ_π in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ [BK93] and, up to conjugation, only one [BH13]. The *endo-class* Θ_π of θ_π is therefore uniquely determined by π . Let $\mathcal{E}(F)$ denote the set of endo-classes of simple characters over F . (For the notion of endo-class, see [BH96] or the summary in any of [Bus14, BH03, BH13].)

Denote by $\pi \mapsto {}^L\pi$ the Langlands correspondence $\widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$ [HT01, Hen00, LRS93, Sch13]. Writing $\sigma = {}^L\pi$, the fine structure of the endo-class Θ_π and the ramification structure of σ determine each other [BH17, 6.5 Corollary]. The relationship is expressed via a certain *Herbrand function* Ψ_{Θ_π} attached to the endo-class Θ_π . In this paper we consider a particularly interesting class of representations, comprising what we call *Carayol representations*. We compute the associated Herbrand functions. We list the functions which arise as Herbrand functions. We interpret the results in terms of the ramification structure of the associated Galois representations, from which we extract information about the Langlands correspondence.

2. We review the background from [BH17] with as little formality as possible. If $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$ and $\sigma = {}^L\pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$, the endo-class Θ_π determines the restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{P}_F}$. More precisely, σ defines an element $[\sigma]_0^+$ of the orbit space $\mathcal{W}_F \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_F$, namely the orbit of irreducible components of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{P}_F}$. The Langlands correspondence induces a canonical bijection ([BH03, 8.2 Theorem], [BH14b, 6.1])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(F) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_F \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_F, \\ \Theta &\longmapsto {}^L\Theta \end{aligned} \tag{A}$$

by

$$[{}^L\pi]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta_\pi, \quad \pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F.$$

Results developed in [BH96, BH99, BH03, BH05a, BH05b, BH10] and particularly [BH14b] show that the map (A) is central to understanding of the Langlands correspondence.

3. The starting point of [BH17] is that each of the sets $\mathcal{E}(F)$, $\mathcal{W}_F \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_F$ carries a canonical *ultrametric*. That on $\mathcal{E}(F)$, denoted by \mathbb{A} , is built on the fact that simple characters are characters of compact groups carrying canonical filtrations, and those filtrations provide a medium via which the characters may be compared. The ultrametric \mathbb{A} relates to Swan exponents of pairs of representations, as defined from the local constants of [JPS83, Sha84]. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and choose $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$ such that $\Theta_\pi = \Theta$. There is a unique continuous function $\Phi_\Theta(x)$, $x \geq 0$, such that

$$\Phi_\Theta(\mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Theta_\rho)) = \frac{\mathrm{sw}(\tilde{\pi} \times \rho)}{\mathrm{gr}(\pi) \mathrm{gr}(\rho)},$$

for any $\rho \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$. The function Φ_Θ is piecewise linear, strictly increasing and convex. It is given by an explicit formula [BH17, (4.4.1)] derived from the conductor formula of [BHK98, 6.5 Theorem].

We call Φ_Θ the *structure function* of Θ .

The ultrametric on $\mathcal{W}_F \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_F$, denoted by Δ , is defined by comparing representations via the canonical filtration of \mathcal{P}_F by ramification groups: for $\sigma, \tau \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$,

$$\Delta([\sigma]_0^+, [\tau]_0^+) = \inf\{x > 0 : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(x)}(\sigma, \tau) \neq 0\}.$$

The ultrametric Δ likewise relates to Swan exponents of tensor products of pairs of representations of \mathcal{W}_F [Hei96]. For $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$, there is a unique continuous function $\Sigma_\sigma(x)$, $x \geq 0$, such that

$$\Sigma_\sigma(\Delta([\sigma]_0^+, [\tau]_0^+)) = \frac{\text{sw}(\check{\sigma} \otimes \tau)}{\dim \sigma \cdot \dim \tau},$$

for all $\tau \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$. The function Σ_σ is piecewise linear, strictly increasing and convex. It is given by a formula derived from the ramification structure of σ , reproduced in (2.2.2) below. If Σ_σ is smooth at x , its derivative satisfies

$$\Sigma'_\sigma(x) = \dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}_F(x)}(\sigma) / (\dim \sigma)^2.$$

We call Σ_σ the *decomposition function* of σ : it depends only on the orbit $[\sigma]_0^+$.

If $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, set $\Psi_\Theta = \Phi_\Theta^{-1} \circ \Sigma_\sigma$, for any $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$ such that $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$. The Langlands correspondence respects Swan exponents of pairs and $\dim({}^L\pi) = \text{gr}(\pi)$, $\pi \in \widehat{\text{GL}}_F$, so

$$\Psi_\Theta(\Delta({}^L\Theta, {}^L\Xi)) = \mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Xi), \quad \Xi \in \mathcal{E}(F).$$

The function Ψ_Θ is called the *Herbrand function* of Θ . It is continuous, strictly increasing and piecewise linear.

If we take the view that $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ has been given, in terms of the standard classification from [BK93], it is a simple matter to write down the function Φ_Θ . The Interpolation Theorem [BH17, 7.5] shows, in principle, how to compute Ψ_Θ *directly from* Θ , without reference to ${}^L\Theta$. It yields the decomposition function Σ_σ and therefore a numerical account of the ramification structure of σ , just in terms of Θ . The Interpolation Theorem is not easy to apply directly, but it is the foundation of much of what we do here.

4. We specify the classes of representation on which we focus.

Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$. Assuming, as we invariably do, that Θ is non-trivial, it is the endo-class of a simple character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \beta)$ attached to a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$ in some matrix algebra $M_n(F)$ (following the conventions of [BK93]). In particular, $\beta \in \text{GL}_n(F)$ and the algebra $F[\beta]$ is a field: one says that $F[\beta]$ is a *parameter field* for Θ . The positive integers $\deg \Theta = [F[\beta] : F]$ and $e_\Theta = e(F[\beta]|F)$ are invariants of Θ . The *slope* ς_Θ of Θ , defined by $\varsigma_\Theta = m/e_\Theta$, where e_Θ is the period of the hereditary \mathfrak{o}_F -order \mathfrak{a} , is likewise an invariant of Θ . If $\pi \in \widehat{\text{GL}}_F$ satisfies $\Theta_\pi = \Theta$, then $\varsigma_\Theta = \text{sw}(\pi)/\text{gr}(\pi)$. However, neither θ nor Θ determines the parameter field $F[\beta]$: see the later parts of § 6.

Say that $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ is *totally wild* if $\deg \Theta = e_\Theta = p^r$, for an integer $r \geq 0$. If Θ is totally wild, say that it is of *Carayol type* if $\deg \Theta > 1$ and the integer $e_\Theta \varsigma_\Theta$ is not divisible by p . Let $\mathcal{E}^C(F)$ denote the set of endo-classes $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ that are totally wild of Carayol type. We aim to calculate Ψ_Θ for all $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$.

We concentrate on this case for two reasons. First, [BH17, 7.1 Proposition] reduces the problem of calculating Herbrand functions to the totally wild case. Second, we have to work with simple characters. The definition of simple character in [BK93] is rigidly hierarchical in

nature and proofs are almost always inductive along this hierarchy. The first inductive step concerns the case where the element β (as above) is *minimal over F* [BK93, (1.4.14)]. For totally wild endo-classes, this is the Carayol case.

On the other side, say that $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$ is *totally wild* if the restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{P}_F}$ is irreducible. In particular, $\dim \sigma = p^r$, for some $r \geq 0$. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ the set of totally wild elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$. An endo-class $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ is then totally wild if and only if there exists $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ such that $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$ (cf. [BH14b, § 6]). Say that $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ is of *Carayol type* if $\dim \sigma \neq 1$ and p does not divide $\text{sw}(\sigma)$. Thus $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ is of Carayol type if and only if $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$, for some $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$. We shall see that these representations σ exhibit a family of quite singular properties, reflecting the special nature of the endo-classes $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$.

5. We review our main results. They are organized into three principal theorems, that complement and support each other, followed by a substantial application.

For any $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, the Herbrand function $\Psi_\Theta(x)$ satisfies $\Psi_\Theta(0) = 0$ and $\Psi_\Theta(x) = x$ for $x \geq \varsigma_\Theta$ [BH17, 6.2 Proposition]. The derivative $\Psi'_\Theta(x)$ has only finitely many discontinuities in the interesting region $0 < x < \varsigma_\Theta$: we call them the *jumps of Ψ_Θ* . When $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$, the function $\Psi_\Theta(x)$ is *convex* in the region $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta$. The reasons for this are simple (§ 2.4), but the property is very useful.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$. The graph $y = \Psi_\Theta(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta$, is symmetric with respect to the line $x+y = \varsigma_\Theta$. That is,*

$$\varsigma_\Theta - x = \Psi_\Theta(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x)), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta. \quad (\text{B})$$

Theorem 1 has a satisfying converse. The group of characters of U_F^1 acts on the set $\mathcal{E}(F)$ following the natural twisting action of characters of F^\times or \mathcal{W}_F on $\widehat{\text{GL}}_F$ or $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$. We denote this action by $(\chi, \Theta) \mapsto \chi\Theta$. It has the property $\Psi_{\chi\Theta} = \Psi_\Theta$ [BH17, 7.4 Proposition]. We obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ be totally wild of degree p^r , for some $r \geq 1$, and suppose that $\varsigma_\Theta \leq \varsigma_{\chi\Theta}$ for all characters χ of U_F^1 . The function Ψ_Θ then has the symmetry property (B) if and only if $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$.*

Theorem 1, together with some preliminary calculations, suggests the definition of a family of elementary functions. Let $r \geq 1$ and let E/F be a totally ramified field extension of degree p^r . Let m be a positive integer not divisible by p and set $\varsigma = m/p^r$. Let $\psi_{E/F}$ be the classical Herbrand function of E/F [Del84, Ser68]. Define c by the equation $c + p^{-r}\psi_{E/F}(c) = \varsigma$. There is then a unique function ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$, defined for $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$, such that the graph $y = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the line $x+y = \varsigma$ and ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x) = p^{-r}\psi_{E/F}(x)$, for $0 \leq x \leq c$. Functions of this form will be called *bi-Herbrand functions*.

Our strategy is to identify Ψ_Θ , $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$, as a specific bi-Herbrand function. Let $\deg \Theta = p^r$. There is a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M_{p^r}(F)$ such that Θ is the endo-class of some $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Thus $F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r and p does not divide $m = -v_{F[\alpha]}(\alpha)$. In this notation, $\varsigma_\Theta = m/p^r$. If $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ denotes the set of endo-classes of elements of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, then $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\| \subset \mathcal{E}^C(F)$.

The set $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ is not well adapted to our purposes, because the function $\Theta \mapsto \Psi_\Theta$ is not constant there. Indeed, it may vary widely: see 7.2 Theorem 1. To overcome this problem,

we specify a non-empty subset $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, using an explicit formula given in 7.1 below: we say that θ *conforms to* α to indicate $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Let $\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ denote the set of endo-classes of characters $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r and $\varsigma_\Theta = m/p^r$. There is a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M_{p^r}(F)$ such that $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$. For any such stratum,*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma_\Theta)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta. \quad (\text{C})$$

Theorem 2 has the following consequence.

COROLLARY. *Let E/F be a totally ramified field extension of degree p^r , $r \geq 1$, and let m be a positive integer not divisible by p . There exists $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$, with parameter field E/F , such that*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, m/p^r)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq m/p^r = \varsigma_\Theta.$$

The corollary is an effective tool for constructing representations of \mathcal{W}_F with specified ramification properties. An application of the technique is given in 9.7.

6. Our third result looks at the problem from the Galois side. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be of Carayol type and dimension p^r . Define $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ by $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$. As $r \geq 1$, the function Ψ_Θ has at least one jump [BH17, 7.7]. If Ψ_Θ has *exactly* one jump, we say that σ is *H-singular*. In §8, we analyse the structure of such representations in some detail: they belong to a rather special class of ‘Heisenberg representations’ (as one says).

Without restriction on the number of jumps, define a number c_Θ by the equation

$$c_\Theta + \Psi_\Theta(c_\Theta) = \varsigma_\Theta, \quad \Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F).$$

By the symmetry of Theorem 1, c_Θ is a jump of Ψ_Θ if and only if Ψ_Θ has an odd number of jumps and, in that case, c_Θ is the middle one.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be of Carayol type and dimension p^r . Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ satisfy ${}^L\Theta = [\sigma]_0^+$.*

- (1) *The restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\Theta)}$ is a direct sum of characters.*
- (2) *Let ξ be a character of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\Theta)$ occurring in σ , let \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} be the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of ξ , and let σ_ξ be the natural representation of \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} on the ξ -isotypic subspace of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\Theta)}$. The field extension L_ξ/F is totally ramified of degree dividing p^r and $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{L_\xi/F} \sigma_\xi$. Moreover,*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = p^{-r} \psi_{L_\xi/F}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq c_\Theta. \quad (\text{D})$$

- (3) *If Ψ_Θ has an odd number of jumps, then σ_ξ is irreducible, totally wild, H-singular, of Carayol type and of dimension $p^r/[L_\xi:F] \neq 1$.*
- (4) *If Ψ_Θ has an even number of jumps, then σ_ξ is a character and $[L_\xi:F] = p^r$.*

By symmetry, relation (D) determines Ψ_Θ completely. Any two choices of the character ξ are \mathcal{W}_F -conjugate, so the same applies to the field L_ξ . The field extension L_ξ/F is not usually Galois but, after a suitable tamely ramified base field extension, it has a canonical presentation as a tower of elementary abelian extensions faithfully reflecting the ramification structure of σ .

The canonical presentation of σ as an induced representation,

$$\sigma = \text{Ind}_{L_\xi/F} \sigma_\xi = \text{Ind}_{W_{L_\xi}}^{W_F} \sigma_\xi,$$

is derived from arithmetic considerations. It can claim to be more natural than anything provided by a purely group-theoretic approach.

The restrictions $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(x)}$, $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(x)}$ follow a clear pattern, underlying the symmetry property of Theorem 1. To give the flavour, suppose there are at least two jumps. Let j be the least and \bar{j} the greatest. The restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(j)}$ is irreducible, while $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(\bar{j})}$ is a multiple of a character. The restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j)}$ is a multiplicity-free direct sum of irreducible representations while $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j})}$ is a direct sum of characters, its isotypic components being the restrictions of the irreducible components of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j)}$. The pattern repeats for the second and penultimate jump, and so on.

7. We now have two expressions, (C) and (D), for the Herbrand function Ψ_Θ of $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$. Together they show how to read the *algebraic* structure of the decompositions $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(x)}$, $x > 0$, directly from the presentation $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$. Our final tranche of results treats this in some detail.

In the same context, the number c_Θ (as in part 6 above) and the function Ψ_Θ , as Θ ranges over $\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$, depend only on α . We therefore denote them by c_α and Ψ_α , respectively. Let $j_\infty(\alpha) = j_\infty(F[\alpha]/F)$ be the largest jump of the classical Herbrand function $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$. The definition of ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma_\Theta)}$ and Theorem 2 show that Ψ_α has an even number of jumps if and only if $j_\infty(\alpha) < c_\alpha$.

Let $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ be the set of $\sigma \in \widehat{W}_F^{\text{wr}}$ such that $[\sigma]_0^+ \in {}^L\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$.

THEOREM 4A. *If $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, the representations $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)}$, $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)}$ are equivalent. In particular, any character ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$ occurring in $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)}$ also occurs in $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)}$.*

All representations $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ therefore give rise to the same conjugacy class of field extensions L_ξ/F and the associated representations σ_ξ all have the same dimension $p^r/[L_\xi:F]$.

To go further, there is a second field extension to be taken into account. If $\rho \in \widehat{W}_F$ has dimension n , let $\bar{\rho} : W_F \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the associated projective representation. The kernel of $\bar{\rho}$ is of the form W_E , where E/F is finite and Galois. One calls E/F the *centric field* of ρ . Returning to the main topic, let $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ be the centric field of the H-singular representation $\sigma_\xi \in \widehat{W}_{L_\xi}^{\text{wr}}$. The extension $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ is Galois. It is non-trivial if and only if $\dim \sigma_\xi > 1$, that is, ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma_\Theta)}$ has an odd number of jumps.

Let $w_\alpha = w_{F[\alpha]/F}$ be the wild exponent (1.6.1) of the field extension $F[\alpha]/F$. We consider two cases. Say that α is \star -exceptional if $j_\infty(\alpha) = c_\alpha$ and the integer $l_\alpha = m - w_\alpha$ is even and positive. Otherwise, say that α is \star -ordinary. (This terminology is suggested by the usage of [Kut84], but is not equivalent to it.)

For our next result, we fix a character ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$ occurring in $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ and abbreviate $L = L_\xi$, $\widetilde{L}_\sigma = \widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}$. Let T_σ/F be the maximal tame sub-extension of \widetilde{L}_σ/L . Let d_σ be the number of characters χ of W_L such that $\phi \otimes \sigma_\xi \cong \sigma_\xi$.

THEOREM 4B.

- (1) *If α is \star -ordinary, then $\widetilde{L}_\sigma = \widetilde{L}_\tau$, for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$.*
- (2) *If α is \star -exceptional, then $T_\sigma = T_\tau$ and $d_\sigma = d_\tau$, for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. There are at most d_σ Galois extensions of the form \widetilde{L}_τ/L , $\tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$.*

The bound in part (2) is achieved when $[T_\sigma : L]$ is not divisible by p . (In general, we do not know what happens here, but p can divide $[T_\sigma : L]$: see 9.6 Example.) In part (1), the set $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ bears a canonical structure as principal homogeneous space over an easily described group of characters of L^\times .

8. We give an overview of our methods and the layout of the paper.

Section 1 is a free-standing account of the classical Herbrand functions $\psi_{E/F}$, $\varphi_{E/F}$ of a finite field extension E/F . For Galois extensions E/F , much of what we need can be deduced from the standard account in [Ser68]. We develop the same level of detail for non-Galois extensions, starting from Deligne's notes [Del84].

The development proper starts with § 2. We introduce the main players and fix the basic notation. We take a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in the matrix algebra $M_{p^r}(F)$, $r \geq 1$, as in part 4 above, and a simple character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ of endo-class Θ . Thus $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ and $\varsigma_\Theta = m/p^r$. The Interpolation Theorem of [BH17] readily yields $\Psi_\Theta(x) = p^{-r} \psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x)$ in the range $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta/2$. In the region $\varsigma_\Theta/2 < \Psi_\Theta(x) \leq \varsigma_\Theta$ it interprets the value $\Psi_\Theta(x)$ in terms of intertwining properties of certain simple strata.

Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. The argument is couched almost entirely in terms of Galois representations. Take $\sigma \in \widehat{W}_F^{\text{wr}}$ of dimension greater than 1. After a tame base field extension, [BH17, 8.3 Theorem] gives a sufficiently canonical presentation $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{K/F} \tau$, where K/F is cyclic of degree p . After an elementary change of variables, the jumps of Σ_τ are among those of Σ_σ but one or two of them are ‘flattened’, in an obvious sense. One of these is invariably the first. If σ is of Carayol type, the other is the last: this follows from an application of the conductor formula of [BHK98, 6.5 Theorem], which also gives a relation between the first and last jumps. One may then assume that τ has the symmetry property and proceed by induction on dimension.

Section 4 makes a transition back to the GL side. The combination of convexity and symmetry imposes significant restrictions on the piecewise linear graph $y = \Psi_\Theta(x)$ in the relevant region $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta$. We abstract these properties in the definition of the bi-Herbrand function ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$. Much of the section is devoted to listing elementary, but useful, geometric properties of the graphs of Ψ_Θ and ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$. Our strategy is to identify Ψ_Θ as a bi-Herbrand function. In many cases, one can do that immediately; see 4.6 Example. This simple case also has a role in the more complicated arguments that follow.

Sections 5 and 6 are highly technical in nature, preparing the way for the arguments of § 7. In § 5, we use the Interpolation Theorem to identify, via some delicate intertwining and conjugacy arguments, a subset of $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ on which the Herbrand function Ψ_Θ takes the expected value ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma_\Theta)}$. The specification of this set, which we temporarily call \mathcal{L}_α , is quite subtle. There is nothing canonical or natural about \mathcal{L}_α , but it is a vital computational device.

The set $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ does not determine α , although it does determine \mathfrak{a} and the integer m . Let $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be the set of $\beta \in \text{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ for which $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$ is a simple stratum satisfying $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \beta) = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. In § 6 we examine various ways in which one can construct elements β of $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ while keeping track of the relation between the sets \mathcal{L}_α and \mathcal{L}_β .

In § 7 we first define the subset $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ of simple characters $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ that *conform to* α . We show that, if $\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, there exists $\alpha' \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha')$ to which θ' conforms. The calculations in §§ 5 and 6 give a first result (7.2 Theorem 1) from which Theorem 2 follows.

With § 8 we return to the Galois side. We first recast the general theory of representations of, loosely speaking, Heisenberg type and so identify the class of representations with Herbrand function having a single jump. This is in preparation for § 9, where we prove Theorem 3.

That result is given in two tranches. In the first, (9.2), we assume that σ is ‘absolutely wild’, in the sense that its centric field extension is totally wildly ramified. The argument there develops the method of § 3.

The general case is presented separately as 9.5 Corollary. The transition to the general case is, we found, surprising in both its simplicity and its exactness. It marks a change in direction in the paper. Until the end of § 7 we rely on the fact that, when using the Interpolation Theorem to compute the Herbrand function, one can impose an arbitrary finite, tamely ramified, base field extension while losing no control: the method is illustrated in the proof of 2.6 Proposition and then used repeatedly until the end of the proof of 9.2 Theorem. From 9.5 Corollary on, we have to take account of the tame structures destroyed by such a process. Theorems 4A and 4B follow in § 10, where we combine and compare the main results of §§ 7 and 9.

Some parts of Theorems 4 are foreshadowed, often in more detail, in the classical literature of dimension p [Hen84, Kut80, Kut84, Moe90]. There is a device from [Moe90] that allows us to remove the distinction between ordinary and exceptional elements α , *provided* $p \neq 2$. We summarize this in 10.6, and then briefly review the historical context.

Background and notation

General notations are quite familiar: \mathfrak{o}_F is the discrete valuation ring in F , \mathfrak{p}_F is the maximal ideal of \mathfrak{o}_F and v_F is the normalized additive valuation. For $k \geq 1$, U_F^k is the congruence unit group $1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^k$. Similarly, if \mathfrak{a} is a hereditary \mathfrak{o}_F -order in some matrix algebra, then $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^k = 1 + \mathfrak{p}^k$, where \mathfrak{p} is the Jacobson radical $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ of \mathfrak{a} . For real x , $x \mapsto [x]$ is the greatest integer function.

If E/F is a finite field extension, then $\psi_{E/F}$, $\varphi_{E/F}$ are the classical Herbrand functions discussed in § 1. If E/F is Galois and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(E/F)$, then Γ_a , Γ^a , $a \geq 0$, are the ramification subgroups of Γ in the lower, upper numbering conventions of [Ser68]. The symbols \mathcal{W}_F , $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_F$, \mathcal{P}_F , $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_F$, $\widehat{\text{GL}}_F$, $\mathcal{E}(F)$, ${}^L\Theta$, $[\sigma]_0^+$, $\mathcal{R}_F(x)$, $\mathcal{R}_F^+(x)$ all retain the meaning given them in the introduction. Notation concerned with simple characters is all taken from [BK93, BH96]. For the special cases considered here, full definitions are given in 2.1–2.3. The broader summary in [Bus14] may be found helpful. Certain special notations recur sporadically. Their definitions may be found as follows: ς_{Θ} (2.1), ς_{σ} (2.2), $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ (3.2), $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$ (3.2), $\mathcal{E}^C(F)$ (2.3), $j_{\infty}(E|F)$ (1.5), $w_{E/F}$ (1.6), \mathcal{C}^* (7.1).

1. Classical Herbrand functions

Let E/F be a finite, separable field extension. As we go through the paper, we rely on properties of the classical Herbrand function $\psi_{E/F}$ and its inverse $\varphi_{E/F}$. For Galois extensions E/F , many of these are to be found in [Ser68]. In the general case, we develop them from the outline in [Del84]. Beyond that, we need estimates of the *jumps* of $\psi_{E/F}$, that is, the discontinuities of the derivative $\psi'_{E/F}(x)$, $x > 0$. With only minor changes, the formalism applies equally well to inseparable extensions E/F : we indicate how this is done in 1.7.

We conclude the section with what seems to be a novel result on the structure of a broad class of totally ramified extensions. We do not need this until near the end of the paper but it fits well in the present context. The reader may wish to skip that, or even the entire section, referring back to it as needed.

1.1 Let E/F be a finite Galois extension. The Herbrand function $\psi_{E/F}(x)$ is defined, for $x \geq -1$, in [Ser68, IV § 3] but we shall always assume $x \geq 0$. If K/F is a Galois extension contained in E , the fundamental *transitivity property* $\psi_{E/F} = \psi_{E/K} \circ \psi_{K/F}$ holds. If the finite separable extension

E/F is not Galois, we follow [Del84]. Let E'/F be a finite Galois extension containing E . The function $\psi_{E'/E}$ is positive and strictly increasing, so we may set

$$\psi_{E/F} = \psi_{E'/E}^{-1} \circ \psi_{E'/F}. \quad (1.1.1)$$

Because of the transitivity property for Galois extensions, this definition does not depend on the choice of E'/F . The relation

$$\psi_{E/F} = \psi_{E/K} \circ \psi_{K/F} \quad (1.1.2)$$

then holds for any tower $F \subset K \subset E$ of finite separable extensions. In all cases, $\varphi_{E/F}$ shall be the inverse function for $\psi_{E/F}$,

$$\varphi_{E/F} \circ \psi_{E/F}(x) = x = \psi_{E/F} \circ \varphi_{E/F}(x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (1.1.3)$$

LEMMA.

- (1) If K/F is finite and tamely ramified, then $\psi_{K/F}(x) = ex$, where $e = e(K|F)$.
- (2) If E/F is finite separable and K/F is finite and tamely ramified, with $e(K|F) = e$, then $\psi_{EK/K}(x) = e(EK|E)\psi_{E/F}(x/e)$. If E/F is totally wildly ramified, then $\psi_{EK/K}(x) = e\psi_{E/F}(x/e)$.

Proof. Part (1) follows immediately from the definitions here and in [Ser68]. By (1.1.2) and part (1), $\psi_{EK/F}(x) = \psi_{EK/K} \circ \psi_{K/F}(x) = \psi_{EK/K}(ex)$. On the other hand, $\psi_{EK/F}(x) = \psi_{EK/E} \circ \psi_{E/F}(x) = e(EK|E)\psi_{E/F}(x)$, whence part (2) follows. \square

The lemma reduces most questions to the totally wildly ramified case.

1.2 We list some properties of the graph $y = \psi_{E/F}(x)$, $x \geq 0$.

PROPOSITION 1. Let E/F be a finite separable extension and write $e = e(E|F) = e_0 p^r$, where e_0 is an integer not divisible by p .

- (1) The function $\psi_{E/F}$ is continuous, piecewise linear, strictly increasing and convex.
- (2) If x is sufficiently large, then $\psi'_{E/F}(x) = e$.
- (3) There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\psi_{E/F}(x) = e_0 x$, for $0 \leq x < \epsilon$.
- (4) The derivative $\psi'_{E/F}$ is continuous except at a finite number of points.

Proof. All assertions are standard when E/F is Galois, and (2)–(4) then follow from (1.1.2) in general. In (1), the first two properties are clear while, by (3), $\psi'_{E/F}(x) = e_0 \geq 1$ for x positive and sufficiently small. It is enough, therefore, to show that $\psi_{E/F}$ is convex. By 1.1 Lemma (2), we need only prove that $\psi_{EK/K}$ is convex for some finite tame extension K/F . We choose K/F to be the maximal tame sub-extension of the normal closure E'/F of E/F . This reduces us to the case in which E'/F is totally wildly ramified. If $E = F$, there is nothing to prove, so assume otherwise. The proper subgroup $\text{Gal}(E'/E)$ of the finite p -group $\text{Gal}(E'/F)$ is contained in a normal subgroup of index p . That is, there is a Galois sub-extension F'/F of E/F of degree p . In the relation $\psi_{E/F} = \psi_{E/F'} \circ \psi_{F'/F}$, the function $\psi_{F'/F}$ is convex since F'/F is Galois. By induction on degree, $\psi_{E/F'}$ is convex, whence so is $\psi_{E/F}$. \square

This technique of the proof of the proposition will be used again, so we make a formal definition.

DEFINITION. Let E/F be a finite separable extension, with normal closure E'/F . Say that E/F is *absolutely wildly ramified* if E'/F is totally wildly ramified.

In the notation of the definition, let K/F be the maximal tame sub-extension of E'/F . The extension EK/K is then absolutely wildly ramified. From the proof of Proposition 1, we extract a useful property.

GLOSS. If E/F is absolutely wildly ramified, there exists a Galois extension F'/F , of degree p , such that $F' \subset E$.

We give a second application.

PROPOSITION 2. Let E/F be finite, separable and totally wildly ramified. If $\psi_{E/F}$ is smooth at x , then the value $\psi'_{E/F}(x)$ is a non-negative power of p .

Proof. The result is standard when E/F is Galois. Otherwise, let K/F be finite and tamely ramified. Part (2) of 1.1 Lemma implies that the result holds for E/F if and only if it holds for EK/K . It is therefore enough to treat the case of E/F absolutely wild. As in the Gloss, let F'/F be a sub-extension of E/F that is Galois of degree p . The extension F'/F has the desired property since it is Galois. By induction on the degree, we may assume that it holds equally for E/F' . The proposition then follows from the transitivity relation $\psi_{E/F} = \psi_{E/F'} \circ \psi_{F'/F}$. \square

1.3 As in the Galois case, the function $\psi_{E/F}$ reflects properties of the norm map $N_{E/F} : E^\times \rightarrow F^\times$.

PROPOSITION. Let E/F be a finite separable extension. Let χ be a character of F^\times such that $\text{sw}(\chi) = k \geq 1$. The character $\chi \circ N_{E/F}$ of E^\times then has the following properties:

- (1) $\text{sw}(\chi \circ N_{E/F}) \leq \psi_{E/F}(k)$;
- (2) if $\psi'_{E/F}$ is continuous at k , then $\text{sw}(\chi \circ N_{E/F}) = \psi_{E/F}(k)$.

Proof. The result is standard when E/F is Galois [Ser68, V Proposition 9].

Suppose next that E/F is tamely ramified and set $e = e(E|F)$. Thus $\psi_{E/F}(x) = ex$, $x \geq 0$. If χ is a character of F^\times with $\text{sw}(\chi) = k \geq 1$, then $\text{sw}(\chi \circ N_{E/F}) = ek$ and there is nothing to prove.

Transitivity now reduces us to the case where E/F is totally wildly ramified. Also, if K/F is a finite tame extension, the result holds for E/F if and only if it holds for EK/K . We may therefore assume that E/F is absolutely wildly ramified. Let F' be a field, $F \subset F' \subset E$, such that F'/F is Galois of degree p (as in 1.2 Gloss). The result holds for the extension F'/F and so, in general, by induction on $[E:F]$. \square

DEFINITION. A *jump* of $\psi_{E/F}$ is a point $x > 0$ at which the derivative $\psi'_{E/F}$ is not continuous. Let $J_{E/F}$ denote the set of jumps of $\psi_{E/F}$.

The set $J_{E/F}$ is finite by 1.2 Proposition 1(4).

COROLLARY. Let E/F be totally wildly ramified, and let K/F be a finite tame extension, with $e = e(K|F)$. If χ is a character of K^\times with $\text{sw}(\chi) = k \geq 1$, such that $e^{-1}k \notin J_{E/F}$, then

$$\text{sw}(\chi \circ N_{EK/K}) = \psi_{EK/K}(k) = e \psi_{E/F}(e^{-1}k).$$

Proof. The second equality is 1.1 Lemma, whence $J_{EK/K} = eJ_{E/F}$. The result now follows from the proposition. \square

1.4 Another familiar property extends to the general case.

PROPOSITION. *Let E/F be a finite separable extension. If $\epsilon > 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_E &= \mathcal{R}_E(\psi_{E/F}(\epsilon)), \\ \mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_E &= \mathcal{R}_E^+(\psi_{E/F}(\epsilon)).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If E/F is Galois, the result follows from [Ser68, IV Proposition 14]. The case of E/F tame readily follows. If K/F is a finite tame extension, the result therefore holds for E/F if and only if it holds for EK/K (cf. 1.1 Lemma). Thus we need only treat the case where E/F is absolutely wildly ramified. There is a Galois sub-extension F'/F of E/F of degree p . If $F' = E$, there is nothing to do, so we assume otherwise. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_E &= \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_{F'} \cap \mathcal{W}_E \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{F'}(\psi_{F'/F}(\epsilon)) \cap \mathcal{W}_E \\ &= \mathcal{R}_E(\psi_{E/F'}(\psi_{F'/F}(\epsilon))) \\ &= \mathcal{R}_E(\psi_{E/F}(\epsilon)),\end{aligned}$$

by induction on $[E:F]$. The second assertion follows. \square

For a sharper result of this kind, see 1.9 Corollary 2 below.

1.5 Let $j_\infty(E|F)$ be the largest element of $J_{E/F}$.

PROPOSITION. *Let E/F be separable and totally wildly ramified. If \bar{E}/F is the normal closure of E/F , then $j_\infty(\bar{E}|F) = j_\infty(E|F)$.*

Proof. Let K/F be a finite tame extension. The result then holds for E/F if and only if it holds for EK/K . We may therefore assume that E/F is absolutely wildly ramified.

The relation $\psi_{\bar{E}/F} = \psi_{\bar{E}/E} \circ \psi_{E/F}$ implies that

$$J_{\bar{E}/F} = J_{E/F} \cup \psi_{E/F}^{-1}(J_{\bar{E}/E}).$$

We have to show that $j_\infty(E|F)$ is the largest element of this set. Set $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{E}/F)$ and $\Delta = \text{Gal}(\bar{E}/E)$. The definition of Γ_x [Ser68, IV § 1] gives $\Delta_x = \Gamma_x \cap \Delta$, for all $x \geq 0$. Let k_∞ be the largest jump of Γ in this numbering. Thus $\Gamma_{k_\infty} \neq \{1\} = \Gamma_{k_\infty + \varepsilon}$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$. As \bar{E}/F is the least Galois extension containing E , so $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \Delta \gamma^{-1} = 1$. That is, Δ has no non-trivial subgroup normal in Γ . Since \bar{E}/F is totally wildly ramified, Γ_{k_∞} is central in Γ , so $\Delta_{k_\infty} = \Gamma_{k_\infty} \cap \Delta$ is normal in Γ , whence $\Delta_{k_\infty} = 1$. The largest jump of Δ is therefore strictly less than k_∞ . Translating back, the largest jump $j_\infty(\bar{E}|E)$ of $\psi_{\bar{E}/E}$ is strictly less than $\psi_{E/F}(j_\infty(E|F))$. \square

1.6 Let E/F be a finite separable extension. Denote by $d_{E/F}$ the differential exponent of E/F : thus $\mathfrak{p}_E^{d_{E/F}}$ is the different of E/F . Define the *wild exponent* $w_{E/F}$ of E/F by

$$w_{E/F} = d_{E/F} + 1 - e(E|F). \quad (1.6.1)$$

We record, for use throughout the paper, some basic facts involving the wild exponent.

LEMMA. Let E/F be finite, with $E \subset \bar{F}$.

(1) If $F \subset K \subset E$, then

$$w_{E/F} = e(E|K)w_{K/F} + w_{E/K}.$$

(2) If τ is an irreducible representation of \mathcal{W}_E , then

$$\text{sw}(\text{Ind}_{E/F} \tau) = (\text{sw}(\tau) + w_{E/F} \dim \tau) f(E|F).$$

In particular,

$$w_{E/F} = \text{sw}(\text{Ind}_{E/F} 1_E) / f(E|F),$$

where 1_E is the trivial character of \mathcal{W}_E .

Proof. Assertion (1) follows from the multiplicativity property of the different and a short calculation. Part (2) follows from the corresponding properties of the Artin exponent [Ser68, ch. VI § 2]. \square

The main business of the subsection concerns estimates relating the wild exponent $w_{E/F}$ to the largest jump $j_\infty(E|F)$ of $\psi_{E/F}$.

PROPOSITION. If E/F is separable and totally wildly ramified of degree p^r , then

$$\psi_{E/F}(x) = p^r x - w_{E/F}, \quad x \geq j_\infty(E|F).$$

Proof. Let K/F be tamely ramified with $e = e(K|F)$. Thus $w_{EK/K} = e w_{E/F}$ by the lemma. The result therefore holds for E/F if and only if it holds for EK/K . Taking K/F to be the maximal tame sub-extension of the normal closure of E/F , we reduce to the case where E/F is absolutely wildly ramified. Part (2) of 1.2 Proposition 1 implies that there is a constant $c_{E/F}$ such that $\psi_{E/F}(x) = p^r x - c_{E/F}$, for $x \geq j_\infty(E|F)$. We show that $c_{E/F} = w_{E/F}$.

Let F'/F be a sub-extension of E/F that is Galois of degree p . In this case, $j_\infty(F'|F)$ is the only jump of $\psi_{F'/F}$, and it equals $w_{F'/F}/(p-1)$ [Ser68, V § 3]. The proposition thus holds for F'/F . If E/F is Galois, we may assume inductively that $c_{E/F'} = w_{E/F'}$. So, taking x sufficiently large, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p^r x - c_{E/F} &= \psi_{E/F'}(\psi_{F'/F}(x)) = \psi_{E/F'}(px - w_{F'/F}) \\ &= p^r x - p^{r-1} w_{F'/F} - w_{E/F'} = p^r x - w_{E/F}, \end{aligned}$$

by the lemma. Thus $c_{E/F} = w_{E/F}$ when E/F is Galois.

Suppose that E/F is not Galois. The normal closure E'/F of E/F is totally wildly ramified by hypothesis. So, with $p^s = [E':F]$ and x sufficiently large, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{E'/F}(x) &= p^s x - w_{E'/F} = \psi_{E'/E}(\psi_{E/F}(x)) \\ &= p^{s-r}(p^r x - c_{E/F}) - w_{E'/E}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $w_{E'/F} = e(E'|E)c_{E/F} - w_{E'/E}$, and the lemma implies $c_{E/F} = w_{E/F}$. \square

COROLLARY. Let E/F be totally wildly ramified of degree p^r . If $j_\infty = j_\infty(E|F)$ is the largest jump of $\psi_{E/F}$, then

$$(p^r - 1)j_\infty \geq w_{E/F} \geq p^{r-1}(p-1)j_\infty \geq p^r j_\infty / 2.$$

Moreover, $w_{E/F} = (p^r - 1)j_\infty$ if and only if j_∞ is the only jump of $\psi_{E/F}$.

Proof. Since $\psi_{E/F}(x) \geq x$ for all $x \geq 0$, the first inequality follows directly from the proposition, likewise the final remark.

Observe that $\psi'_{E/F}(x) \leq p^{r-1}$, for all points $0 < x < j_\infty$ at which the derivative is defined (1.2 Proposition 2). The function $\vartheta(x) = \psi_{E/F}(x) - p^{r-1}x$ is therefore decreasing on the interval $0 < x < j_\infty$. Thus $\vartheta(j_\infty) \leq 0$, or $p^r j_\infty - w_{E/F} \leq p^{r-1} j_\infty$, as required. \square

1.7 If E/F is a finite, *purely inseparable* extension, we set $\psi_{E/F}(x) = x$, $x \geq 0$. If E/F is a finite extension, define

$$\psi_{E/F} = \psi_{E/E_0} \circ \psi_{E_0/F} = \psi_{E_0/F}, \quad (1.7.1)$$

where E_0/F is the maximal separable sub-extension of E/F . Assuming $E \neq E_0$, the derivative of $\psi_{E/F}$ satisfies $\psi'_{E/F}(x) < [E:F]$ for all x . We therefore set $j_\infty(E|F) = \infty$ when E/F is not separable. With these definitions, all the results of 1.1–1.3, 1.5 and 1.6 remain valid.

1.8 We anticipate a phenomenon arising later on, in §§ 5 and 6.

Let E/F be totally ramified of degree p^r , $r \geq 1$. Thus $E = F[\alpha]$, where α is a root of an Eisenstein polynomial $f(X) = X^{p^r} + a_1X^{p^r-1} + \cdots + a_{p^r-1}X + a_{p^r} \in \mathfrak{o}_F[X]$, and one has $d_{E/F} = v_E(f'(\alpha))$.

Set $a_0 = 1$. If E/F is inseparable, the coefficient a_j is zero unless $j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Each term $(p^r - j)a_j\alpha^{j-1}$ in $f'(\alpha)$ vanishes, giving $d_{E/F} = w_{E/F} = \infty$.

PROPOSITION. *Suppose E/F is separable and totally ramified of degree p^r . There is an integer k such that $0 \leq k \leq p^r - 1$, and*

$$d_{E/F} = \min_{0 \leq j \leq p^r - 1} v_E((p^r - j)a_j\alpha^{j-1}) \equiv k - 1 \pmod{p^r}.$$

In particular, $w_{E/F} \equiv k \pmod{p}$. If F has characteristic p , then $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. For $0 \leq j \leq p^r - 1$, the term $(p^r - j)a_j\alpha^{j-1}$ is either zero or

$$v_E((p^r - j)a_j\alpha^{j-1}) \equiv j - 1 \pmod{p^r}.$$

This gives the expression for $d_{E/F}$. If F has characteristic p , any term with $j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has valuation ∞ and the second assertion follows. \square

If F has characteristic zero, an Eisenstein polynomial $f(X) = X^p - a$ gives a field extension E/F of degree p such that $w_{E/F} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

1.9 We prove a simple, but under-appreciated, result concerning absolutely wildly ramified extensions E/F (1.2 Definition). It reappears naturally in the analysis of representations in § 9.

Let E/F be a finite separable extension. As before, let $J_{E/F}$ be the set of jumps of the piecewise linear function $\psi_{E/F}$. For $x > 0$, define

$$w_x(E|F) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi'_{E/F}(x + \epsilon) / \psi'_{E/F}(x - \epsilon).$$

By 1.2 Proposition 2, $w_x(E|F)$ is a non-negative power of p while $w_x(E|F) > 1$ if and only if $x \in J_{E/F}$.

If E/F is a finite Galois extension with $\text{Gal}(E/F) = \Gamma$, we use the notation $\Gamma^{y+} = \bigcup_{z > y} \Gamma^z$, and similarly for the lower numbering.

PROPOSITION. *Let E/F be separable and absolutely wildly ramified. Let a be the least element of $J_{E/F}$.*

(1) *The number a is an integer and there exists a character χ of F^\times such that $\text{sw}(\chi) = a$ and $\chi \circ N_{E/F} = 1$.*

(2) Let $D = D_{(1)}(E|F)$ be the group of characters χ of F^\times such that $\text{sw}(\chi) \leq a$ and $\chi \circ N_{E/F} = 1$. All non-trivial elements of D have Swan exponent a , and D is elementary abelian of order $w_a(E|F)$.

(3) If E_1/F is class field to the group D , then $F \subset E_1 \subset E$, $\psi_{E_1/F}(a) = a$ and

$$J_{E/E_1} = \psi_{E_1/F}(J_{E/F}) \setminus \{a\}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $[E:F]$. If $[E:F] = p$ then, since E/F is absolutely wild, it is Galois and there is nothing to do. Assume, therefore, that $[E:F] \geq p^2$. Since E/F is absolutely wild, there is a Galois extension F'/F , of degree p , contained in E (1.2 Gloss). There is a character ϕ of F^\times , of order p , that vanishes on the group of norms from F' . Choose F' so as to minimize $\text{sw}(\phi)$. The integer $c = \text{sw}(\phi)$ is a jump of $\psi_{E/F}$ (1.3 Proposition), so $c \geq a$. We show that $c = a$.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that $c > a$. Thus $a = \psi_{F'/F}(a)$ is a jump of $\psi_{E/F'}$ and indeed its least jump. By inductive hypothesis, a is an integer and there is a character χ of F'^\times such that $\chi \circ N_{E/F'} = 1$. Since $c > a$, there is a unique character χ_1 of F^\times such that $\chi = \chi_1 \circ N_{F'/F}$. The character χ_1 has order p , while $\text{sw}(\chi_1) = a$ and $\chi_1 \circ N_{E/F} = 1$. The extension F'_1/F that is class field to χ_1 has the properties required of F'/F but $\text{sw}(\chi_1) < \text{sw}(\phi)$. This contradicts our hypothesis, and proves (1).

In (2), the group D is an abelian p -group, since $[E:F]$ is a power of p . Let χ be a character of F^\times and suppose that $\text{sw}(\chi) = b$, $1 \leq b < a$. Since $b \notin J_{E/F}$, $\chi \circ N_{E/F}$ is not trivial by 1.3 Proposition, so $\chi \notin D$. This proves the first assertion in (2). On the other hand, if $\chi \in D$, $\chi \neq 1$, then $\chi^p \in D$ and $\text{sw}(\chi^p) < \text{sw}(\chi)$. Therefore $\chi^p = 1$ and it follows that D is elementary abelian.

To calculate the order of D , we first use part (1) to choose $\chi \in D$, $\chi \neq 1$. Let F'/F be class field to χ . In particular, $F' \subset E$ and F'/F is cyclic of degree p . The Herbrand function $\psi_{F'/F}$ has one jump, lying at a , and $w_a(F'|F) = p$. Composition with $N_{F'/F}$ gives a homomorphism $D_{(1)}(E|F) \rightarrow D_{(1)}(E|F')$ with kernel of order p , generated by χ . The function $\psi_{E/F'}$ has no jump strictly less than a , and $w_a(E|F') = p^{-1}w_a(E|F)$. If $w_a(E|F') = 1$, then $D_{(1)}(E|F')$ is trivial, whence $D_{(1)}(E|F)$ has order $p = w_a(E|F)$. Assume therefore that $D_{(1)}(E|F)$ has order at least p^2 , whence $D_{(1)}(E|F')$ has order at least p .

Let E'_1/F' be class field to the character group $D_{(1)}(E|F')$. Inductively, we can assume that $|D_{(1)}(E|F')| = w_a(E|F')$, so ψ_{E/E'_1} has least jump strictly greater than a . If $\Delta = \text{Gal}(F'/F)$, then $\Delta = \Delta^a = \Delta_a$. Thus Δ acts trivially on $U_{F'}^1/U_{F'}^{1+a}$. It follows that the extension E'_1/F is Galois, of degree $pw_a(E|F') = w_a(E|F)$ and $\psi_{E'_1/F}$ has a unique jump, lying at a . Therefore $\text{Gal}(E'_1/F)$ is elementary abelian and class field to a subgroup of $D_{(1)}(E|F)$. Comparing orders, this subgroup is the whole of $D_{(1)}(E|F)$, so $E'_1 = E_1$ and $D_{(1)}(E|F)$ has order $w_a(E|F)$.

This completes the proof of (2).

We now have

$$\psi_{E_1/F}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\ a + p^s(x-a), & a \leq x, \end{cases} \quad (1.9.1)$$

where $p^s = [E_1:F] = w_a(E|F)$. The function ψ_{E/E_1} has no jump j such that $j < a$. At $a = \psi_{E_1/F}(a)$, $w_a(E|E_1) = 1 = w_a(E|F)/w_a(E_1|F)$, so $a \notin J_{E/E_1}$. On the other hand, if $b > a$, then b is not a jump of $\psi_{E_1/F}$ and therefore $w_{\psi_{E_1/F}(b)}(E|E_1) = w_b(E|F)$. In other words, b is a jump of E/F if and only if $\psi_{E_1/F}(b)$ is a jump of E/E_1 . Part (3) follows immediately. \square

COROLLARY 1. Let E/F be separable and absolutely wildly ramified. Let

$$j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_t$$

be the set of jumps of $\psi_{E/F}$. There is a unique tower of fields

$$F = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots \subset E_t = E \quad (1.9.2)$$

with the following properties.

- (1) For $1 \leq k \leq t$, the extension E_k/E_{k-1} is elementary abelian of degree $w_{j_k}(E|F)$.
- (2) For $1 \leq k \leq t$, the function $\psi_{E_k/E_{k-1}}$ has a unique jump, namely $\psi_{E_{k-1}/F}(j_k)$.

Proof. One applies the proposition to the absolutely wildly ramified extension E/E_1 and iterates. \square

We refer to the tower (1.9.2) as the *elementary resolution* of the absolutely wild extension E/F . It gives a factorization

$$\psi_{E/F} = \psi_{E_t/E_{t-1}} \circ \psi_{E_{t-1}/E_{t-2}} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{E_2/E_1} \circ \psi_{E_1/F} \quad (1.9.3)$$

in which each factor $\psi_{E_k/E_{k-1}}$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, has exactly one jump.

We conclude with an application needed in § 10.

COROLLARY 2. Let E/F be a finite separable extension that is not tamely ramified. If $j_\infty = j_\infty(E|F)$ is the largest jump of $\psi_{E/F}$ then

$$j_\infty(E|F) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{R}_F(x) \subset \mathcal{W}_E\}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{W}_E contains $\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_\infty)$ but not $\mathcal{R}_F(j_\infty)$.

Proof. The assertion is unaffected by tamely ramified base field extension, so we may assume that E/F is absolutely wild. We use the notation of Corollary 1 and proceed by induction on the number, t say, of jumps. If $t = 1$, then $E = E_1/F$ is elementary abelian with a single jump $j_1 = j_\infty(E|F)$. Every non-trivial character $\chi \in D_{(1)}(E|F)$ has Swan exponent j_1 and so is trivial on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_1)$, but not on $\mathcal{R}_F(j_1)$. Since \mathcal{W}_E is the intersection of the kernels of all $\chi \in D_{(1)}(E|F)$, the assertion follows.

So we take $t > 1$. Inductively we may assume that

$$\inf\{x : \mathcal{R}_{E_1}(x) \subset \mathcal{W}_E\} = j_\infty(E|E_1) = \psi_{E_1/F}(j_\infty(E|F)).$$

For $x > j_1 = \psi_{E_1/F}(j_1)$, we have $\mathcal{R}_F(x) = \mathcal{R}_{E_1}(\psi_{E_1/F}(x))$ by the first case and 1.4 Proposition. The assertion now follows. \square

2. Certain simple characters

The first part of this section provides a brief *aide-mémoire* for those facts and methods from [BH96, BH17, BK93] that will be used frequently. It relies on parts 2 and 3 of the introduction for background but is focused on the detail of the special cases with which we are concerned. The later §§ 2.4–2.7 give partial results concerning Herbrand functions in those special cases. The notation we set out here remains standard throughout the paper.

2.1 Let $\mathfrak{E}(F)$ be the set of endo-classes of simple characters over F . When working with this set, we follow the scheme of [BH17, 4.2] (apart from one minor adjustment of notation).

To each $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}(F)$ one attaches positive integer invariants $\deg \Theta$, e_Θ and a non-negative rational invariant ς_Θ . (In [BH17], ς_Θ is m_Θ .) We will never be concerned with the case $\varsigma_\Theta = 0$, so assume $\varsigma_\Theta > 0$. Let μ_F be a character of F of level one. By definition, μ_F is trivial on \mathfrak{p}_F , but not trivial on \mathfrak{o}_F . There exist a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$ in a matrix ring $M_n(F)$ and a simple character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, 0, \beta, \mu_F)$ of endo-class Θ . (Here, we have used the full notation of [BK93, (3.2.1), (3.2.3)], but we almost invariably abbreviate it to $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \beta)$.) The algebra $E = F[\beta]$ is a field and

$$\deg \Theta = [E:F], \quad e_\Theta = e(E|F), \quad \varsigma_\Theta = m/e_\mathfrak{a},$$

where $e_\mathfrak{a}$ is the \mathfrak{o}_F -period of the hereditary \mathfrak{o}_F -order \mathfrak{a} . We shall say that θ is a *realization* of Θ on $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$, and that E/F is a *parameter field* for Θ .

While $\deg \Theta$, e_Θ and ς_Θ are invariants of Θ , there will often be many choices for the field extension E/F , even up to isomorphism. The number ς_Θ has a useful interpretation. If $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$ contains a simple character of endo-class Θ , then, in the notation of the introduction, $\varsigma_\Theta = \mathrm{sw}(\pi)/\mathrm{gr}(\pi)$.

Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\mathrm{wr}}$. Thus $\sigma = {}^L\pi$, for some $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$. If Θ is the endo-class of a simple character contained in π , then $\mathrm{sw}(\sigma) = \mathrm{sw}(\pi)$ and

$$\mathrm{sw}(\sigma)/\dim \sigma = \mathrm{sw}(\pi)/\mathrm{gr}(\pi) = \varsigma_\Theta. \quad (2.1.1)$$

2.2 Attached to $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}(F)$ is a *structure function* $\Phi_\Theta(x)$, $x \geq 0$, as defined in the introduction. It is given by the explicit formula (4.4.1) of [BH17] which we do not need to repeat: for the special cases considered here, see (2.4.1) below. If $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$ contains a simple character of endo-class Θ , the definition gives

$$\Phi_\Theta(0) = \mathrm{sw}(\tilde{\pi} \times \pi)/\mathrm{gr}(\pi)^2. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$. The orbit $[\sigma]_0^+ \in \mathcal{W}_F \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_F$ and the canonical map $\mathfrak{E}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_F \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_F$, $\Theta \mapsto {}^L\Theta$, are as in the introduction.

Attached to σ is a *decomposition function* $\Sigma_\sigma(x)$, $x \geq 0$, defined as follows [BH17, (3.1.2)]. Let σ act on the vector space V , so that the semisimple representation $\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma$ acts on $X = \check{V} \otimes V$. For $\delta > 0$, let $X(\delta)$ be the space of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(\delta)$ -fixed points in X . This has a unique $\mathcal{R}_F^+(\delta)$ -complement $X'(\delta)$ in X . The spaces $X(\delta)$, $X'(\delta)$ provide semisimple, smooth representations of \mathcal{W}_F . One sets

$$\Sigma_\sigma(\delta) = (\dim \sigma)^{-2}(\delta \dim X(\delta) + \mathrm{sw} X'(\delta)). \quad (2.2.2)$$

The function Σ_σ depends only on the orbit $[\sigma]_0^+ \in \mathcal{W}_F \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_F$.

Obviously, $\Sigma_\sigma(0) = \mathrm{sw}(\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma)/(\dim \sigma)^2$. Let $\sigma = {}^L\pi$, $\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{GL}}_F$, and let Θ be the endo-class of a simple character contained in π . Since the Langlands correspondence preserves Swan exponents of pairs, we have

$$\Sigma_\sigma(0) = \frac{\mathrm{sw}(\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma)}{(\dim \sigma)^2} = \frac{\mathrm{sw}(\tilde{\pi} \times \pi)}{\mathrm{gr}(\pi)^2} = \Phi_\Theta(0).$$

DEFINITION 1. Let $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}(F)$ and let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$ satisfy $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$. Define the *Herbrand function* Ψ_Θ of Θ by $\Psi_\Theta = \Phi_\Theta^{-1} \circ \Sigma_\sigma$.

The function Ψ_Θ is continuous, strictly increasing and piecewise linear. It does not depend on the choice of σ in its definition. It satisfies $\Psi_\Theta(0) = 0$ and $\Psi_\Theta(x) = x$ for $x \geq \varsigma_\Theta$.

DEFINITION 2. A *jump* of Ψ_Θ is a point x , $0 < x < \varsigma_\Theta$, at which Ψ'_Θ is not continuous.

In many cases, the derivative Ψ'_Θ has a discontinuity at ς_Θ , but it holds no interest so we exclude it as a jump. The derivative Ψ'_Θ takes only finitely many values, and the function Ψ_Θ has only finitely many jumps.

We often use the following property. Let K/F be a finite, tamely ramified field extension and set $e = e(K|F)$. Let $\Theta^K \in \mathfrak{E}(K)$ be a K/F -lift of Θ [BH96, 9.7]. By [BH17, 7.1 Proposition],

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = \Psi_{\Theta^K}(ex)/e, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (2.2.3)$$

In Galois-theoretic terms, if $\sigma \in \widehat{W}_F$ and $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$, then ${}^L(\Theta^K) = [\tau]_0^+ \in \mathcal{W}_K \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_K$, for some irreducible component τ of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_K}$: this follows from [BH14b, 6.2 Proposition].

2.3 Let $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}(F)$. Say that Θ is *totally wild* if $\deg \Theta = e_\Theta = p^r$, for an integer $r \geq 0$. So if Θ is totally wild and if E/F is a parameter field for Θ , then E/F is totally ramified of degree p^r . If Θ is totally wild and K/F is a finite tame extension, then Θ has a *unique* K/F -lift and that lift is totally wild.

Suppose that $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}(F)$ is totally wild of degree p^r . Say that Θ is of *Carayol type* if $r \geq 1$ and the integer $p^r \varsigma_\Theta$ is not divisible by p (cf. [Car84]).

Notation. Let $\mathfrak{E}^C(F)$ denote the set of $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}(F)$ that are totally wild of Carayol type.

Let $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r . There is a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$ carrying a realization of Θ . We describe this following the definitions in [BK93, ch. 3]. The integer m is $p^r \varsigma_\Theta$, the field extension $E = F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r and \mathfrak{a} is the unique hereditary \mathfrak{o}_F -order in M that is stable under conjugation by E^\times . The integer $m = -v_E(\alpha)$ is not divisible by p , so the element α is *minimal over F* , in the sense of [BK93, (1.4.14)]. We form the group

$$H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = U_E^1 U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[m/2]}.$$

Set $\mu_M = \mu_F \circ \text{tr}_M$, where $\text{tr}_M : M \rightarrow F$ is the matrix trace. Define a function $\mu_M * \alpha$ on M by

$$\mu_M * \alpha(x) = \mu_M(\alpha(x-1)), \quad x \in M. \quad (2.3.1)$$

In particular, $\mu_M * \alpha$ represents a character of the group $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[m/2]}$. It is trivial on $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+m}$ but non-trivial on $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^m$. The set $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha) = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, 0, \alpha, \mu_M)$ consists of all characters ϑ of $H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ such that $\vartheta|_{U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[m/2]}} = \mu_M * \alpha|_{U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[m/2]}}$. By hypothesis, there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ of endo-class Θ .

Remarks.

- (1) The endo-class of any $\vartheta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ is totally wild of Carayol type.
- (2) Characters $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ are endo-equivalent if and only if they are equal; this follows from [BK93] (3.3.2) and is peculiar to this situation.
- (3) In the same vein, let t be an integer, $0 \leq t \leq [m/2]$. The restricted characters $\vartheta_i|_{H^{1+t}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})}$ intertwine if and only if they are *equal*.

In (3), $H^{1+t}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ means $H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \cap U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+t}$.

2.4 We specialize to the case of $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$.

PROPOSITION. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r . Choose $\sigma \in \widehat{W}_F$ such that $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$.

(1) The function Φ_Θ satisfies

$$\Phi_\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi_\Theta(0) + p^{-r}x, & 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta, \\ x, & x \leq \varsigma_\Theta. \end{cases} \quad (2.4.1)$$

(2) $\Psi_\Theta(0) = 0$ and $\Psi_\Theta(x) = x$, for $x \geq \varsigma_\Theta$.

(3) There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\Psi'_\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} p^{-r}, & 0 < x < \varepsilon, \\ p^r, & \varsigma_\Theta - \varepsilon < x < \varsigma_\Theta. \end{cases}$$

(4) The function Ψ_Θ is convex in the region $0 < x < \varsigma_\Theta$.

(5) If $0 < x < \varsigma_\Theta$, then $0 < \Psi_\Theta(x) < x$.

(6) The jumps of Ψ_Θ are the discontinuities of $\Sigma'_\sigma(x)$.

(7) If $\varsigma_\Theta = m/p^r$ then

$$\Phi_\Theta(0) = \Sigma_\sigma(0) = m(p^r - 1)/p^{2r}. \quad (2.4.2)$$

Proof. Part (1) is the definition (4.4.1) in [BH17], and part (2) has already been noted. Part (3) is an instance of [BH17, 7.6 Proposition]. The function Σ_σ is convex (2.2.2), and so (4) follows from (1). Part (5) now follows from (4) and (3). Part (6) follows from (1). Part (7) follows from (2.2.1) and [BH17, 4.1 Proposition]. \square

2.5 Key arguments will rely on the Interpolation Theorem of [BH17, 7.5]. We give an overview of that result, as it applies to $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$.

DEFINITION. A *twisting datum* over F is a triple (k, c, χ) in which

- (1) $k \geq 1$ is an integer;
- (2) c is an element of F such that $v_F(c) = -k$;
- (3) χ is a character of F^\times , of Swan exponent k , such that

$$\chi(x) = \mu_F * c(x), \quad x \in U_F^{1+[k/2]}.$$

Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r . Suppose that Θ is the endo-class of $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, exactly as in 2.3. If (k, c, χ) is a twisting datum over F , the character $\chi \circ \det$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ satisfies

$$\chi(\det x) = \mu_M * c(x), \quad x \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[p^r k/2]}.$$

Following the discussion in [BH17, 7.4], the quadruple $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha + c]$ is a simple stratum in M , such that $H^1(\alpha + c, \mathfrak{a}) = H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$. The character $\chi\theta : x \mapsto \chi(\det x)\theta(x)$, $x \in H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, lies in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha + c)$. Denote by $\chi\Theta$ the endo-class of $\chi\theta$.

Let \mathbb{A} be the ultrametric on $\mathcal{E}(F)$ defined in [BH17, 5.1] (see also the Notes below). We first give a preliminary version of the result, which follows from [BH17, 7.3 Proposition].

PROPOSITION 1. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer that is not a jump of Ψ_Θ . If (k, c, χ) is a twisting datum over F , then $\Psi_\Theta(k) = \mathbb{A}(\chi\Theta, \Theta)$. In particular, $\mathbb{A}(\chi\Theta, \Theta)$ depends only on k , but not on c or χ .

Notes.

- (1) In the context of the proposition, $\mathbb{A}(\chi\Theta, \Theta) = t/p^r$, where t is the least integer such that the characters $\theta \mid H^{1+t}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, $\chi\theta \mid H^{1+t}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ intertwine in $\mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$; that is the definition of \mathbb{A} in this case.
- (2) The characters $\theta \mid H^{1+t}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, $\chi\theta \mid H^{1+t}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ intertwine in $\mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ if and only if they are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ [BK93, (3.5.11)]. If this holds, the conjugation can be implemented by an element of $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$.
- (3) When k is a jump of Ψ_{Θ} , $\mathbb{A}(\chi\Theta, \Theta)$ may depend on χ , not only on k .

We recall more about the notion of tame lifting, as it applies to $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$. Let K/F be a finite, tamely ramified field extension with $e(K|F) = e$. We form simple characters over K relative to the character $\mu_K = \mu_F \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}$ of K . There is a unique simple stratum in $M_{p^r}(K)$ of the form $[\mathfrak{a}^K, em, 0, \alpha]$. Setting $EK = K[\alpha] \subset M_{p^r}(K)$, there is a unique $\theta^K \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}^K, \alpha)$ such that $\theta^K(x) = \theta(N_{EK/E}(x))$, $x \in U_{EK}^1$. The endo-class Θ^K of θ^K lies in $\mathcal{E}^C(K)$ and is the unique K/F -lift of Θ . Combining Proposition 1 with (2.2.3), we obtain the following result.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let K/F be a finite tame extension with $e = e(K|F)$, and let \mathbb{A}_K be the canonical ultrametric on $\mathcal{E}(K)$. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer such that k/e is not a jump of Ψ_{Θ} . If (k, c, χ) is a twisting datum over K , then*

$$\Psi_{\Theta}(k/e) = \Psi_{\Theta^K}(k)/e = \mathbb{A}_K(\chi\Theta^K, \Theta^K)/e.$$

Proposition 2 summarizes the Interpolation Theorem.

2.6 Again let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r . Choose a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$ carrying a realization $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ of Θ (as in 2.3). We use the Interpolation Theorem to determine Ψ_{Θ} on half of (the interesting part of) its domain.

PROPOSITION. *Writing $E = F[\alpha]/F$, the Herbrand function Ψ_{Θ} satisfies*

$$\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = p^{-r} \psi_{E/F}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_{\Theta}/2,$$

where $\psi_{E/F}$ is the classical Herbrand function of 1.1, 1.7.

Proof. Let k be an integer, $0 < k < \varsigma_{\Theta}/2$, which is not a jump of either function $\psi_{E/F}$, Ψ_{Θ} . Let (k, χ, c) be a twisting datum over F . The character $\chi \circ \det$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ is trivial on $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+p^rk}$. Since $p^rk \leq [m/2]$, it is also trivial on the group $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[m/2]}$. The character $\chi\theta : y \mapsto \chi(\det y)\theta(y)$, $y \in H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, thus lies in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ (2.3). The characters $\chi\theta$, θ intertwine on a group $H^{1+t}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \cap U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+t}$, $t \geq 0$, if and only if they are equal there (2.3 Remark (3)). So, recalling 2.5 Note 1, $\mathbb{A}(\Theta, \chi\Theta) = t/p^r$ where t is the least non-negative integer such that $\chi \circ \det$ is trivial on $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+t}$. We have $\chi \circ \det(y) = \chi \circ N_{E/F}(y)$, $y \in E^{\times}$. That k is not a jump of $\psi_{E/F}$ implies $t = \psi_{E/F}(k)$ (1.3 Proposition) and the result follows from 2.5 Proposition 1 in this case.

In general, it is enough to prove the desired identity on a dense set of points x satisfying $0 < x < \varsigma_{\Theta}/2$. Take $x = a/b$, for positive integers a and b with b not divisible by p . Assume that x is not a jump of $\psi_{E/F}$ or Ψ_{Θ} . Let K/F be a finite, tamely ramified field extension with $e(K|F) = b$. If Θ^K is the unique K/F -lift of Θ , then bx is not a jump of $\psi_{EK/K}$ or Ψ_{Θ^K} . The first case of the argument, 2.5 Proposition 2 and 1.1 Lemma together yield

$$\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = \Psi_{\Theta^K}(a)/b = p^{-r} \psi_{EK/K}(a)/b = p^{-r} \psi_{E/F}(x),$$

as required. \square

Remark. In the context of the proposition, there is no reason to demand that E/F be separable. This condition can be imposed, at the cost of a technical argument, but it is easier and more natural to extend the definition of the classical Herbrand function as in 1.7.

2.7 Remaining in the situation of 2.6, we refine the other part of 2.4 Proposition (3). We use the concept of *formal intertwining* of strata (as in [BK93, 2.6]).

PROPOSITION. *Let k be an integer, $0 < k < \varsigma_\Theta$, which is not a jump of Ψ_Θ . Let $t = p^r \Psi_\Theta(k)$. If $2t > m$, then t is the least integer such that the strata $[\mathfrak{a}, m, t, \alpha]$, $[\mathfrak{a}, m, t, \alpha + c]$ intertwine formally.*

Proof. Let l be an integer such that $2l > m$. We have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \theta(x) &= \mu_M * \alpha(x), \\ \chi\theta(x) &= \mu_M * (\alpha + c)(x), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad x \in H^{1+l}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+l}.$$

In this situation, an element g of $\mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ intertwines $\theta|U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+l}$ with $\chi\theta|U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+l}$ if and only if $g^{-1}(\alpha + \mathfrak{p}^{-l})g \cap (\alpha + c + \mathfrak{p}^{-l}) \neq \emptyset$, that is, g intertwines the strata $[\mathfrak{a}, m, l, \alpha]$, $[\mathfrak{a}, m, l, \alpha + c]$ formally. The result thus follows from 2.5 Proposition 1. \square

3. Functional equation

Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ (2.3 Notation) be of degree p^r . In particular, $r \geq 1$. In this section, we uncover a profound and surprising property of the function Ψ_Θ .

3.1 The main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r , $r \geq 1$. The Herbrand function Ψ_Θ satisfies*

$$\varsigma_\Theta - x = \Psi_\Theta(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x)), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta. \quad (3.1.1)$$

For many arguments, it is convenient to have an alternative formulation of (3.1.1).

SYMMETRY. *The function Ψ_Θ satisfies $0 \leq \Psi_\Theta(x) \leq x$, for $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta$. In that range, the graph $y = \Psi_\Theta(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the line $x + y = \varsigma_\Theta$.*

The first assertion here is 2.4 Proposition (5). Reflection in the line $x + y = \varsigma_\Theta$ is the map

$$\mathfrak{i}_\Theta : (x, y) \longmapsto (\varsigma_\Theta - y, \varsigma_\Theta - x),$$

so the two formulations are indeed equivalent.

Before embarking on the proof of (3.1.1), we observe that it has a converse. As recalled in 2.5, the set $\mathcal{E}(F)$ carries a canonical action $(\chi, \Theta) \mapsto \chi\Theta$ of the group of characters χ of U_F^1 . It has the property $\Psi_{\chi\Theta} = \Psi_\Theta$ [BH17, 7.4 Proposition].

COROLLARY. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ be totally wild, with $\deg \Theta = p^r$, $r \geq 1$. Suppose that $\varsigma_\Theta \leq \varsigma_{\chi\Theta}$, for all characters χ of U_F^1 . The function Ψ_Θ satisfies (3.1.1) if and only if $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$.*

Proof. The hypothesis on Θ is equivalent to $\varsigma_\Theta = ap^{t-r}$, for integers $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $0 \leq t < r$ [BH17, 7.6 Remark]. In particular, $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ if and only if $t = 0$. By [BH17, 7.6 Proposition], there exist $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\Psi'_\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} p^{-r}, & 0 < x < \epsilon, \\ p^{r-t}, & \varsigma_\Theta - \delta < x < \varsigma_\Theta. \end{cases}$$

If the functional equation holds for Θ , then $t = 0$ and so $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$. The converse is the theorem. \square

The proof of (3.1.1) occupies the entire section. The first intermediate result, 3.4 Theorem, is entirely Galois-theoretic and applies to a relatively wide class of representations. The second, 3.5 Theorem, applies only to representations of Carayol type, and its proof depends on an intervention from the GL side, in the form of a case of the conductor formula of [BHK98]. That result forms the first step in an inductive proof of the theorem above.

3.2 Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$. Let ς_σ be the *slope* of σ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_\sigma &= \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \subset \text{Ker } \sigma\} \\ &= \text{sw}(\sigma) / \dim \sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.1}$$

by [Hen80, Théorème 3.5]. If $\varsigma_\sigma > 0$, then $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\varsigma_\sigma)}$ does not contain the trivial character.

DEFINITION. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$.

- (1) Say that σ is *totally wild* if the restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{P}_F}$ of σ to \mathcal{P}_F is irreducible. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be the set of totally wild elements σ of $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$. Say that $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ is *of Carayol type* if p does not divide $\text{sw}(\sigma)$ and $\dim \sigma \neq 1$.
- (2) Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ have dimension p^r . Say that σ is *absolutely wild* if the associated projective representation $\bar{\sigma} : \mathcal{W}_F \rightarrow \text{PGL}_{p^r}(\mathbb{C})$ factors through a finite Galois group $\text{Gal}(E/F)$, with E/F totally wildly ramified. Write $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$ for the set of absolutely wild elements σ of $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$.

We remark that, if $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$, then $\dim \sigma = p^r$, for some $r \geq 0$.

LEMMA. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$. Let K/F be a finite, tamely ramified field extension and set $e(K|F) = e$. The representation $\sigma^K = \sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_K}$ is irreducible. It lies in $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K^{\text{wr}}$ and

$$\Sigma_\sigma(x) = e^{-1} \Sigma_{\sigma^K}(ex), \quad x \geq 0.$$

One may choose K/F so that $\sigma^K \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K^{\text{awr}}$.

Proof. The relation between decomposition functions is [BH17, 3.2 Proposition]. The projective representation $\bar{\sigma}$ factors through a finite Galois group $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. The second assertion holds when K/F is the maximal tame sub-extension of E/F . \square

3.3 Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$. Directly from the definition recalled in (2.2.2), $\Sigma_\sigma(x) = x$, for $x > \varsigma_\sigma - \epsilon$ and some $\epsilon > 0$. Thus all discontinuities of $\Sigma'_\sigma(x)$ lie in the region $0 < x < \varsigma_\sigma$. We call such points the *jumps* of Σ_σ .

We assemble some properties of absolutely wild representations.

LEMMA 1. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$ have dimension p^r , $r \geq 1$. Let a be the least jump of the function Σ_σ .

- (1) The jump a is an integer and there exists a character χ of \mathcal{W}_F , with $\text{sw}(\chi) = a$, such that $\chi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$.
- (2) If χ' is a non-trivial character of \mathcal{W}_F such that $\chi' \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$, then $\text{sw}(\chi') \geq a$.
- (3) The character χ of (1) has order p . If K/F is the cyclic extension such that $\mathcal{W}_K = \text{Ker } \chi$, there exists $\tau \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K^{\text{awr}}$ such that $\sigma \cong \text{Ind}_{K/F} \tau$. The representation τ is uniquely determined up to conjugation by $\text{Gal}(K/F)$.
- (4) Suppose, in (3), that $r \geq 2$. The representation τ is then of Carayol type if and only if σ is of Carayol type.

Proof. Parts (1)–(3) are [BH17, 8.3 Theorem]. Let $w_{K/F}$ be the wild exponent of the extension K/F (1.6.1). The formula $\text{sw}(\sigma) = \text{sw}(\tau) + \dim(\tau) w_{K/F}$ (1.6 Lemma) gives $\text{sw}(\sigma) \equiv \text{sw}(\tau) \pmod{p}$ and part (4) follows. \square

Continuing in the situation of Lemma 1, we gather some standard facts from § 1 and [Ser68], for convenience of reference.

LEMMA 2.

- (1) The point a is the unique ramification jump of the extension K/F , in either upper or lower numbering.
- (2) The group $\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(a)$ is of index p in $\mathcal{R}_F(a)$ and $\mathcal{R}_F^+(a) \subset \mathcal{W}_K$, while $\mathcal{W}_F = \mathcal{W}_K \mathcal{R}_F(a)$.
- (3) The following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_K(\epsilon) &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_K, & 0 < \epsilon \leq a, \\ \mathcal{R}_F(\varphi_{K/F}(\epsilon)), & a < \epsilon; \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{R}_K^+(\epsilon) &= \mathcal{R}_F^+(\varphi_{K/F}(\epsilon)), \quad a \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- (4) The Herbrand function $\varphi_{K/F}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{K/F}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\ a + (x-a)/p, & a \leq x. \end{cases}$$

3.4 As in the first part of the proof of 3.1 Theorem, we develop 3.3 Lemma 1 using the same notation. The first jump of Σ_σ is at a , χ is a character of \mathcal{W}_F such that $\text{sw}(\chi) = a$ and $\chi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$. Again, $\mathcal{W}_K = \text{Ker } \chi$ and $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{K/F} \tau$, $\tau \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K^{\text{awr}}$.

For $\epsilon > 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned} d_\epsilon(\sigma) &= \dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}(\sigma), \\ d_\epsilon^+(\sigma) &= \dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)$, $\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)$ are normal subgroups of the pro- p group \mathcal{P}_F , the integers $d_\epsilon(\sigma)$, $d_\epsilon^+(\sigma)$ are non-negative powers of p . Referring back to the definition (2.2.2) of Σ_σ , $p^{-2r}d_\epsilon(\sigma)$ is the left derivative of the piecewise linear function Σ_σ at the point ϵ . Likewise, $p^{-2r}d_\epsilon^+(\sigma)$ is the right derivative of Σ_σ at ϵ . It follows that $d_\epsilon(\sigma) = d_\epsilon^+(\sigma)$ unless ϵ is a jump of Σ_σ . If ϵ is a jump of Σ_σ , then $w_\epsilon(\sigma) = d_\epsilon^+(\sigma)/d_\epsilon(\sigma)$ is a positive power of p . Since

$$\Sigma'_\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} p^{-2r}, & 0 < x < \delta, \\ 1, & \varsigma_\sigma - \delta < x, \end{cases}$$

for some $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\prod_{\epsilon > 0} w_{\epsilon}(\sigma) = \prod_{\epsilon > 0} d_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma)/d_{\epsilon}(\sigma) = p^{2r}.$$

We make parallel definitions,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_F d_{\epsilon}(\tau) &= \dim \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_K}(\tau), \\ {}_F d_{\epsilon}^{+}(\tau) &= \dim \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{R}_F^{+}(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_K}(\tau), \\ {}_F w_{\epsilon}(\tau) &= {}_F d_{\epsilon}^{+}(\tau)/{}_F d_{\epsilon}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

The quotient $w_{\epsilon}(\sigma)/{}_F w_{\epsilon}(\tau)$ is a power of p , and

$$\prod_{\epsilon > 0} w_{\epsilon}(\sigma)/{}_F w_{\epsilon}(\tau) = p^2. \quad (3.4.1)$$

Remark. One can define $d_{\epsilon}(\tau)$, etc., exactly as before, relative to the base field K . One then has ${}_F d_{\epsilon}(\tau) = d_{\psi_{K/F}(\epsilon)}(\tau)$ (cf. 3.3 Lemma 2), and similarly for the other functions. We use the notation ${}_F d_{\epsilon}(\tau)$ to simplify comparison between the two base fields F and K .

We continue with the notation from the start of the subsection: in particular, $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$. We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/F)$, $\gamma \neq 1$. The quantity*

$$c = c_{K/F}(\sigma) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_K}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) \neq 0\} \quad (3.4.2)$$

is independent of the choice of γ . The following properties hold.

- (1) $c \geq a$.
- (2) If $c > a$, then $w_a(\sigma)/{}_F w_a(\tau) = w_c(\sigma)/{}_F w_c(\tau) = p$, while $w_{\epsilon}(\sigma)/{}_F w_{\epsilon}(\tau) = 1$ for all other values of $\epsilon > 0$.
- (3) If $c = a$, then $w_a(\sigma)/{}_F w_a(\tau) = p^2$, while $w_{\epsilon}(\sigma)/{}_F w_{\epsilon}(\tau) = 1$ for all other values of $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. The irreducible components of the semisimple representation $\tau|_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$ are all \mathcal{W}_K -conjugate and occur with the same multiplicity. Likewise for $\tau^{\gamma}|_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_K}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) \neq 0 \iff \tau^{\gamma}|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_K} \cong \tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{W}_K}.$$

This condition is surely independent of $\gamma \neq 1$. If $0 < \epsilon < a$, the function Σ_{σ} is smooth at ϵ , whence $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$ is irreducible. It is induced from $\tau|_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$, whence follows part (1) of the theorem.

To proceed, we need another litany of notation. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose an irreducible component σ_{ϵ} of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$. Let $l_{\epsilon}(\sigma)$ be the number of distinct \mathcal{W}_F -conjugates of σ_{ϵ} , and $m_{\epsilon}(\sigma)$ the multiplicity of σ_{ϵ} in $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$. Thus $d_{\epsilon}(\sigma) = l_{\epsilon}(\sigma)m_{\epsilon}(\sigma)^2$ while $l_{\epsilon}(\sigma)m_{\epsilon}(\sigma)$ is the Jordan–Hölder length of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$. All of these numbers are non-negative powers of p .

Similarly, choose an irreducible component σ_{ϵ}^{+} of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^{+}(\epsilon)}$ and define $l_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma)$, $m_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma)$ in the same manner. Thus $d_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma) = l_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma)m_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma)^2$ and $l_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma)m_{\epsilon}^{+}(\sigma)$ is the Jordan–Hölder length of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^{+}(\epsilon)}$, all being non-negative powers of p .

In exactly the same way, let τ_{ϵ} be an irreducible component of $\tau|_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$ and τ_{ϵ}^{+} an irreducible component of $\tau|_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F^{+}(\epsilon)}$. We take $\sigma_{\epsilon} = \tau_{\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon > a$ and $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{+} = \tau_{\epsilon}^{+}$ for $\epsilon \geq a$ (cf. 3.3 Lemma 2).

LEMMA 1. If Σ_σ is smooth at a point $\epsilon > 0$ then Σ_τ is smooth at $\psi_{K/F}(\epsilon)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\epsilon < a$, so that $\psi_{K/F}(\epsilon) = \epsilon$. The definition of a ensures that the function Σ_σ is smooth at ϵ . The representation τ is irreducible on $\mathcal{R}_K(a) = \mathcal{R}_F(a) \cap \mathcal{W}_K$, and so also on $\mathcal{R}_K(\epsilon)$. It follows that Σ_τ is smooth at ϵ .

The function Σ_σ is not smooth at a , so take $\epsilon > a$. Since Σ_σ is smooth at ϵ , [BH17, 8.1 Proposition] shows that the representation σ_ϵ is irreducible on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)$ and that σ_ϵ is not \mathcal{W}_F -conjugate to $\chi \otimes \sigma_\epsilon$, for any character $\chi \neq 1$ of $\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)/\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)$. We have taken $\tau_\epsilon = \sigma_\epsilon$, so τ_ϵ is irreducible on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(\psi_{K/F}(\epsilon)) = \mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)$, and it is not \mathcal{W}_K -conjugate to $\tau_\epsilon \otimes \phi$, for any non-trivial character ϕ of $\mathcal{R}_F(\psi_{K/F}(\epsilon))/\mathcal{R}_F^+(\psi_{K/F}(\epsilon)) = \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)/\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)$. Therefore Σ_τ is smooth at $\psi_{K/F}(\epsilon)$, as required. \square

We assume henceforth that $\epsilon > a$ and use the notation introduced for Lemma 1. The \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of (the isomorphism class of) σ_ϵ is of the form $G_\epsilon = \mathcal{W}_{E_\epsilon}$, for a finite field extension E_ϵ/F . Likewise, let $G_\epsilon^+ = \mathcal{W}_{E_\epsilon^+}$ denote the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of σ_ϵ^+ . The \mathcal{W}_K -stabilizer of $\tau_\epsilon = \sigma_\epsilon$ is then $\mathcal{W}_K \cap G_\epsilon = \mathcal{W}_{KE_\epsilon}$, and similarly for the objects labelled $+$.

LEMMA 2. If $\epsilon > a$, then

$$\frac{d_\epsilon^+(\sigma)}{d_\epsilon(\sigma)} = \frac{{}_F d_\epsilon^+(\tau)}{{}_F d_\epsilon(\tau)} \frac{[K \cap E_\epsilon : F]}{[K \cap E_\epsilon^+ : F]}.$$

The quotient of field degrees takes only the values 1 and p .

Proof. Since $\epsilon > a$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_\epsilon(\sigma) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}(\sigma_\epsilon, \tau^\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}(\sigma_\epsilon^\gamma, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

If σ_ϵ^γ occurs in τ , then $\sigma_\epsilon^\gamma = \sigma_\epsilon^\delta$, for some $\delta \in \mathcal{W}_K$, and conversely. The sum is therefore effectively taken over $\gamma \in \mathcal{W}_K \mathcal{W}_{E_\epsilon} / \mathcal{W}_K = \text{Gal}(K/K \cap E_\epsilon)$, so

$$m_\epsilon(\sigma) = {}_F m_\epsilon(\tau) p / [K \cap E_\epsilon : F].$$

By definition, $l_\epsilon(\sigma) = [E_\epsilon : F]$ and ${}_F l_\epsilon(\tau) = [KE_\epsilon : K] = [E_\epsilon : F] / [K \cap E_\epsilon : F]$. That is,

$$l_\epsilon(\sigma) = {}_F l_\epsilon(\tau) [K \cap E_\epsilon : F].$$

Consequently,

$$d_\epsilon(\sigma) = {}_F d_\epsilon(\tau) p^2 / [K \cap E_\epsilon : F]$$

and, likewise,

$$d_\epsilon^+(\sigma) = {}_F d_\epsilon^+(\tau) p^2 / [K \cap E_\epsilon^+ : F].$$

This proves the first assertion of the lemma.

As $[K : F] = p$, the quotient $[K \cap E_\epsilon : F] / [K \cap E_\epsilon^+ : F]$ may take only the values 1, $p^{\pm 1}$. It remains to show that the case $[K \cap E_\epsilon : F] / [K \cap E_\epsilon^+ : F] = p^{-1}$ cannot arise. In other words, we have to show that $K \cap E_\epsilon = F$ implies $K \cap E_\epsilon^+ = F$.

Suppose, therefore, that $K \cap E_\epsilon = F$ or, as amounts to the same, $G_\epsilon \mathcal{W}_K = \mathcal{W}_F$. The restriction of τ to $\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)$ is a multiple of $\sum_\delta \sigma_\epsilon^\delta$, with δ ranging over $G_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{W}_K \setminus \mathcal{W}_K$, while

$\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$ is a multiple of $\sum_{\beta} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{\beta}$, with $\beta \in G_{\epsilon} \setminus \mathcal{W}_F$. Our hypothesis $K \cap E_{\epsilon} = F$ implies that the natural map $G_{\epsilon} \cap \mathcal{W}_K \setminus \mathcal{W}_K \rightarrow G_{\epsilon} \setminus \mathcal{W}_F$ is bijective. We conclude that $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)} = p\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)}$, whence $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)} = p\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon)}$. Put another way,

$$\frac{d_{\epsilon}^+(\sigma)}{d_{\epsilon}(\sigma)} = \frac{Fd_{\epsilon}^+(\tau)}{Fd_{\epsilon}(\tau)},$$

so $K \cap E_{\epsilon}^+ = F$, as required. \square

For c as in (3.4.2), observe that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(c) \cap \mathcal{W}_K}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) = 0. \quad (3.4.3)$$

Otherwise, the representation $\tilde{\tau} \otimes \tau^{\gamma}$ would have an irreducible component λ for which $\text{Ker } \lambda$ contained $\mathcal{R}_F(c) \cap \mathcal{W}_K = \mathcal{R}_K(c')$, where $c' = \psi_{K/F}(c)$. In that case, $\text{Ker } \lambda$ would contain $\mathcal{R}_K(c'')$, for some $c'' < c'$ ([BH17, 2.1 Proposition 1]). That is, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_K(c'')}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) \neq 0$, contrary to the definition of c .

LEMMA 3. *If $\phi > c$, then $w_{\phi}(\sigma)/_F w_{\phi}(\tau) = 1$. If $c > a$, then $w_c(\sigma)/_F w_c(\tau) = p$.*

Proof. Let $\phi > c$, so that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(\phi)}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) \neq 0$. It follows that τ is $\mathcal{R}_F(\phi)$ -isomorphic to τ^{γ} , for all choices of γ . Therefore $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\phi)}$ is a sum of p copies of $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\phi)}$ and so $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(\phi)}$ is a sum of p copies of $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(\phi)}$. This implies $w_{\phi}(\sigma) = _F w_{\phi}(\tau)$.

Suppose $c > a$. We have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F(c) \cap \mathcal{W}_K}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) = 0$ while $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c)}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) \neq 0$. The second property implies that $G_c^+ \mathcal{W}_K = \mathcal{W}_F$, whence $K \cap E_c^+ = F$ (notation as in the proof of Lemma 2). The first property implies $G_c \mathcal{W}_K \neq \mathcal{W}_F$, giving $K \subset E_c$. From Lemma 2, we deduce that $w_c(\sigma)/_F w_c(\tau) = p$. \square

Consider now the situation at the point a .

LEMMA 4. *Let γ generate $\text{Gal}(K/F)$.*

- (1) *If $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) = 0$, then $c > a$ and $w_a(\sigma)/_F w_a(\tau) = p$.*
- (2) *If $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}(\tau, \tau^{\gamma}) \neq 0$, then $c = a$ and $w_a(\sigma)/_F w_a(\tau) = p^2$.*

Proof. The representation $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(a)}$ is irreducible and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(a)} &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{W}_K \setminus \mathcal{W}_F / \mathcal{R}_F(a)} \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(a)}^{\mathcal{R}_F(a)} \tau^x | (\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(a)) \\ &= \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(a)}^{\mathcal{R}_F(a)} \tau | (\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(a)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that τ is irreducible on $\mathcal{R}_K(a) = \mathcal{R}_F(a) \cap \mathcal{W}_K$, and that the representations $\tau^{\gamma}|_{\mathcal{R}_K(a)}$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{W}_K \setminus \mathcal{W}_F$, are distinct.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)} &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{W}_K \setminus \mathcal{W}_F / \mathcal{R}_F^+(a)} \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}^{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)} \tau^x | (\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F^+(a)) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{W}_K \setminus \mathcal{W}_F} \tau^{\gamma} | \mathcal{R}_F^+(a). \end{aligned}$$

The restrictions $\tau^\gamma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$ are either disjoint or identical. If they are disjoint, then

$$l_a^+(\sigma) = {}_F l_a^+(\tau)p \quad \text{and} \quad m_a^+(\sigma) = {}_F m_a^+(\tau).$$

In this case,

$$d_a^+(\sigma) = {}_F d_a^+(\tau)p \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^\gamma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(a) \not\cong \tau | \mathcal{R}_F^+(a), \quad \gamma \neq 1.$$

If the $\tau^\gamma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$ are identical, then

$$l_a^+(\sigma) = {}_F l_a^+(\tau), \quad m_a^+(\sigma) = {}_F m_a^+(\tau)p,$$

yielding

$$d_a^+(\sigma) = {}_F d_a^+(\tau)p^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^\gamma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(a) \cong \tau | \mathcal{R}_F^+(a).$$

Since $d_a(\sigma) = {}_F d_a(\tau) = 1$, the lemma follows. \square

We prove the theorem. Part (1) has been done. Part (2) is given by Lemma 3, Lemma 4(1) and (3.4.1). Part (3) follows from (3.4.1) and Lemma 4(2). \square

3.5 We continue in the situation of 3.4, except that we now specialize to representations of Carayol type. Take K/F and $c_{K/F}(\sigma)$ as in 3.4 Theorem.

THEOREM. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{W}_F^{\text{awt}}$ be of Carayol type and dimension p^r . Let a_σ be the least jump of the function Σ_σ . The largest jump z_σ of Σ_σ is then*

$$z_\sigma = c_{K/F}(\sigma) = \frac{\text{sw}(\sigma) - a_\sigma}{p^r}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on r . Take $r = 1$. We then have $\Sigma_\sigma(0) = (p-1)\text{sw}(\sigma)/p^2$ (2.4.2) and $\Sigma_\sigma(x) = x$ for $x \geq \varsigma_\sigma = \text{sw}(\sigma)/p$. In particular, $0 < a_\sigma \leq z_\sigma < \varsigma_\sigma$. In the region $0 < x < \varsigma_\sigma$, the derivative $\Sigma'_\sigma(x)$ takes the values p^{-2} , 1 and, possibly, p^{-1} (as follows from (2.2.2)). If only the values p^{-2} , 1 occur, then a_σ is the only jump. It lies at the intersection of the lines $y = p^{-2}x + (p-1)\text{sw}(\sigma)/p^2$ and $y = x$, that is, $a_\sigma = \text{sw}(\sigma)/(1+p^r) = (\text{sw}(\sigma) - a_\sigma)/p^r$, as required. If, on the other hand, Σ'_σ takes the value p^{-1} on some interval, then z_σ is given by the intersection of the lines $y = x$ and $y - \Sigma_\sigma(a_\sigma) = (x - a_\sigma)/p$. Since $\Sigma_\sigma(a_\sigma) = p^{-2}a_\sigma + \Sigma_\sigma(0)$, the result follows from a quick calculation.

Assume $r \geq 2$. From 3.3 Lemma 1 we recall the following result.

LEMMA 1. *The representation τ is absolutely wild of Carayol type and dimension p^{r-1} .*

We may therefore assume inductively that

$$z_\tau = (\text{sw}(\tau) - a_\tau)/p^{r-1},$$

where $a_\tau \leq z_\tau$ are the first and last jumps of Σ_τ . We calculate a list of Swan exponents.

LEMMA 2.

- (1) $\text{sw}(\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma) = (p^r - 1)\text{sw}(\sigma)$.
- (2) $\text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau) = (p^{r-1} - 1)\text{sw}(\tau)$.
- (3) If γ generates $\text{Gal}(K/F)$, then $\text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma) = p^{r-1}(\text{sw}(\tau) - a_\sigma)$.

Proof. The representations σ, τ are of Carayol type, so (1) and (2) are given by (2.4.2) and (2.1.1). As in [BH17, (2.5.3)], set

$$\Delta_K(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \inf\{x > 0 : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_K(x)}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \neq 0\}.$$

Thus [BH17, 3.1.4]

$$\frac{\text{sw}(\check{\rho}_1 \otimes \rho_2)}{\dim(\rho_1) \dim(\rho_2)} = \Sigma_{\rho_1}(\Delta_K(\rho_1, \rho_2)), \quad \rho_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K.$$

We started the proof of 3.4 Theorem by observing that, in effect, $\Delta_K(\tau, \tau^\gamma)$ is independent of $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, $\gamma \neq 1$. It follows that $\text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma)$ does not depend on γ . With this in mind, we apply the induction formula for the Swan conductor (1.6 Lemma) to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\tau} \otimes \sigma | \mathcal{W}_K &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \check{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma, \\ \check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma &= \text{Ind}_{K/F}(\check{\tau} \otimes \sigma | \mathcal{W}_K). \end{aligned}$$

By 1.6 Proposition, $w_{K/F} = (p-1)a_\sigma$. So, for any $\gamma \neq 1$,

$$(p-1)\text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma) = \text{sw}(\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma) - \text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau) - p^{2r-1}(p-1)a_\sigma,$$

whence (3) follows. \square

Remark. The formulae in parts (1) and (2) of Lemma 2 rely ultimately on the conductor formula of [BHK98]. This is the only intervention from the GL side in the proofs of the theorems of 3.4 and 3.5. It is, however, crucial.

The definition of $c = c_{K/F}$ in (3.4.2) gives $\psi_{K/F}(c) = \Delta_K(\tau, \tau^\gamma)$. Since $c \geq a_\sigma$ (3.4 Theorem (1)), we have $\psi_{K/F}(c) = a_\sigma + p(c - a_\sigma)$.

LEMMA 3. If $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, $\gamma \neq 1$, then $\Delta_K(\tau, \tau^\gamma) \geq z_\tau$. Equality holds here if and only if $a_\sigma = a_\tau$.

Proof. The relation $\Sigma_\tau(\Delta_K(\tau, \tau^\gamma)) = p^{2-2r}\text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma)$ reduces us to proving

$$\text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma) \geq p^{2r-2}\Sigma_\tau(z_\tau).$$

Since z_τ is the last jump of Σ_τ , we have $\Sigma_\tau(y) = y$, for $y > z_\tau$. In particular, $\Sigma_\tau(z_\tau) = z_\tau$. The inductive hypothesis therefore yields

$$p^{2r-2}\Sigma_\tau(z_\tau) = p^{r-1}(\text{sw}(\tau) - a_\tau).$$

On the other hand, $\text{sw}(\check{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma) = p^{r-1}\text{sw}(\tau) - p^{r-1}a_\sigma$ by Lemma 2(3). By 3.4 Lemma 1, we have $a_\sigma \leq a_\tau$, whence the result follows. \square

LEMMA 4. The element $c = c_{K/F}(\sigma)$ satisfies $c = z_\sigma \geq \varphi_{K/F}(z_\tau)$.

Proof. By definition, the number $\varphi_{K/F}(z_\tau)$ is the infimum of $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tau | \mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)$ is a multiple of a character. Only numbers $\epsilon > a_\sigma$ enter and, by 3.3 Lemma 2, $\mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{W}_K$ for such ϵ . That is, $\varphi_{K/F}(z_\tau)$ is the infimum of $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tau | \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)$ is a multiple of a character. Lemma 3 gives

$$c = \varphi_{K/F}(\Delta_K(\tau, \tau^\gamma)) \geq \varphi_{K/F}(z_\tau) \quad (3.5.1)$$

while, on the other hand, c is the infimum of numbers ϵ such that $\tau \mid \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon) \cong \tau^\gamma \mid \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)$. Thus (3.5.1) implies that c is the infimum of numbers ϵ such that $\sigma \mid \mathcal{R}_F(\epsilon)$ is a multiple of a character. That is, $c = z_\sigma \geq \varphi_{K/F}(z_\tau)$, as required. \square

Lemma 4 yields the first assertion of the theorem. We prove the second. To complete the induction, we have to show that

$$c = z_\sigma = p^{-r}(\text{sw}(\sigma) - a_\sigma).$$

Abbreviating $\Delta = \Delta_K(\tau, \tau^\gamma)$, (3.5.1) asserts that

$$\psi_{K/F}(c) = a_\sigma + p(c - a_\sigma) = \Delta. \quad (3.5.2)$$

We have $\Sigma_\tau(y) = y$, for $y \geq z_\tau$, while Lemma 3 gives $\Delta \geq z_\tau$. So,

$$\Delta = \Sigma_\tau(\Delta) = \text{sw}(\tilde{\tau} \otimes \tau^\gamma)/p^{2r-2} = p^{1-r}(\text{sw}(\tau) - a_\sigma).$$

Combining with (3.5.2), we obtain

$$p^r c = \text{sw}(\tau) + (p^r - p^{r-1} - 1)a_\sigma.$$

However, $\text{sw}(\tau) = \text{sw}(\sigma) - p^{r-1}(p-1)a_\sigma$, whence

$$z_\sigma = c = p^{-r}(\text{sw}(\sigma) - a_\sigma), \quad (3.5.3)$$

as required. \square

Keeping the notation of the theorem, we exhibit a consequence.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$ be of Carayol type and degree p^r , $r \geq 1$. Set $a = a_\sigma$. If $w_a(\sigma)/_F w_a(\tau) = p^2$, then a is the unique jump of the function Σ_σ .*

Proof. Lemma 4(2) of 3.4 implies $c = a_\sigma$. We have just shown that $c = z_\sigma$. The function Σ_σ thus has a unique jump. \square

Remark. The conclusion of the corollary has strong implications for the structure of the representation σ ; see 8.4 Proposition below.

To finish, we note that, because of (2.2.3), the theorem and its corollary apply equally to totally wild representations that are not absolutely wild. In particular, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be of Carayol type and dimension p^r . If a_σ and z_σ are the first and last jumps of the function Σ_σ respectively, they are related by*

$$z_\sigma = \frac{\text{sw}(\sigma) - a_\sigma}{p^r}.$$

3.6 We start the proof of the functional equation (3.1.1). The argument occupies the rest of the section.

In 3.4, 3.5, we effectively worked with decomposition functions. We must now pass to Herbrand functions. To avoid the need for more notation, we work with endo-classes. Nonetheless, the underlying technique is entirely Galois-theoretic and could be phrased in those terms. We start with the necessary translation.

PROPOSITION. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r , $r \geq 1$. If $a_\Theta \leq z_\Theta$ are the first and last jumps of Ψ_Θ , then

$$z_\Theta = \varsigma_\Theta - a_\Theta/p^r = \varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(a_\Theta). \quad (3.6.1)$$

Proof. There exists an irreducible cuspidal representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ that contains a simple character of endo-class Θ . The representation $\sigma = {}^L\pi$ is therefore totally wild of dimension p^r . Moreover, $\mathrm{sw}(\sigma) = p^r \varsigma_\sigma = p^r \varsigma_\Theta$ is not divisible by p , so σ is of Carayol type. The formula in part (1) of 2.4 Proposition implies that the functions $\Psi_\Theta, \Sigma_\sigma$ have the same jumps. In particular, $a_\Theta = a_\sigma$ and $z_\Theta = z_\sigma$. The first equality in (3.6.1) thus follows from 3.5 Corollary 2. In the range $0 < x < a_\Theta$, we have $\Psi'_\Theta(x) = p^{-r}$ and so $a_\Theta/p^r = \Psi_\Theta(a_\Theta)$, as required for the second equality. \square

3.7 Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ be totally wild. Say that Θ is *absolutely wild* if there exists $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\mathrm{awr}}$ such that ${}^L\Theta = [\sigma]_0^+$. The relation $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$ determines σ up to tensoring with a tame character of \mathcal{W}_F [BH14b, 1.3 Proposition]. So, if one choice of σ is absolutely wild, then all are.

For given Θ , there surely exists a finite tame extension T/F so that the unique T/F -lift Θ^T of Θ is absolutely wild. We have $\varsigma_{\Theta^T} = e(T|F)\varsigma_\Theta$. From (2.2.3) we deduce that if (3.1.1) holds for Θ^T it also holds for Θ . We therefore proceed on the basis that the given endo-class Θ is *absolutely wild*.

For the next result, take $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ absolutely wild of degree p^r . Choose $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\mathrm{awr}}$ so that $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$. Define $a = a_\sigma$, K/F and τ , relative to σ , as in 3.3 Lemma 1. Let $c = c_{K/F}(\sigma)$ as in (3.4.2), and note that $a = a_\Theta$.

PROPOSITION. There exists a unique $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{E}(K)$ such that $[\tau]_0^+ = {}^L\Upsilon$. If $r \geq 2$, the endo-class Υ is absolutely wild of degree p^{r-1} , while otherwise $\deg \Upsilon = 1$. In either case, it satisfies

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = p^{-1}\Psi_\Upsilon(\psi_{K/F}(x)), \quad 0 \leq x \leq c.$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of Υ are clear. If $r \geq 2$, then τ is absolutely wild, whence so is Υ . In the region $0 \leq x \leq a$, we have $\Psi_\Theta(x) = p^{-r}x$ while $\Psi_\Upsilon(\psi_{K/F}(x)) = \Psi_\Upsilon(x) = p^{1-r}x$. The required relation therefore holds in this range. In the case $a = c$, there is nothing left to do so we assume $a < c$.

If $a < x < c$, 3.4 Theorem gives $w_x(\sigma) = {}_Fw_x(\tau)$. In other words, the ratio of the derivatives of Ψ_Θ and $\Psi_\Upsilon \circ \psi_{K/F}$ is constant on the interval $a < x < c$. For $a < x < a + \delta$, with δ small and positive, this ratio is equal to p : this follows from the relation $w_a(\sigma)/{}_Fw_a(\tau) = p$. Integrating the derivative relation, the result follows. \square

3.8 We prove (3.1.1). Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be absolutely wild of degree p^r . We first dispose of a singular case.

PROPOSITION. Suppose that Ψ_Θ has a unique jump a . The functional equation (3.1.1) then holds for Θ and $a = p^r \varsigma_\Theta / (1 + p^r)$.

Proof. Appealing to 2.4 Proposition part (3), the graph of Ψ_Θ , in the range $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta$, comprises only segments of the two lines $y = p^{-r}x$, $y = p^r x - (p^r - 1)\varsigma_\Theta$. The latter has slope p^r and passes through the point $(\varsigma_\Theta, \varsigma_\Theta)$. These two lines intersect at the point $(a, p^{-r}a)$, where $a = p^r \varsigma_\Theta / (1 + p^r)$. Using the symmetry formulation of 3.1, the result is clear in this case. \square

We assume henceforth that Ψ_Θ has at least two jumps and proceed by induction on r . Suppose $r = 1$. In this case, Ψ_Θ has exactly two jumps, and they are related as in 3.6 Proposition. The graph consists of segments of the two lines $y = p^{-1}x$, $y = px - (p - 1)\varsigma_\Theta$ and a non-empty segment of a third line of slope 1. Using the symmetry formulation, the result is clear in this case.

Suppose therefore that $r \geq 2$ and that Ψ_Θ has at least two distinct jumps. Let $a = a_\Theta$ be the least jump. There exists a character χ of F^\times , of Swan exponent a and order p , such that $\chi\Theta = \Theta$ (as follows from 3.3 Lemma 1). View χ as a character of \mathcal{W}_F and let $\mathcal{W}_K = \text{Ker } \chi$. Take $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{E}^C(K)$ as in 3.7 Proposition. By the inductive hypothesis,

$$\varsigma_{\mathcal{T}} - y = \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\varsigma_{\mathcal{T}} - \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(y)), \quad 0 \leq y \leq \varsigma_{\mathcal{T}}.$$

Let $z = z_\Theta$ be the largest jump of Ψ_Θ and z_K that of $\Psi_{\mathcal{T}} \circ \psi_{K/F}$. It follows from 3.5 Lemma 4 that $z_K \leq z$. In the range $z < x < \varsigma_\Theta$, we have

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = \varsigma_\Theta - p^r(\varsigma_\Theta - x).$$

Also, $\varsigma_\Theta - x < \varsigma_\Theta - z = a/p^r$, by 3.5 Theorem. Therefore

$$\Psi_\Theta(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x)) = \Psi_\Theta(p^r(\varsigma_\Theta - x)) = \varsigma_\Theta - x,$$

as desired. If, on the other hand, $0 < x < a$, then $\Psi_\Theta(x) = x/p^r$, whence

$$\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x) = \varsigma_\Theta - x/p^r > \varsigma_\Theta - a/p^r = z.$$

Therefore $\Psi_\Theta(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x)) = \varsigma_\Theta - x$.

It remains to treat the range $a < x < z$. Here, $\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x) < \varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(a) = \varsigma_\Theta - a/p^r = z$. We may therefore apply 3.7 Proposition and (3.5.3) to obtain

$$\Psi_\Theta(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x)) = p^{-1} \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\psi_{K/F}(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x))).$$

We have

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) < \Psi_\Theta(z) = \varsigma_\Theta - p^r(\varsigma_\Theta - z) = \varsigma_\Theta - a.$$

That is, $\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x) > a$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{K/F}(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x)) &= \psi_{K/F}(\varsigma_\Theta) - p\Psi_\Theta(x) \\ &= \varsigma_{\mathcal{T}} - p\Psi_\Theta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\Theta(\varsigma_\Theta - \Psi_\Theta(x)) &= p^{-1} \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\varsigma_{\mathcal{T}} - p\Psi_\Theta(x)) \\ &= p^{-1} \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\varsigma_{\mathcal{T}} - \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\psi_{K/F}(x))) \\ &= p^{-1}(\varsigma_{\mathcal{T}} - \psi_{K/F}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

applying the inductive hypothesis at the last step. Finally,

$$p^{-1}(\varsigma_{\mathcal{T}} - \psi_{K/F}(x)) = p^{-1}(\psi_{K/F}(\varsigma_\Theta) - \psi_{K/F}(x)) = \varsigma_\Theta - x,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

4. Symmetry and the bi-Herbrand function

We turn attention to the GL side. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r (in the notation of 2.3). In particular, $r \geq 1$. We observed in 3.1 that the functional equation (3.1.1) can be interpreted as a symmetry property of the graph of Ψ_Θ . This leads us to define a family of more transparent ‘bi-Herbrand functions’ with the same properties of symmetry and convexity. Our objective, realized in § 7, is to calculate Ψ_Θ explicitly as a bi-Herbrand function. However, 4.6 Example at the end of the section does exactly that in a substantial family of cases.

4.1 We draw out some useful features of the graph $y = \Psi_\Theta(x)$. For $\lambda > 0$, let i_λ be the reflection in the line $x+y = \lambda$. That is,

$$i_\lambda : (x, y) \mapsto (\lambda - y, \lambda - x).$$

PROPOSITION. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r and abbreviate $\varsigma = \varsigma_\Theta$.*

- (1) *The graph $y = \Psi_\Theta(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$, is stable under the reflection i_ς .*
- (2) *There is a unique point c_Θ such that $c_\Theta + \Psi_\Theta(c_\Theta) = \varsigma$. The following conditions are equivalent.*
 - (a) *The point c_Θ is not a jump of Ψ_Θ .*
 - (b) *The function Ψ_Θ has an even number of jumps.*
 - (c) *The function Ψ'_Θ takes the value 1 on a non-empty open subset of the region $0 < x < \varsigma$.*
 - (d) *The set I of x for which $\Psi'_\Theta(x) = 1$ is an open interval containing c_Θ .*
- (3) *If conditions (2)(a)–(d) hold, then*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = x - 2c_\Theta + \varsigma, \quad x \in I.$$

- (4) *Let $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$. In all cases, $\Psi'_\Theta(x) \leq 1$ if $x + \Psi_\Theta(x) \leq \varsigma$, while $\Psi'_\Theta(x) \geq 1$ if $x + \Psi_\Theta(x) \geq \varsigma$.*

Proof. Part (1) has been proved in 3.1, as a consequence of (3.1.1). The function Ψ_Θ is strictly increasing, giving the first assertion in (2). The equivalence of (a), (b) and (d) follows from the symmetry of part (1). Suppose (c) holds, and let I be the set of x , $0 < x < \varsigma$, for which $\Psi'_\Theta(x) = 1$. The convexity of Ψ_Θ implies that I is an interval and symmetry implies $c_\Theta \in I$. Thus (c) implies (d), and surely (d) implies (c).

In part (3), there is a neighbourhood of c_Θ on which $\Psi_\Theta(x) = x - b$, for some constant b . Thus $\varsigma = c_\Theta + \Psi_\Theta(c_\Theta) = 2c_\Theta - b$, whence $b = 2c_\Theta - \varsigma$, as required. Part (4) follows from the convexity of Ψ_Θ and the symmetry property of (1). \square

Remark. The function Ψ_Θ is continuous and strictly increasing. The condition $x + \Psi_\Theta(x) \leq \varsigma$ of part (4) is therefore equivalent to $x \leq c_\Theta$.

We frequently use the following simple observation, so we exhibit it as a corollary.

COROLLARY. *The function Ψ_Θ has an odd number of jumps if and only if c_Θ is a jump. In that case, c_Θ is the middle one.*

Proof. The reflection i_ς stabilizes the set of jumps of Ψ_Θ but fixes the point $(c_\Theta, \Psi_\Theta(c_\Theta))$. \square

4.2 We construct a family of i_ς -symmetric functions using more transparent data. They have properties analogous to those in 4.1 Proposition. To specify them, we need two families of auxiliary functions defined using the classical Herbrand functions $\psi_{E/F}$, $\varphi_{E/F}$ of § 1.

DEFINITION. Let E/F be a totally ramified field extension of degree p^r , $r \geq 1$. Let $\varsigma = m/p^r$, where m is a positive integer not divisible by p . Define

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x) &= p^{-r} \psi_{E/F}(x), \\ \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x) &= \varsigma - \varphi_{E/F}(p^r(\varsigma - x)), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma. \quad (4.2.1)$$

The functions $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times$, $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$ are continuous, strictly increasing, convex and piecewise linear in the region $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$. They have only finitely many jumps there.

LEMMA.

- (1) The functions $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times$, $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma - x &= \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(\varsigma - \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x)) \\ &= \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(\varsigma - \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x)). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) There is a unique point $c = c_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ such that $c + \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(c) = \varsigma$. It further satisfies $c + \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(c) = \varsigma$.
- (3) Let $j_\infty = j_\infty(E|F)$ be the largest jump of $\psi_{E/F}$. If $j_\infty < \varsigma$ then j_∞ is the largest jump of $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times$ and

$$\bar{j}_\infty = \varsigma - \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(j_\infty) \quad (4.2.2)$$

is the least jump of $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$. If $j_\infty < c$, then $c < \bar{j}_\infty < \varsigma$.

Proof. Part (1) follows from a simple manipulation of the definition (4.2.1). In (2), the function $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times$ is strictly increasing and $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(0) = 0$, giving the first assertion. For the second, we abbreviate the notation in the obvious way. From (1), $\varsigma - c = \Psi^+(\varsigma - \Psi^\times(c)) = \Psi^+(c)$, as required. The graphs $y = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x)$, $y = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x)$ are interchanged by the involution i_ς , whence (3) follows. \square

We define the *bi-Herbrand function* ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ by

$${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x) = \max \{ \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x), \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x) \}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma. \quad (4.2.3)$$

When speaking of the jumps of ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$, we mean the discontinuities of its derivative in the region $0 < x < \varsigma$.

PROPOSITION. Let $j_\infty = j_\infty(E|F)$ and write $c = c_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$, as in the lemma.

- (1) The function ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ is continuous, strictly increasing, piecewise linear and convex, with only finitely many jumps. The graph $y = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the line $x + y = \varsigma$.

- (2) Suppose $j_\infty \geq c$. The function ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ has an odd number of jumps, of which c is the middle one. The derivative ${}^2\Psi'_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ does not take the value 1. Moreover,

$${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = \begin{cases} \Psi^\times_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) > \Psi^+_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x), & 0 < x < c, \\ \Psi^+_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) > \Psi^\times_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x), & c < x < \varsigma. \end{cases}$$

- (3) Suppose $j_\infty < c$. Defining \bar{j}_∞ as in (4.2.2), we have $j_\infty < c < \bar{j}_\infty$.

- (a) If $j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2\Psi'_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) &= \Psi^{\times'}_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = \Psi^{+'}_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = 1, \\ {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) &= \Psi^\times_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = \Psi^+_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = x - p^{-r}w_{E/F}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) If $0 < x < j_\infty$, then $\Psi^{+'}_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = 1 > \Psi^{\times'}_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$ and

$${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = \Psi^\times_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) > \Psi^+_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x).$$

- (c) If $\bar{j}_\infty < x < \varsigma$, then $\Psi^{\times'}_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = 1 < \Psi^{+'}_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$ and

$${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = \Psi^+_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) > \Psi^\times_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x).$$

In particular, ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps.

Proof. In (1), only convexity requires comment, and that is obvious from parts (2) and (3).

The index $(E/F, \varsigma)$ will be constant throughout, so we omit it for the rest of this argument. We have $\Psi^\times(c) = \Psi^+(c) = {}^2\Psi(c)$. We examine the functions in a small neighbourhood of $x = c$. The values of $\Psi^{\times'}(x)$ are of the form p^{-s} , and those of $\Psi^{+'}(x)$ are p^s , for various integers s such that $0 \leq s \leq r$. In part (2), the left derivative of Ψ^\times at c is, at most, p^{-1} , while the right derivative of Ψ^+ at c is at least p . So, c is a jump of ${}^2\Psi$. The other assertions in (2) follow from the convexity of the functions Ψ^\times and Ψ^+ .

In part (3), the functions Ψ^\times , Ψ^+ agree, and have derivative 1, on the interval $j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty$ (which contains c). The derivative relations are clear from the definitions, and readily imply the main points. \square

Remark. By 1.6 Proposition, the condition $j_\infty \geq c$ amounts to

$$j_\infty + \Psi^\times_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(j_\infty) = 2j_\infty - p^{-r}w_{E/F} \geq \varsigma.$$

By 1.6 Corollary, this will hold if $w_{E/F} \geq m(p^r - 1)/(p^r + 1)$.

4.3 We restate 2.6 Proposition in terms of the bi-Herbrand function.

PROPOSITION. Let $\Theta \in \mathfrak{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r . Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be a realization of Θ on a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M_{p^r}(F)$. If $\varsigma = \varsigma_\theta = m/p^r$ and $E = F[\alpha]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\Theta(x) &= {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = \Psi^\times_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x), & 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma/2, \\ \Psi_\Theta(x) &= {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = \Psi^+_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x), & \varsigma/2 \leq \Psi^+_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) \leq \varsigma. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first assertion combines 2.6 Proposition with 4.2 Proposition. The second follows from the symmetry properties of Ψ_Θ and ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$. \square

4.4 We record the effect of tame lifting on these functions.

PROPOSITION. Let E/F be totally ramified of degree p^r and let $\varsigma = m/p^r$, for a positive integer m not divisible by p . If K/F is a finite tame extension and $e = e(K|F)$, then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x) &= \Psi_{(EK/K, e\varsigma)}^\times(ex)/e, \\ \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x) &= \Psi_{(EK/K, e\varsigma)}^+(ex)/e, \\ {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x) &= {}^2\Psi_{(EK/K, e\varsigma)}(ex)/e, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma.$$

Proof. This combines the definitions (4.2.1), (4.2.3) with 1.1 Lemma. \square

4.5 The second assertion of 4.3 Proposition determines Ψ_Θ where $\Psi_\Theta(x) > \varsigma/2$. That has already been done in 2.7 Proposition, but in a rather different way. Reconciliation of the two approaches reveals a fundamental property of $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$. See 2.5 Definition for the notion of ‘twisting datum’.

PROPOSITION. Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M_{p^r}(F)$, in which $E = F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r and m is not divisible by p . Set $\varsigma = m/p^r$. If (k, c, χ) is a twisting datum over F such that $k < m/p^r$ is not a jump of $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$ then

$$\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(k) = t/p^r,$$

where t is the least integer for which the congruence

$$u^{-1}\alpha u \equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-t}} \quad (4.5.1)$$

admits a solution $u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$.

Proof. Assume initially that $2t > m$. For comparison purposes, choose $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ and let Θ be the endo-class of θ . Thus Θ is totally wild and of Carayol type. By 4.3 Proposition, k is not a jump of Ψ_Θ and so, by 2.7 Proposition, $t/p^r = \Psi_\Theta(k) = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(k)$. Because of the jump condition, t depends on k but not on the element $c \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k}$.

We now admit the possibility $2t \leq m$. The integer t depends on α and c , so we define a function $T(\alpha, c) = p^{-r}t$ where, as before, t is the least integer for which (4.5.1) admits a solution. Let n be a positive integer and take $\nu \in F$ with $v_F(\nu) = -n$. Thus $[\mathfrak{a}, m+p^rn, 0, \nu\alpha]$ is a simple stratum in $M_{p^r}(F)$. The congruences

$$\begin{aligned} u^{-1}\alpha u &\equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-t}}, \\ u^{-1}\nu\alpha u &\equiv \nu(\alpha + c) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-(t+p^rn)}} \end{aligned}$$

have the same sets of solutions $u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$. Consequently,

$$T(\nu\alpha, \nu c) = T(\alpha, c) + n.$$

Provided $2T(\nu\alpha, \nu c) > \varsigma + n$, we therefore have

$$T(\nu\alpha, \nu c) = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma+n)}^+(k+n).$$

The definition of the functions $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$ implies

$$\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma+n)}^+(x+n) = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x) + n,$$

so $k+n$ is not a jump of $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma+n)}^+(x+n)$. The condition $2T(\nu\alpha, \nu c) > \varsigma + n$ thus reduces to $2T(\alpha, c) > \varsigma - n$. So, for integers $k = -v_F(c)$ satisfying $2T(\nu\alpha, \nu c) > k > \varsigma + n$, we have $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(k) = T(\alpha, c)$. Allowing n to increase without bound, we see that $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(k) = T(\alpha, c)$, for all integers k that are not jumps of $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$. \square

Remark. The relation between the function $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$ and intertwining properties of simple strata was observed in more general work of Zink [Zin88, Zin92] on a corresponding problem in F -division algebras.

4.6 To finish the section with an example, we calculate Ψ_Θ in a large family of cases.

EXAMPLE. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r , $r \geq 1$. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be a realization of Θ on a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M_{p^r}(F)$. Write $\varsigma = \varsigma_\theta = m/p^r$ and $E = F[\alpha]$. If $j_\infty(E|F) < \varsigma/2$, then

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma.$$

Proof. By 4.3 Proposition, $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma/2$. Likewise for $\varsigma - \Psi_\Theta(\varsigma/2) \leq x \leq \varsigma$ by symmetry. In particular, $\Psi'_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi'_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x) = 1$ for $j_\infty < x < \varsigma/2$. Thus 4.1 Proposition (2) applies. It shows that $\Psi'_\Theta(x) = 1$ on the set $j_\infty < x < \varsigma - \Psi_\Theta(j_\infty)$. The same argument, using 4.2 Proposition, applies to ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$, whence $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ on this range. Overall, $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$. \square

GLOSS. The hypothesis $j_\infty < \varsigma/2$ holds if $w_{E/F} < (p-1)m/2p$.

Proof. By 1.6 Corollary, $j_\infty \leq p^{1-r}w_{E/F}/(p-1)$. \square

5. Characters of restricted level

Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$, $r \geq 1$, satisfying the usual conditions:

- (1) $E = F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r ;
- (2) m is not divisible by p and $\varsigma = m/p^r$.

Let $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ be the set of endo-classes of simple characters $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Thus any $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ lies in $\mathcal{E}^C(F)$ and has degree p^r . In this section we fix α and identify a set of $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ for which $\Psi_\Theta = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma)}$. This will be the set called \mathcal{L}_α in the introduction. In substance, the section is a sequence of increasingly delicate conjugacy calculations. These are progressively interpreted in terms of intertwining properties of simple characters, using the elementary properties of the graphs of the various functions ‘ Ψ ’ laid out in § 4.

5.1 We recall, in the special case to hand, some of the machinery of [BK93, ch. 1]. Let \mathfrak{p} be the Jacobson radical of \mathfrak{a} . Define

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha : M &\longrightarrow M, \\ x &\longmapsto \alpha x \alpha^{-1} - x. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s_{E/F} : M \rightarrow E$ be a tame corestriction on M , relative to E/F . By definition, $s_{E/F}$ is an (E, E) -bimodule homomorphism $M \rightarrow E$ such that $s_{E/F}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{o}_E$. For integers $i < j$, we have exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_E^i &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^i \xrightarrow{A_\alpha} \mathfrak{p}^i \xrightarrow{s_{E/F}} \mathfrak{p}_E^i \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_E^i/\mathfrak{p}_E^j &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^i/\mathfrak{p}^j \xrightarrow{A_\alpha} \mathfrak{p}^i/\mathfrak{p}^j \xrightarrow{s_{E/F}} \mathfrak{p}_E^i/\mathfrak{p}_E^j \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.1}$$

As in 2.1, let μ_F be a character of F of level one and set $\mu_M = \mu_F \circ \text{tr}_M$. Let $w_{E/F}$ denote the wild exponent of the field extension E/F (1.6.1).

LEMMA.

- (1) There is a unique character μ_E of E , of level one, such that

$$\mu_M(x) = \mu_E(s_{E/F}(x)), \quad x \in M. \quad (5.1.2)$$

- (2) There is a unique $d \in E$, of valuation $w_{E/F}$, such that $s_{E/F}(y) = yd$, $y \in E$.

Proof. Part (1) is [BK93, (1.3.7)]. Part (2) follows from [BK93, (1.3.8)]. \square

5.2 We introduce a new parameter.

DEFINITION. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Define $l_E(\theta)$ as the least integer $l \geq 0$ for which the character $\theta|U_E^{l+1}$ is trivial.

PROPOSITION. Abbreviate $w = w_{E/F}$ and let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$.

- (1) If $m > 2w$, then $l_E(\theta) = m - w$.
 (2) If $m \leq 2w$, then $0 \leq l_E(\theta) \leq m/2$. If l is an integer, $0 \leq l \leq m/2$, there exists $\vartheta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that $l_E(\vartheta) = l$.

Proof. Let $y \in E$, $v_E(y) \geq [m/2] + 1$. The description (2.3.1) of θ gives

$$\theta(1+y) = \psi_M * \alpha(1+y) = \mu_E(\alpha s_{E/F}(y)),$$

for a tame corestriction $s_{E/F}$ and a character μ_E of E , as in 5.1 Lemma. Also, $v_E(s_{E/F}(y)) = v_E(y) + w$. Consequently, if $2w < m$, the character θ is non-trivial on $U_E^{1+[m/2]}$ and $l_E(\theta) = m - w$. Otherwise, θ is trivial on $U_E^{1+[m/2]}$ and assertion (2) follows from the description in 2.3. \square

Warning. The variation of $l_E(\theta)$ with E is unstable and quite subtle. We explore and exploit this in §6.

5.3 We use the notation j_∞, \bar{j}_∞ of (4.2.2). We spend the rest of this section proving the following.

THEOREM. Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$, $r \geq 1$, in which $E = F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r and p does not divide m . Set $\varsigma = m/p^r$ and let $w = w_{E/F}$. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ have endo-class Θ and suppose that

$$l_E(\theta) \leq \max\{0, m - w\}. \quad (5.3.1)$$

- (1) If ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ has an odd number of jumps, then $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$.
 (2) If $m > 2w$, then $l_E(\theta) = m - w$ and $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$.
 (3) If w is divisible by p , then $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$.
 (4) Suppose that $m > w \geq m/2$, that w is not divisible by p , and that ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps. There is a unique character ϕ of U_E^{m-w} , trivial on U_E^{1+m-w} , with the following property.
 (a) The relation $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ holds for all x , $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$, if and only if $\theta|U_E^{m-w} \neq \phi$.
 (b) If $\theta|U_E^{m-w} = \phi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\Theta(x) &= {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), & 0 \leq x \leq j_\infty, \bar{j}_\infty \leq x \leq \varsigma, \\ \Psi_\Theta(x) &< {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), & j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks.

- (1) The hypothesis of part (1) holds if and only if ${}^2\Psi'_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) \neq 1$ for $0 < x < \varsigma$ (4.2 Proposition). It is valid if $w \geq m(p^r-1)/(p^r+1)$ (4.2 Remark). In particular, if $w \geq m$ then part (1) applies.
- (2) In part (2), hypothesis (5.3.1) holds for all $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ (5.2 Proposition). This case therefore subsumes 4.6 Example (but we will use this example in the proof of the theorem).
- (3) Regarding (3), the case $w \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ can only occur when F has characteristic 0 (1.8).
- (4) A form of the character ϕ in part (4) is written down in (5.12.3) below. A different version is given in 7.3 Remark below, showing that it may or may not be trivial.

In the theorem, the division into cases (1)–(4) is not exclusive. Certainly (3) can overlap either (1) or (2). When $p = 2$, (1) and (2) can overlap (6.2 Example below). Case (4) overlaps no other.

After preparatory work, part (1) of the theorem is proved in 5.6. Following more preparation in 5.8 and 5.9, parts (2), (3) and (4) are proved in 5.10, 5.11 and 5.12, respectively.

5.4 Let \mathfrak{p} be the Jacobson radical of \mathfrak{a} . Let $c \in F$, with $v_F(c) = -k$ and $k < \varsigma = m/p^r$. Let $t < p^r k$ be an integer. As a first step, we consider formal intertwining between the simple strata $[\mathfrak{a}, m, t, \alpha]$ and $[\mathfrak{a}, m, t, \alpha+c]$. That is, we analyse the congruence

$$u^{-1}\alpha u \equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-t}}, \quad u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1. \quad (5.4.1)$$

LEMMA. The set of solutions $u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$ of (5.4.1) is either empty or constitutes one coset $uU_E^1U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-t} \in U_E^1U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-p^rk}/U_E^1U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-t}$.

Proof. Let $u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$ satisfy (5.4.1). Thus u conjugates the equivalence class of the simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, t, \alpha]$ to that of $[\mathfrak{a}, m, t, \alpha+c]$. If $v \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$ and uv satisfies (5.4.1), then v conjugates the equivalence class of the stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, t, \alpha+c]$ to itself. Equivalently, $v \in U_E^1U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-t}$ [BK93, (1.5.8)], so the coset $uU_E^1U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-t}$ is uniquely determined by (5.4.1). On the other hand, u conjugates the equivalence class of $[\mathfrak{a}, m, p^rk, \alpha]$ to itself, so $u \in U_E^1U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-p^rk}$ [BK93, (1.5.8)]. \square

Remark. Since U_E^1 commutes with α , we need only ever consider solutions u of (5.4.1) that satisfy $u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-p^rk}$.

5.5 We continue with the same notation. In (5.4.1), write $u = 1+a$, $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^rk}$. In this form, (5.4.1) amounts to

$$(1+a)^{-1}\alpha(1+a) \equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-t}} \quad (5.5.1)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\alpha a - a\alpha \equiv c(1+a) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-t}}. \quad (5.5.2)$$

We use the standard notation $[x, y] = xy - yx$, for $x, y \in M$.

PROPOSITION. Let $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^rk}$ satisfy (5.5.1). If $y \in E$, $v_E(y) = b \geq 1$, then

$$(1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1} \equiv 1 + \bar{y} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{b+m-t}},$$

for an element $\bar{y} \in E$ such that $\bar{y} \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E^{b+m-p^rk}}$.

Proof. We rearrange the conjugation as

$$(1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1} = 1 + y + [a, y](1+a)^{-1}.$$

Applying the defining relations (5.5.1), (5.5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha, [a, y](1+a)^{-1}] \\ &= \alpha[a, y](1+a)^{-1} - [a, y](1+a)^{-1}\alpha \\ &\equiv \alpha[a, y](1+a)^{-1} - [a, y](\alpha+c)(1+a)^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{b+m-p^rk-t}} \\ &\equiv ([\alpha, a]y - y[\alpha, a] - [a, y]c)(1+a)^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{b+m-p^rk-t}} \\ &\equiv (c(1+a)y - yc(1+a) - [a, y]c)(1+a)^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{b-t}} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{b-t}}. \end{aligned}$$

The exact sequences (5.1.1) imply $[a, y](1+a)^{-1} = v+h$, for $v \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{b+m-p^rk}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^{b+m-t}$, as required. \square

5.6 We continue with the same notation, especially $\varsigma = m/p^r$ and $w = w_{E/F}$.

PROPOSITION 1. Let I be an open sub-interval of $(0, \varsigma)$ on which $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times$ and $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$ are both smooth and satisfy

$$\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x) > \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x), \quad x \in I. \quad (5.6.1)$$

Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, and suppose

$$l = l_E(\theta) \leq \max\{0, m-w\}. \quad (5.6.2)$$

If θ has endo-class Θ , then

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad x \in I.$$

Proof. By (4.2.1), (4.2.3), we have $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^{\times'}(x) \leq 1 \leq \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^{+'}(x)$, $0 < x < \varsigma$. By 4.2 Proposition, hypothesis (5.6.1) implies that $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^{\times'}(x) < 1$, $x \in I$. The convexity of $\psi_{E/F} = p^r \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times$ and 1.6 Proposition now imply $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x) > x - p^{-r}w$, $x \in I$.

As in the proof of 2.6 Proposition, the tame lifting properties of 4.4 Proposition and 2.5 Proposition 2 show it is enough to prove the result when x is an integer. So, let $k \in I$, that is not a jump of Ψ_Θ . Let (k, c, χ) be a twisting datum (2.5). We apply 5.5 Proposition with $t = p^r \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(k)$. By 4.5 Proposition, t is the least integer for which the congruence (5.5.1) admits a solution a . By 5.4 Lemma, we may take $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^rk}$. The definition of I implies that k is not a jump of $\psi_{E/F}$, so $\psi_{E/F}(k) = \text{sw}(\chi \circ N_{E/F})$ is an integer (1.3 Proposition).

Write $v = \psi_{E/F}(k)$ and let $y \in E$ have valuation $1+v$. In particular, $\chi \circ \det(1+y) = 1$ (cf. 1.3 Proposition). Our hypothesis (5.6.1) amounts to

$$\psi_{E/F}(k) = p^r \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(k) > p^r \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(k) = t,$$

so $v > t$. Thus 5.5 Proposition gives

$$(1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1} \equiv 1 + \bar{y} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{2+m}},$$

whence $1+a$ normalizes the group $H^{1+v}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ and $\theta^{1+a}(1+y) = \theta(1+\bar{y})$. Taking first the case $l = 0$, we get $\theta^{1+a}(1+y) = \theta(1+\bar{y}) = 1 = \chi\theta(1+y)$. In the other case $0 < l \leq m-w$,

$$v_E(\bar{y}-y) \geq 1+v+m-p^r k = 1 + \psi_{E/F}(k) + m - p^r k \geq 1 + m - w,$$

since $\psi_{E/F}(k) \geq p^r k - w$. It follows that $\theta^{1+a}(1+y) = \theta(1+\bar{y}) = \theta(1+y) = \chi\theta(1+y)$. By hypothesis (5.6.1), $t < v$ so the definition of a ensures that $1+a$ conjugates θ to $\chi\theta$ on $H^{1+v}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$. Therefore $\Psi_\Theta(k) \leq v/p^r = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(k)$.

We go through the same process with $v_E(y) = v = \psi_{E/F}(k)$. We choose y so that $\chi \circ \det(1+y) = \chi \circ N_{E/F}(1+y) \neq 1$. If $m > w$, then

$$v_E(\bar{y}-y) \geq v+m-p^r k > m-w \geq l,$$

whence $\theta^{1+a}(1+y) = \theta(1+y) \neq \chi\theta(1+y)$. The element $1+a$ therefore normalizes $H^v(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ but does not conjugate θ to $\chi\theta$ on that group. If $m \leq w$ then $l = 0$ and the same conclusion holds.

Suppose there exists $1+b \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$ that intertwines θ with $\chi\theta$ on $H^v(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$: that is, it conjugates θ to $\chi\theta$ on that group. It therefore conjugates θ to $\chi\theta$ on $H^{1+v}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ and so is of the form $1+b = u(1+a)$, where $u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$ conjugates $\theta|_{H^{1+v}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})}$ to itself.

LEMMA. We have $v \leq [m/2]$.

Proof. Hypothesis (5.6.1) implies that k is strictly less than the largest jump of $\psi_{E/F}$. Therefore $v = \psi_{E/F}(k) \leq p^{r-1}k$. On the other hand, $k < \varsigma = m/p^r$. Suppose that $v > [m/2]$. Since v is an integer, this implies $v > m/2$ and so

$$m/2 < v \leq p^{r-1}k < m/p,$$

which is ridiculous. □

Following the lemma, the element u conjugates θ to itself on $H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, as follows from [BK93, (3.3.2)]. Therefore,

$$\theta^{1+a}|_{H^v(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})} = \theta^{1+b}|_{H^v(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})} = \chi\theta|_{H^v(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})},$$

which is false. We conclude that θ does not intertwine with $\chi\theta$ on $H^v(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, and so $\Psi_\Theta(k) = \mathbb{A}(\Theta, \chi\Theta) = v/p^r = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(k)$, as required. □

Proposition 1 has a ‘mirror image’ as follows.

PROPOSITION 2. Let I be an open sub-interval of $(0, \varsigma)$ on which $\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times, \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+$ are smooth and satisfy

$$\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x) < \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x), \quad x \in I. \quad (5.6.3)$$

Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, and suppose

$$l = l_E(\theta) \leq \max\{0, m-w\}. \quad (5.6.4)$$

If θ has endo-class Θ , then

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad x \in I.$$

Proof. The symmetry property of Ψ_Θ (3.1.1) and the corresponding properties (4.2 Lemma) connecting Ψ^\times with Ψ^+ together show that this proposition is equivalent to Proposition 1. □

Proof of 5.3 Theorem (1). Here, ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ has an odd number of jumps. The interval $0 < x < \varsigma$, with the jumps of ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ removed, is covered by a finite union of open intervals I_j on which either (5.6.1) or (5.6.3) holds. The propositions imply that $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$ for $x \in \bigcup_j I_j$. By continuity, the functions are equal for $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$. \square

The argument used to prove part (1) of 5.3 Theorem has broader applicability. As before, Θ is the endo-class of a simple character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ satisfying (5.3.1).

COROLLARY 1. *If ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps, then*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$$

for all x such that $0 \leq x \leq j_\infty$ or $\bar{j}_\infty \leq x \leq \varsigma$.

Proof. In the region $0 < x < j_\infty$, we have $\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}^\times(x) > \Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}^+(x)$ by 4.2 Proposition. Proposition 1 then implies $\Psi_\Theta(x) = \Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}^\times(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq j_\infty$. Proposition 2 implies $\Psi_\Theta(x) = \Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}^+(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$ for $\bar{j}_\infty \leq x \leq \varsigma$. \square

We can push this train of thought a little further.

COROLLARY 2. *If ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps, then*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) \leq {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x), \quad j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty.$$

The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $\Psi_\Theta(x_0) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x_0)$, for some x_0 such that $j_\infty < x_0 < \bar{j}_\infty$.
- (2) $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$ for all x such that $j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty$.

Proof. For $j_\infty \leq x \leq \bar{j}_\infty$, we have ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x) = x - p^{-r}w$. The functions $\Psi_\Theta, {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ agree at the end-points j_∞, \bar{j}_∞ . As Ψ_Θ is convex in this region, (1) implies (2). The converse is trivial. \square

Corollary 2 provides the basis of a strategy for proving the remaining assertions of 5.3 Theorem.

5.7 Before we can develop this strategy, we need a minor result derived from elementary linear algebra.

Let \mathbf{k} be a field and V a \mathbf{k} -vector space of finite dimension n . Let \mathbf{n} be a regular nilpotent endomorphism of V . The \mathbf{n} -stable subspaces of V are then $V_j = \mathbf{n}^j(V)$, $0 \leq j \leq n$.

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathbf{n}' be a nilpotent endomorphism of V that commutes with \mathbf{n} . There exists $a = a(V, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') \in \mathbf{k}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{n}'(v) \equiv an(v) \pmod{V_{j+2}}, \quad v \in V_j,$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n-2$. The element a is non-zero if and only if \mathbf{n}' is regular.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{m} \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(V)$ commute with \mathbf{n} . There is a unique polynomial $\phi(X) \in \mathbf{k}[X]$, of degree at most $n-1$, such that $\mathbf{m} = \phi(\mathbf{n})$. The endomorphism \mathbf{m} is nilpotent if and only if $\phi(0) = 0$. If this holds, the linear coefficient $a = \phi'(0)$ satisfies $\mathbf{m}(v) \equiv an(v) \pmod{V_{j+2}}$, $v \in V_j$, as required. \square

We apply Lemma 1 in the following context. Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$, $E = F[\alpha]$, as in the theorem. Let $\mathbb{k}_F = \mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F$ be the residue field of F . If \mathfrak{p} is the Jacobson radical of \mathfrak{a} , the \mathbb{k}_F -algebra $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}_F^{p^r}$ and α acts on it by conjugation.

LEMMA 2. *The endomorphism of $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}$, induced by A_α , is regular nilpotent.*

Proof. As an endomorphism of the \mathbb{k}_F -space $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}$, $A_\alpha = \text{Ad } \alpha - 1$ satisfies $(A_\alpha)^p = A_{\alpha^p}$, and so $(A_\alpha)^{p^r} = A_{\alpha^{p^r}}$. However, $\alpha^{p^r} \in F^\times U_{\mathfrak{a}}$, whence $\text{Ad } \alpha^{p^r}$ induces the identity map on $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}$. That is, $(A_\alpha)^{p^r} = 0$ and so A_α is nilpotent. By (5.1.1), $\text{Ker } A_\alpha$ is the one-dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{o}_E/\mathfrak{p}_E$ of $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}$, so A_α is regular. \square

PROPOSITION. *Let $V_j = A_\alpha^j(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p})$. Let s be an integer and write $\zeta = s/m \in \mathfrak{o}_F$. If $\beta \in E$ has valuation $v_E(\beta) = -s$, then*

$$A_\beta(v) \equiv \zeta A_\alpha(v) \pmod{V_{j+2}},$$

for $v \in V_j$, $0 \leq j \leq p^r - 2$.

Proof. The set of indecomposable idempotents of the \mathbb{k}_F -algebra $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{p}$ provides a \mathbb{k}_F -basis that is permuted cyclically by $\text{Ad } \alpha$, with period p^r . We have $A_\alpha = \text{Ad } \alpha - 1$. Similarly for A_β , and $A_\beta + 1 = (A_\alpha + 1)^t$, for an integer t , $0 \leq t \leq p^s - 1$, such that $s \equiv mt \pmod{p^s}$. The linear term in $(A_\alpha + 1)^t$ is tA_α , whence the result follows. \square

5.8 We return to the proof of 5.3 Theorem, as it was left at the end of 5.6. We may now assume that ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps. Let I be the non-empty open interval $j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty$. So, for $x \in I$,

$${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x) = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^\times(x) = \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(x) = x - p^{-r}w,$$

where $w = w_{E/F}$. Let (k, c, χ) be a twisting datum with $k \in I$; in particular, $w < p^r k$. Our aim, in this subsection and the next, is to refine 5.5 Proposition in this more restricted context.

By 4.5 Proposition, the congruence

$$(1+a)^{-1}\alpha(1+a) \equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-t}} \quad (5.8.1)$$

admits a solution a if and only if $t \geq p^r \Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}^+(k) = p^r k - w$. We examine these solutions a more closely when $t = p^r k - w$. As in 5.4 Remark, we need only consider elements $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k}$.

Rewrite (5.8.1) in the form

$$A_\alpha(a) \equiv (1+a)c\alpha^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k+w}}, \quad (5.8.2)$$

and set

$$\epsilon = A_\alpha(a) - (1+a)c\alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k+w}. \quad (5.8.3)$$

By 4.5 Proposition, the congruence

$$A_\alpha(a') \equiv (1+a')c\alpha^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m-p^r k+w}} \quad (5.8.4)$$

has no solution a' .

LEMMA. *The element ϵ of (5.8.3) satisfies $v_E(s_{E/F}(\epsilon)) = m - p^r k + w$ and so $v_E(s_{E/F}(a)) \geq w$.*

Proof. Write $t = p^r k - w$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $v_E(s_{E/F}(\epsilon)) > m - t$. Take $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k}$ satisfying (5.8.2): the element a is then determined modulo $\mathfrak{p}_E^{m-p^r k} + \mathfrak{p}^{m-t}$ (5.4 Lemma). Let $y \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-t}$ and consider the congruence

$$A_\alpha(a+y) \equiv (1+a+y)c\alpha^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m-t}}.$$

Since $m > p^r k$, we can neglect the term $yc\alpha^{-1}$, so this congruence amounts to

$$A_\alpha(a+y) \equiv (1+a)c\alpha^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m-t}},$$

that is,

$$A_\alpha(y) \equiv -\epsilon \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m-t}}.$$

We have assumed that $v_E(s_{E/F}(\epsilon)) > m - t$ so, by (5.1.1), this last congruence admits a solution $y \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-t}$. The element $a' = a + y$ then satisfies (5.8.4), which is impossible. This proves the first assertion. Now apply $s_{E/F}$ to the definition (5.8.3). Since $s_{E/F}(1)$ has valuation w , the second assertion follows directly. \square

5.9 We continue in the situation of 5.8. In particular, (k, c, χ) is a twisting datum such that $j_\infty < k < \bar{j}_\infty$; and $p^r k > w$, by 4.2 Proposition (3)(a). Going forward, we impose the following simplification.

ASSUMPTION. We henceforward assume that ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(\bar{j}_\infty) \leq \varsigma/2$.

Justification. If ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(\bar{j}_\infty) > \varsigma/2$, the functional equation implies $j_\infty < \varsigma/2$ and we are in the situation of 4.6 Example. In that case, we know that $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$, as demanded by part (2) of the theorem. \square

PROPOSITION 1. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ satisfy $l_E(\theta) \leq m - w$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k}$ be a solution of (5.8.2). Define ϵ by (5.8.3) and set $\zeta = w/m \in \mathfrak{o}_F$. If $y \in E$ and $v_E(y) \geq p^r k - w$, then

$$\theta^{1+a}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = \theta(1-\zeta c\alpha^{-1}y) \mu_M(-\alpha\zeta\epsilon y). \quad (5.9.1)$$

Proof. Suppose first that $v_E(y) > p^r k - w$. This implies $\theta(1-\zeta c\alpha^{-1}y) = 1$, while $\mu_M(-\alpha\zeta\epsilon y) = 1$ by 5.8 Lemma. The right-hand side of (5.9.1) thus equals 1. Application of 5.5 Proposition gives the same for the left-hand side. Assume now that $v_E(y) = p^r k - w$.

LEMMA 1. If $y \in E$ and $v_E(y) = p^r k - w$, then

$$(1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1} = 1 + \bar{y} + h,$$

for elements \bar{y} of E and h of \mathfrak{p}^m such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &\equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}}, \\ h &\equiv -\zeta\epsilon y \pmod{A_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We rewrite the defining relation (5.8.1), with $t = p^r k - w$, as

$$(1+a)^{-1}\alpha(1+a) = \alpha + c + \delta. \quad (5.9.2)$$

Thus $\delta \in \mathfrak{p}^{w-p^r k}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, a] &= (1+a)(c+\delta), \\ A_\alpha(a) &= (1+a)(c+\delta)\alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\epsilon = (1+a)\delta\alpha^{-1}$. We start from the identity

$$(1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1} = 1 + y + [a, y](1+a)^{-1} \quad (5.9.3)$$

and evaluate, using (5.9.2). We find

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, [a, y](1+a)^{-1}] &= \alpha[a, y](1+a)^{-1} - [a, y](1+a)^{-1}\alpha \\ &= \alpha[a, y](1+a)^{-1} - [a, y](\alpha+c+\delta)(1+a)^{-1} \\ &= ([\alpha, a]y - y[\alpha, a] - [a, y](c+\delta))(1+a)^{-1} \\ &= ((1+a)(c+\delta)y - y(1+a)(c+\delta) - [a, y](c+\delta))(1+a)^{-1} \\ &= ((1+a)\delta y - y(1+a)\delta - [a, y]\delta)(1+a)^{-1} \\ &= (1+a)[\delta, y](1+a)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for δ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1+a)[\delta, y](1+a)^{-1} &= (1+a)[(1+a)^{-1}\epsilon\alpha, y](1+a)^{-1} \\ &= (1+a)((1+a)^{-1}\epsilon\alpha y - y(1+a)^{-1}\epsilon\alpha)(1+a)^{-1} \\ &\equiv [\epsilon\alpha, y] \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $[\epsilon\alpha, y] \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha((1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1}) &= A_\alpha([a, y](1+a)^{-1}) \\ &\equiv [\epsilon\alpha, y]\alpha^{-1} \equiv [\epsilon, y] \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $[a, y](1+a)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-w}$ and $[\epsilon, y] \in \mathfrak{p}^m$. It follows that

$$(1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1} = 1 + \bar{y} + h,$$

where $\bar{y} \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{p^r k - w}$ satisfies $\bar{y} \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ satisfies

$$A_\alpha(h) \equiv [\epsilon, y] \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m}}.$$

By 5.7 Proposition,

$$[\epsilon, y] = -A_y(\epsilon)y \equiv -\zeta A_\alpha(\epsilon)y \pmod{A_\alpha^2(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}}.$$

Adjusting \bar{y} by an element of \mathfrak{p}_E^m , which changes nothing, we may choose h to satisfy

$$h \equiv -\zeta\epsilon y \pmod{A_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{1+m}},$$

as required. \square

The elementary identity (5.9.3) implies

$$(1+a)(1+y)(1+a)^{-1} \equiv 1 + y + [a, y] \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m-w}}. \quad (5.9.4)$$

LEMMA 2. Let $v_E(y) = p^r k - w$. If $\zeta = w/m \in \mathfrak{o}_F$, then $[a, y] \equiv -\zeta A_\alpha(a)y \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m-w}}$.

Proof. The defining relation $A_\alpha(a) \equiv (1+a)c\alpha^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k+w}}$ implies that $A_\alpha^2(a) \in \mathfrak{p}^{1+m-p^r k}$. That is,

$$A_\alpha(a) \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{m-p^r k} + \mathfrak{p}^{1+m-p^r k} = A_\alpha^{p^r-1}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k}) + \mathfrak{p}^{1+m-p^r k}.$$

Therefore $a \in A_\alpha^{p^r-2}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k}) + \mathfrak{p}^{1+m-p^r k}$. We apply 5.7 Proposition to get

$$[a, y] = -A_y(a)y \equiv -\zeta A_\alpha(a)y \pmod{A_\alpha^{p^r}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-w}) + \mathfrak{p}^{1+m-w}}.$$

Since $A_\alpha^{p^r}(\mathfrak{p}^{m-w}) \subset \mathfrak{p}^{1+m-w}$, we have the result. \square

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply $[a, y] \equiv -\zeta A_\alpha(a)y \equiv -\zeta c\alpha^{-1}y \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{1+m-w}}$, and the proposition follows from (5.9.4). \square

Remark. Consider the right-hand side of equation (5.9.1). The dependence on a enters only via the element ϵ , and the expression depends only on $s_{E/F}(\epsilon)$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}_E^{1+m-p^rk+w}$. The element $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^rk}$ is only determined, as a solution of (5.8.2), modulo $\mathfrak{p}_E^{m-p^rk} + \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^rk+w}$ (5.4 Lemma). The definition (5.8.3) of ϵ implies that $s_{E/F}(\epsilon) + \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+m-p^rk+w}$, does not depend on the choice of the solution a . It follows that (5.9.1) holds equally for all solutions a of (5.8.2).

COROLLARY. *In the notation of Proposition 1, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $\Psi_\Theta(k) < k - p^{-r}w = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(k)$.
- (2) $\theta(1 - \zeta c\alpha^{-1}y) \mu_M(-\alpha\zeta\epsilon y) = \mu_M(cy)$, for all $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{p^rk-w}$.

Proof. If $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+p^rk-w}$, the proposition gives

$$\theta^{1+a}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = 1 = \mu_M(cy).$$

Our Assumption implies $\theta^{1+a}(1+x) = \chi\theta(1+x)$, for $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{1+[m/2]}$. Therefore $1+a$ conjugates θ to $\chi\theta$ on $H^{1+p^rk-w}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$. For the same reason, if (2) holds, then $1+a$ conjugates θ to $\chi\theta$ on $H^{p^rk-w}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, which implies (1).

Conversely, suppose that (1) holds; there exists $1+b \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$ that conjugates θ to $\chi\theta$ on $H^{p^rk-w}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$. Thus $(1+b) = u(1+a)$, for some $u \in U_{\mathfrak{a}}^1$ that conjugates $\theta|H^{1+p^rk-w}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ to itself. By the Assumption again, any such u conjugates θ to itself, whence $\theta^{1+a}|H^{p^rk-w}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = \chi\theta|H^{p^rk-w}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ and this implies (2). \square

We shall apply Proposition 1 in combination with the following result.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $k \in I$ be an integer and suppose that Ψ_Θ is smooth at k . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *There is a twisting datum (k, c, χ) relative to which*

$$\theta^{1+a_c}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(cy),$$

for all $y \in E$ such that $v_E(y) \geq p^rk - w$ and all $a_c \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^rk}$ such that

$$(1+a_c)^{-1}\alpha(1+a_c) \equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{w-p^rk}}.$$

- (2) $\Psi_\Theta(k) < {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(k)$.
- (3) $\Psi_\Theta(x) < {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for all x , $j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty$.
- (4) *For any twisting datum (h, d, ϕ) , where $h \in I$ is an integer at which Ψ_Θ is smooth, we have*

$$\theta^{1+a_d}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(dy),$$

for all $y \in E$ such that $v_E(y) \geq p^rh - w$ and all $a_d \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^rh}$ such that

$$(1+a_d)^{-1}\alpha(1+a_d) \equiv \alpha + d \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{w-p^rh}}.$$

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is the preceding corollary. The equivalence of (2) and (3) is 5.6 Corollary 2.

Certainly (4) implies (1), so suppose that (4) fails: there is a twisting datum (h, d, ϕ) such that $\theta^{1+a_d}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) \neq \mu_M(dy)$, for some $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{p^rh-w}$. Thus $\mathbb{A}(\phi\Theta, \Theta) = \Psi_\Theta(h) = h - p^{-r}w = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(h)$. Corollary 2 of 5.6 now implies that (3) fails. \square

5.10 We start the proofs of the parts of 5.3 Theorem that allow ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ to have an even number of jumps, the case of an odd number of jumps having been dispatched in 5.6. In this subsection, we prove part (2) of the theorem.

PROPOSITION. *Suppose $m > 2w_{E/F}$. If $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha, \alpha)$ has endo-class Θ , then $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta$.*

Proof. If ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ has an odd number of jumps, the result follows from part (1) of the theorem, proved in 5.6. We therefore assume that ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps and continue with the notation of 5.8, 5.9. As argued at the beginning of 5.9, we may assume that $\Psi_\Theta(\bar{j}_\infty) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(\bar{j}_\infty) \leq \varsigma/2$.

The line segment $y = x - w/p^r = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F,\varsigma)}(x)$, $x \in I$, crosses the axis of symmetry $x + y = \varsigma$ where $p^r x = (m + w)/2$. So, we choose an integer k , at which Ψ_Θ is smooth, to satisfy $j_\infty < k < (m + w)/2p^r$. That is,

$$m - p^r k > (m - w)/2 > w/2. \quad (5.10.1)$$

Let (k, c, χ) be a twisting datum over F . Define a_c as in 5.9 Proposition 2. We apply the definition (5.8.3), with $v_E(y) \geq p^r k - w$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(1 - \zeta c \alpha^{-1} y) \mu_M(-\alpha \zeta \epsilon y) &= \theta(1 - \zeta c \alpha^{-1} y) \mu_M(\alpha \zeta c \alpha^{-1} y) \mu_M(\alpha \zeta a_c c \alpha^{-1} y) \\ &= \mu_M(-\alpha \zeta c \alpha^{-1} y) \mu_M(\alpha \zeta c \alpha^{-1} y) \mu_M(\alpha \zeta a_c c \alpha^{-1} y) \\ &= \mu_M(a_c c \zeta y). \end{aligned}$$

So, by 5.9 Proposition 1,

$$\theta^{1+a_c}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(a_c c \zeta y).$$

We show that the character

$$1+y \mapsto \mu_M((1-\zeta a_c)cy), \quad y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{p^r k - w}, \quad (5.10.2)$$

is not trivial, for some choice of $c \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k} \setminus \{0\}$. The proposition will then follow from 5.9 Proposition 2.

The defining relation $A_\alpha(a_c) \equiv (1+a_c)c\alpha^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k+w}}$ (5.8.2) implies $v_E(s_{E/F}(a_c)) \geq w$. If $v_E(s_{E/F}(a_c)) > w$, (5.10.2) reduces to $1+y \mapsto \mu_M(cy)$, which is surely not trivial. We therefore assume that $s_{E/F}(a_c)$ has valuation w for all $c \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k}$, $c \neq 0$. We show that this hypothesis is untenable.

We put $a_0 = 0$ and let $c, c' \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k}$. Conjugating the defining relation

$$(1+a_c)^{-1}\alpha(1+a_c) \equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{w-p^r k}}$$

by $(1+a_{c'})$, condition (5.10.1) yields

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_{c+c'} &\equiv a_c + a_{c'} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{w+1}}, \\ s_{E/F}(a_{c+c'}) &\equiv s_{E/F}(a_c + a_{c'}) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E^{w+1}}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad c, c' \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k}.$$

Thus $c \mapsto s_{E/F}(a_c)$ is a homomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_E^w/\mathfrak{p}_E^{1+w}$. By 5.8 Lemma, $s_{E/F}(1+a_c) \notin \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+w}$. That is, the non-zero element $-s_{E/F}(1)$ of $\mathfrak{p}_E^w/\mathfrak{p}_E^{1+w}$ is not of the form $s_{E/F}(a_c)$. So the homomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_E^w/\mathfrak{p}_E^{1+w}$, $c \mapsto s_{E/F}(a_c)$, cannot be surjective. It therefore has a non-trivial kernel, contradicting our hypothesis, and the proposition follows. \square

5.11 We prove part (3) of 5.3 Theorem.

PROPOSITION. Suppose that $m/2 < w < m$ and that $w \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ satisfy $l_E(\theta) \leq m-w$. If Θ is the endo-class of θ , then $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$.

Proof. We may again assume that ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps and proceed as before. In formula (5.9.1),

$$\theta^{1+a}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = \theta(1-\zeta c \alpha^{-1}y) \mu_M(-\alpha \zeta \epsilon y),$$

we have $\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$, so it reduces to

$$\theta^{1+a}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = 1 \neq \chi \circ N_{E/F}(1+y) = \mu_M(cy),$$

for some choice of $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{p^r k-w}$. The result now follows from 5.9 Proposition 2. \square

5.12 We prove part (4) of 5.3 Theorem. Thus ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps, and we may continue with the notation of 5.8, 5.9. In particular, I is the interval $j_\infty < x < \bar{j}_\infty$. Here, the element ζ of 5.9 Proposition 1 is a unit in F . We have to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. Suppose that $m > w \geq m/2$ and that $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Assume that ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps. There is a unique character ϕ of U_E^{m-w}/U_E^{1+m-w} with the following property: a character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, with $l_E(\theta) \leq m-w$ and endo-class Θ , satisfies $\Psi_\Theta = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ if and only if $\theta|U_E^{m-w} \neq \phi$.

If $\theta|U_E^{m-w} = \phi$, then $\Psi_\Theta(x) < {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for all $x \in I$.

Proof. We again write out formula (5.9.1),

$$\theta^{1+a_c}(1+y)/\theta(1+y) = \theta(1-\zeta c \alpha^{-1}y) \mu_M(-\alpha \zeta \epsilon_c y), \quad (5.12.1)$$

where $a_c \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-p^r k}$ is a solution of congruence (5.8.1),

$$(1+a_c)^{-1} \alpha(1+a_c) \equiv \alpha + c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{w-p^r k}} \quad (5.12.2)$$

and ϵ_c is given by (5.8.3), relative to the element a_c .

We use (5.8.3) to rewrite the last factor in (5.12.1) as

$$\mu_M(-\alpha \zeta \epsilon_c y) = \mu_M(\zeta c y) \mu_M(\zeta a_c c y).$$

For $1+y \in U_E^{p^r k-w}/U_E^{1+p^r k-w}$, write

$$\Xi_{\theta, c}(1+y) = \theta(1-\alpha^{-1} \zeta c y) \mu_M(\zeta c y) \mu_M(\zeta a_c c y) \mu_M(-c y).$$

That is, $\Xi_{\theta, c}(1+y)$ is the product of the right-hand side of (5.12.1) and $\mu_M(-c y)$. Therefore, invoking 5.9 Proposition 2, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA. The character $\Xi_{\theta, c}$ is trivial if and only if $\Psi_\Theta(x) < {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for all $x \in I$. This condition holds for one element $c \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if it holds for all.

Write $z = \zeta \alpha^{-1} c y$. Thus $y \mapsto z$ induces an isomorphism of $U_E^{p^r k-w}/U_E^{1+p^r k-w}$ with U_E^{m-w}/U_E^{1+m-w} and

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_{\theta,c}(1+y) &= \theta(1-z) \mu_M(\alpha z) \mu_M(\alpha a_c z) \mu_M(-\zeta^{-1} \alpha z) \\ &= \theta(1+z)^{-1} \mu_M((1+a_c-\zeta^{-1})\alpha z).\end{aligned}$$

Since $v_E(s_{E/F}(a_c)) \geq w$ (5.8 Lemma), the formula

$$\xi_c(1+z) = \mu_M((1+a_c-\zeta^{-1})\alpha z)$$

defines a character of U_E^{m-w}/U_E^{1+m-w} which is independent of the character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that $l_E(\theta) \leq m-w$. For fixed θ , the character $\theta^{-1}\xi_c|_{U_E^{m-w}}$ is either trivial for all $c \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k} \setminus \{0\}$, or else it is non-trivial for all such c , by the lemma. Given any character ϕ of U_E^{m-w}/U_E^{1+m-w} , there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ agreeing with ϕ on U_E^{m-w} . We conclude that if $c, c' \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\xi_c = \xi_{c'}$.

The proposition therefore holds for the character

$$\phi(1+z) = \xi_c(1+z) = \mu_M((1+a_c-\zeta^{-1})\alpha z), \quad z \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}, \quad (5.12.3)$$

for any non-trivial element c of $\mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k}$. \square

We have completed the proof of 5.3 Theorem. \square

Remark. We have noted that the character ϕ of (5.12.3) does not depend on the parameter $c \in \mathfrak{p}_F^{-k}/\mathfrak{p}_F^{1-k} \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed, any twisting datum (h, b, ξ) with $j_\infty < h < \bar{j}_\infty$ will, by 5.9 Proposition 2, give rise to the same character.

6. Variation of parameters

In §5 we fixed the stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ and calculated Ψ_θ , in many cases, under the restriction (5.3.1). Here, we investigate the scope for changing the stratum *without* changing the set $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, in order to avoid the condition (5.3.1) and to clarify the dichotomy in part (4) of the theorem.

6.1 Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$, $r \geq 1$, satisfying the usual conditions: $F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r and m is not divisible by p . Set $\varsigma = m/p^r$. Define $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ as the set of $\beta \in \text{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ for which $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$ is a simple stratum such that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \beta) = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. We summarize the main properties of such elements β . As usual, \mathfrak{p} is the Jacobson radical of \mathfrak{a} .

PROPOSITION. Write $E = F[\alpha]$.

(1) If $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, the field extension $F[\beta]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r . Moreover,

$$\beta \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-[m/2]}}.$$

(2) Let $k \leq [m/2]$ be an integer and let $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{-k}$. The element $\beta = \alpha + b$ then lies in $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$.

Proof. In (1), the first assertion is an instance of [BK93, (3.5.1)], while the second follows from the definition in 2.3. In (2), the stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$ is simple with the required properties, as follows from [BK93, (2.2.3)]. \square

Remarks.

- (1) Any element of $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ arises as in part (2) of the proposition [BK93, (2.4.1)].
- (2) If $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, then $P(\mathfrak{a}, \beta) = P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$.

- (3) Let $[\mathfrak{a}, q, 0, \gamma]$ be a simple stratum in M . If the set $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \gamma)$ is non-empty, then $q = m$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha) = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \gamma)$ [BK93, (3.5.8), (3.5.11)], whence $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\| = \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \gamma)\|$.
- (4) Let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the group of $x \in \mathrm{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ such that $x\mathfrak{a}x^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}$. For $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, say that $\beta_1 \sim \beta_2$ if $\beta_1 U_{\mathfrak{a}}^m$ is $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -conjugate to $\beta_2 U_{\mathfrak{a}}^m$. It is shown in [BK94] that the sets $\mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)/\sim$ and $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ are in (non-canonical) bijection.

6.2 We give a first application of this concept.

PROPOSITION. *Suppose that $m > 2w_{F[\alpha]/F}$. If $\beta \in \mathrm{P}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ then $w_{F[\beta]/F} = w_{F[\alpha]/F}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{rad} \mathfrak{a}$. Abbreviate $w_{\alpha} = w_{F[\alpha]/F}$, $w_{\beta} = w_{F[\beta]/F}$. By hypothesis, $m - w_{\alpha} \geq [m/2] + 1$. A character $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, by definition (2.3.1), agrees with $\mu_M * \alpha$ on the group $H^{1+[m/2]}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[m/2]}$. The integer $l_E(\theta)$ is the least integer $k \geq 0$ such that θ is trivial on $U_E^{1+k} U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+m} = 1 + \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+k} + \mathfrak{p}^{1+m}$. In this case, $l_E(\theta) = m - w_{\alpha}$, as in 5.2 Proposition. However, $\mathfrak{p}_E^{1+k} + \mathfrak{p}^{1+m}$ is the kernel of the adjoint map A_{α} on $\mathfrak{p}^{1+k}/\mathfrak{p}^{1+m}$, so $l_E(\theta)$ is the least integer $k \geq 0$ such that $\mu_M * \alpha$ is trivial on $1 + \mathrm{Ker} A_{\alpha} | \mathfrak{p}^{1+k}/\mathfrak{p}^{1+m}$. The same analysis applies relative to β in place of α .

By hypothesis, θ also agrees with $\mu_M * \beta$ on $U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{1+[m/2]}$, so $\beta \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-[m/2]}}$. The maps A_{α}, A_{β} therefore agree on the group $\mathfrak{p}^{1+[m/2]}/\mathfrak{p}^{1+m}$, and the result follows. \square

The following corollary does not form part of the main development, but is included to illuminate the division into cases in 5.3 Theorem; see Example below.

COROLLARY. *In the context of the proposition, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) &= {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma, \\ \psi_{F[\beta]/F} &= \psi_{F[\alpha]/F}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \geq 3$, the function Ψ_{Θ} has an even number of jumps.

Proof. Let $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$. Part (2) of 5.3 Theorem gives

$$\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma)}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma, \quad (6.2.1)$$

whence the first assertion follows.

If Ψ denotes any of the functions appearing in (6.2.1), define c by $c + \Psi(c) = \varsigma$, so that $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) = \psi_{F[\beta]/F}(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq c$. Let j_{∞} be the last jump of $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$.

LEMMA. *If $j_{\infty} < c$, then $\psi_{F[\beta]/F} = \psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$ and Ψ_{Θ} has an even number of jumps.*

Proof. The second assertion follows from 4.2 Proposition and 5.3 Theorem (2). For $0 < x < c$, we have $p^r \Psi_{\Theta}(x) = \psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) = \psi_{F[\beta]/F}(x)$. Thus $\psi_{F[\beta]/F}$ has a jump at j_{∞} and $\psi'_{F[\beta]/F}(x) = p^r$, for $j_{\infty} < x < c$. Therefore j_{∞} is the last jump of $\psi_{F[\beta]/F}$ (cf. 1.6 Proposition) and the lemma follows. \square

We use 1.6 Corollary:

$$j_{\infty} \leq \frac{w_{\alpha}}{p^{r-1}(p-1)},$$

where $w_{\alpha} = w_{F[\alpha]/F}$. Invoking also 1.6 Proposition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\infty} + p^{-r} \psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(j_{\infty}) &= 2j_{\infty} - p^{-r} w_{\alpha} \leq \frac{2w_{\alpha}}{p^{r-1}(p-1)} - \frac{w_{\alpha}}{p^r} \\ &= \frac{w_{\alpha}}{p^r} \frac{p+1}{p-1} < \frac{m}{2p^r} \frac{p+1}{p-1} = \varsigma \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $w_\alpha < m/2$. So, if $p \geq 3$, the point $(j_\infty, p^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(j_\infty))$ lies strictly below the line $x+y = \varsigma$. That is, $j_\infty < c$ and, in this case, the corollary follows from the lemma.

Suppose therefore that $p = 2$. If $r = 1$, the graph of $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$ consists of segments of the two lines $y = x$ and $y = 2x - w_{F[\alpha]/F}$. Likewise for β . The proposition gives $w_\alpha = w_\beta$, whence the result in this case.

Consider next the case where $r \geq 2$ and j_∞ is the only jump of $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$. Here, $j_\infty = w_\alpha/(2^r - 1)$ (1.6 Corollary) and so $2^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(j_\infty) = 2^{-r}j_\infty$. Therefore

$$j_\infty + 2^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(j_\infty) = \frac{w_\alpha}{2^r} \frac{2^r + 1}{2^r - 1} < \frac{\varsigma}{2} \frac{2^r + 1}{2^r - 1} \leq \varsigma.$$

Thus $j_\infty + 2^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(j_\infty) < \varsigma$, so $j_\infty < c$, and the result in this case also follows from the lemma.

We are left with the case where $r \geq 2$ and $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$ has at least two jumps. If $j_\infty < c$, there is nothing more to do, so we assume $j_\infty \geq c$. Let j' be the penultimate jump of $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$. In particular,

$$2^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) \leq x/4, \quad 0 \leq x \leq j'.$$

We show that $j' < c$.

Abbreviate $a = w_\alpha/2^r$, so that $2^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) = x - a$ for $x \geq j_\infty$, while $2^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) > x - a$ when $0 \leq x < j_\infty$. Thus

$$x - a < 2^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) \leq x/4, \quad 0 \leq x \leq j'.$$

The lines $y = x - a$, $y = x/4$ meet at the point $(4a/3, a/3)$, so $j' < 4a/3$. Since $4a/3 + a/3 = 5a/3 < 2a < \varsigma$, this point of intersection lies below the line $x+y = \varsigma$. Therefore $j' < 4a/3 < c$.

We have $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) = \psi_{F[\beta]/F}(x)$ in the region $0 \leq x < c$. The same analysis applies with β replacing α , so j' is also the penultimate jump of $\psi_{F[\beta]/F}$. Let $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ be the piecewise linear function agreeing with $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) = \psi_{F[\beta]/F}(x)$ for $x < c$ and smooth for $x > j'$. In the region $x \geq 0$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(x) &= \max\{\tilde{\psi}(x), x - 2^{-r}w_\alpha\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\psi}(x), x - 2^{-r}w_\beta\} = \psi_{F[\beta]/F}(x), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

EXAMPLE. Suppose $p = 2$ and let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree 2. Thus Ψ_Θ has an odd number of jumps (in fact one jump) if and only if $j_\infty \geq c$, using the notation of the Corollary. By 3.8 Proposition, this is equivalent to $m \leq 3w$ (cf. Kutzko [Kut84]). So, for $p^r = 2$, there are examples of endo-classes Θ for which $m > 2w$ while Ψ_Θ has an odd number of jumps.

6.3 We use the notation from the start of 6.1, except that we write $E = F[\alpha]$ and assume $m \leq 2w_{E/F}$. This case is more complex and interesting. We first investigate the possibility of changing α to *raise* the exponent $w_{E/F}$.

Let \mathfrak{p} be the Jacobson radical $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ of \mathfrak{a} . Let $s_{E/F} : M \rightarrow E$ be a tame corestriction.

PROPOSITION. Suppose that $m/2 \leq w_{E/F} < m$ and that $w = w_{E/F} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $\zeta = (w - m)/m \in \mathfrak{o}_F$. There exists $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{w-m}$ such that

$$(\zeta + 1)s_{E/F}(b) \equiv s_{E/F}(\alpha) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E^{1+w-m}}. \quad (6.3.1)$$

For any such b , the element $\beta = \alpha - b$ lies in $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ and $w_{F[\beta]/F} > w$.

Proof. The hypothesis $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies that $\zeta \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$. The exact sequences (5.1.1) then give an element b with the necessary properties.

The hypothesis $m \leq 2w$ implies $\beta \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-[m/2]}}$ and, following 6.1 Proposition, $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Write $E' = F[\beta]$.

LEMMA.

- (1) Let $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}$. There exist $y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^{m-w}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ such that

$$y = y' + h, \quad (6.3.2)$$

the map $y \mapsto y'$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}/\mathfrak{p}_E^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^{m-w}/\mathfrak{p}_{E'}^m$.

- (2) The decomposition (6.3.2) may be chosen so that, additionally,

$$h \equiv \zeta by\beta^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m+1} + A_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^m)}. \quad (6.3.3)$$

Proof. In (1), the relation $H^1(\beta, \mathfrak{a}) = H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ implies that any $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}$ takes the form $y = y' + h$, with $y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^{m-w}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^{1+[m/2]}$. The element $[\beta, y] = [\beta, h] = -[b, y]$ lies in \mathfrak{a} . By (5.1.1), we may choose the decomposition so that $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$. The second assertion is immediate.

In (2), 5.7 Proposition gives

$$[\beta, h] = -[b, y] = A_y(b)y \equiv \zeta A_\beta(b)y \pmod{\mathfrak{p} + A_\beta^2(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

So we may further refine (6.3.2) to get (6.3.3). \square

In multiplicative terms, the definition of β gives $\beta \equiv \alpha \pmod{U_{\mathfrak{a}}^w}$ and therefore $\beta^{-1} \equiv \alpha^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m+w}}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta by\alpha^{-1} &\equiv \zeta by\beta^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m+1}}, \quad \text{and} \\ A_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{m+1} &= A_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3.4)$$

Relation (6.3.2) gives $\mu_M(\beta y) = \mu_M(\beta y') \mu_M(\beta h)$, while (6.3.3), (6.3.4) yield $\mu_M(\beta h) = \mu_M(\zeta by)$. On the other hand, $\mu_M(\beta y) = \mu_M((\alpha - b)y)$ by definition, so

$$\mu_M(\beta y') = \mu_M((\alpha - (\zeta + 1)b)y) = \mu_E(s_{E/F}(\alpha - (\zeta + 1)b)y) = 1,$$

for all $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}$, by (6.3.1). Part (1) of the lemma now shows that $\mu_M(\beta y') = 1$ for all $y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^{m-w}$. Therefore $w_{E'/F} > w$, as required. \square

COROLLARY. Suppose that $m \leq 2w_{E/F}$. There exists $\beta = \alpha - b \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, where $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{w_{E/F}-m}$ satisfies (6.3.1), with the following property. If $E' = F[\beta]$, then either

- (1) $w_{E'/F} \geq w_{E/F}$ and $w_{E'/F} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, or
- (2) $w_{E'/F} \geq m$.

Proof. If $w_{E/F}$ is divisible by p , there is nothing to do. Otherwise, we construct $E_1 = F[\beta]$ following the proposition. If either $w_{E_1/F} \geq m$ or $w_{E_1/F} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we are finished. So, assume that $w_{E_1/F} < m$ and $w_{E_1/F} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Set $w_1 = w_{E_1/F}$. Following the procedure as before, we construct an element $\gamma \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{w_1-m}}$ such that $w_{F[\gamma]/F} > w_1$. The congruence condition on γ ensures that $b_1 = \alpha - \gamma$ satisfies (6.3.1). We iterate this procedure as necessary until we achieve either (1) or (2). \square

6.4 We retain the notation of 6.3, in particular $E = F[\alpha]$ and $w = w_{E/F}$. The elements β of 6.3 Proposition have useful properties relative to certain simple characters.

PROPOSITION. Let $\beta = \alpha - b \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, where $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{w-m}$ satisfies (6.3.1).

(1) If $\xi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ satisfies $\xi(1+y) = \mu_M(\alpha y)$, $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}$, then

$$l_{F[\beta]}(\xi) = l_E(\xi) = m - w.$$

(2) If $\xi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ satisfies $l_E(\xi) > m - w$, then

$$l_{F[\beta]}(\xi) = l_E(\xi).$$

Proof. For part (1), we use (6.3.4) to evaluate

$$\xi(1+y') = \mu_M(\alpha y) \mu_M(\alpha h) = \mu_M((\alpha - \zeta b)y),$$

where $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{m-w}$, $y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^{m-w}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ are related as in 6.3 Lemma. As $1 + \zeta$ is a unit of \mathfrak{o}_F , we have $\zeta s_{E/F}(b) \equiv \zeta s_{E/F}(\alpha)(\zeta + 1)^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_E^{1+w-m}}$ and so, by (6.3.1),

$$\xi(1+y') = \mu_E((\zeta + 1)^{-1} s_{E/F}(\alpha)y), \quad y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{F[\beta]}^{m-w}.$$

We may choose y so that $\xi(1+y') \neq 1$ and part (1) of the proposition follows.

In part (2), let $l = l_E(\xi)$. Let $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^l$. Since $l > m - w$, we use 6.3 Lemma to write $y = y' + h$, where $y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{F[\beta]}^l$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}$. Thus $\xi(1+y') = \xi(1+y)$ and we may choose y so that $\xi(1+y) \neq 1$. If, however, $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+l}$, then $\xi(1+y') = \xi(1+y) = 1$, so $l_{F[\beta]}(\xi) = l$, as required. \square

Note the very restrictive hypothesis on ξ in this corollary.

6.5 We turn to the question of *lowering* of the exponent $w_{E/F}$. Following 6.2 Proposition, we are restricted to the case where $2w_{E/F} > m$. The consequences for simple characters are complementary to those of 6.4, but we get much more detail.

THEOREM. Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$ in which $E = F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r and p does not divide m . Suppose $m < 2w_{E/F}$. Let d be an integer such that

$$1 \leq d \leq m/2, \quad d > \max\{0, m - w_{E/F}\}, \quad d \not\equiv m \pmod{p}. \quad (6.5.1)$$

Let $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{-d}$ satisfy $v_E(s_{E/F}(b)) = -d$. The element $\beta = \alpha + b$ lies in $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ and

$$w_{E'/F} = m - d < w_{E/F}, \quad E' = F[\beta]. \quad (6.5.2)$$

Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ and write $l = l_E(\theta)$. For any such β , the following results hold.

(1) Suppose $l < d$.

- (a) If $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $l_{E'}(\theta) = d$.
- (b) If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $l_{E'}(\theta) < d$.

(2) If $l > d$, then $l_{E'}(\theta) = l$.

(3) Suppose $l = d$.

- (a) If $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $l_{E'}(\theta) \leq d$, with both equality and inequality occurring.
- (b) If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $l_{E'}(\theta) = d$.

Proof. Writing $\mathfrak{p} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$, let $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{-d}$ satisfy $v_E(s_{E/F}(b)) = -d$. As in 6.1 Proposition, the element $\beta = \alpha + b$ lies in $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Put $E' = F[\beta]$.

LEMMA.

- (1) Let $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^d$. There exist $y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^d$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ such that $y = y' + h$. The map $y \mapsto y'$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_E^d / \mathfrak{p}_E^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^d / \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^m$.
- (2) If $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{d+1}$, then $y' \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^{d+1}$ and one may take $h \in \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}$.

Proof. This is identical to the proof of part (1) of 6.3 Lemma, so we omit the details. \square

Set $w' = w_{E'/F}$. We first show that $\mu_M(\beta z) = 1$, for $z \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^{1+d}$. By the lemma, there exist $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+d}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}$ such that $y = z + h$. The condition $d > m - w_{E/F}$ implies $\mu_M(\alpha y) = 1$. Since $by \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have $\mu_M(by) = 1$. Altogether, $\mu_M(\beta y) = \mu_M(\alpha y)\mu_M(by) = 1$. Therefore $1 = \mu_M(\beta z)\mu_M(\beta h) = \mu_M(\beta z)$, as asserted. It follows that $d \geq m - w'$.

Now take $z \in E'$ with $v_{E'}(z) = d$. Thus $z = y - h$, where $y \in E$ satisfies $v_E(y) = d$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$. Consequently, $[\beta, h] = [\beta, y] = [b, y]$. Setting $\zeta = -d/m$, 5.7 Proposition gives

$$[b, y] = -A_y(b)y \equiv -\zeta A_\alpha(b)y \pmod{A_\alpha^2(\mathfrak{a}) + \mathfrak{p}}.$$

Since $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{U_{\mathfrak{a}}^{m-d}}$, we have

$$A_\alpha(a) \equiv A_\beta(a) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{k+m-d}}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{p}^k,$$

for any integer k . So

$$[\beta, h] = [b, y] \equiv -\zeta A_\beta(b)y \pmod{A_\beta^2(\mathfrak{a}) + \mathfrak{p}}.$$

We may therefore choose the decomposition $y = z + h$ so that

$$h \equiv -\zeta by\beta^{-1} \equiv -\zeta by\alpha^{-1} \pmod{A_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}}. \quad (6.5.3)$$

We apply the character $\mu_M * \beta$ to the relation $y = z + h$. Since $\mu_M(\alpha y) = 1$ (because $d > m - w$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_M(by) &= \mu_M(\beta y) = \mu_M(\beta z)\mu_M(\beta h) \\ &= \mu_M(\beta z)\mu_M(\alpha h) \\ &= \mu_M(\beta z)\mu_M(-\zeta by), \end{aligned}$$

whence $\mu_M((1+\zeta)by) = \mu_M(\beta z)$. Our hypothesis $d \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$ implies that $\zeta \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ so, for some choice of z , we get $\mu_M(\beta z) \neq 1$. In combination with the previous argument, this proves that $w' = m - d$ and the first assertion (6.5.2) of the theorem.

Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha) = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \beta)$ and suppose $l = l_E(\theta) < d = m - w'$. We calculate the E' -level $l_{E'}(\theta)$. If $y \in E$, $v_E(y) = 1 + d$, we write $y = z + h$ as above, with $z \in E'$ of valuation $1 + d$ and $h \in A_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}$. This gives $1 = \theta(1 + y) = \theta(1 + z)\theta(1 + h) = \theta(1 + z)$. Thus $l_{E'}(\theta) \leq d$. Now take $y \in E$ of valuation d and write $y = z + h$, where $v_{E'}(z) = d$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$. Indeed, we may take $h \equiv -\zeta by\alpha^{-1} \pmod{A_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^m) + \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}}$ as before. This gives

$$1 = \theta(1 + y) = \theta(1 + z)\mu_M(\alpha h)$$

and

$$\mu_M(\alpha h) = \mu_M(-\zeta by) = \mu_E(-\zeta y s_\alpha(b)).$$

Suppose $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus $\zeta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$ and we may choose $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^d$ so that $\mu_E(-\zeta y s_\alpha(b)) \neq 1$. Thus $\theta(1+z) \neq 1$, whence $l_{E'}(\theta) = d$ as required for (1)(a). If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}$ and $\theta(1+z) = 1$. Thus $l_{E'}(\theta) < d$, as required for (1)(b).

Part (2) follows from a similar, but easier, argument.

Part (3) is given by a counting argument as follows. Let q be the cardinality of the residue field $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F$. For an integer $k \leq [m/2]$, let $\mathcal{C}(\alpha; \leq k)$ be the set of $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that $l_{F[\alpha]}(\theta) \leq k$. We use the obvious variations. Note that $\mathcal{C}(\alpha; \leq k)$ has exactly q^k elements while $\mathcal{C}(\alpha; > k)$ has $q^{[m/2]-k}$ elements.

Part (2) gives $\mathcal{C}(\alpha; > d) \subset \mathcal{C}(\beta; > d)$, hence $\mathcal{C}(\alpha; > d) = \mathcal{C}(\beta; > d)$ and also $\mathcal{C}(\alpha; \leq d) = \mathcal{C}(\beta; \leq d)$. Assertions (3)(a) and (3)(b) now follow from (1)(a) and (1)(b), respectively. \square

We refine the final step of the argument, retaining the notation of the theorem.

COROLLARY 1.

- (1) *There is a unique character ξ of $U_{E'}^d/U_{E'}^{1+d}$ with the following property: if $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ has $l_{E'}(\theta) = d$, then $l_E(\theta) < d$ if and only if $\theta|U_{E'}^d = \xi$.*
- (2) *Let θ_0 be the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that $l_E(\theta_0) = 0$. It satisfies $l_{E'}(\theta_0) \leq d$ and the character ξ of (1) is given as $\xi = \theta_0|U_{E'}^d$.*
- (3) *The character ξ is trivial if and only if $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. Let $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ have $l_E(\theta_0) = 0$ and endo-class Θ_0 . Let ξ be the restriction of θ_0 to $U_{E'}^d$. By assertion (1) of the theorem, this character ξ is trivial if and only if $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $\theta' \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ have endo-class Θ' . If \mathbb{A} is the canonical ultrametric on $\mathcal{E}(F)$, then $l_E(\theta') < d$ if and only if $\mathbb{A}(\Theta_0, \Theta') < p^{-r}d$. This condition is also equivalent to θ' agreeing with θ_0 on $U_{E'}^d$. \square

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ satisfy $l_E(\theta) = d$. In the theorem, one may choose β so that $l_{E'}(\theta) = d$.*

Proof. If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, there is nothing more to do, so we assume the contrary. Let $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^d$, and write $y = z+h$, for $z \in \mathfrak{p}_{E'}^d$ and $h \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, satisfying (6.5.3). Thus $\theta(1+y) = \theta(1+z)\mu_M(\alpha h) = \theta(1+z)\mu_M(-\zeta by)$. The function $1+y \mapsto \mu_M(-\zeta by)$ represents a non-trivial character of U_E^d/U_E^{1+d} . We may choose b at the beginning so that $\mu_M(-\zeta by) \neq \theta(1+y)$, for some $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^d$. This gives $\theta(1+z) \neq 1$ and $l_{E'}(\theta) = d$, as required. \square

7. The Herbrand function

We continue with a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ as in the previous sections. We recall that $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ is the set of endo-classes of simple characters $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ and that the canonical map $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha) \rightarrow \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ is a bijection (2.3 Remark (2)).

In this section we state and prove the main results concerning the Herbrand function Ψ_Θ , $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$. In 7.2 Theorem 1 and the supplementary 7.5 Proposition, we describe these functions in coherent families, rather along the lines of 5.3 Theorem but exploiting the flexibility gained in § 6. In 7.2 Theorem 2, we take a rather different approach. We fix α and specify, via an explicit formula, a non-empty subset $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, the elements of which are the simple characters that *conform to* α . If Θ is the endo-class of $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, we show $\Psi_\Theta = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma)}$. All Herbrand functions Ψ_Θ , $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$, are captured this way. The description given by Theorem 2 has particularly good properties with respect to the Langlands correspondence (§ 10 below), but its proof relies on Theorem 1.

7.1 We introduce a new concept.

DEFINITION. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r . Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be a realization of Θ , on a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$. Let $E = F[\alpha]$ and $l = l_E(\theta)$ (5.2). Say that α is θ -conformal if

$$\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(\alpha y), \quad y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+[l/2]}.$$

Say α is *weakly* θ -conformal if

$$\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(\alpha y), \quad y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^l.$$

In this situation, we might equally say that θ is α -conformal. Let $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be the set of α -conformal $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Surely $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ is not empty.

Let $\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ be the set of endo-classes of elements of $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. The canonical map $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha) \rightarrow \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ is a bijection.

PROPOSITION. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ be of degree p^r . The endo-class Θ has a realization $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, on a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$, such that α is θ -conformal. That is, $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be a realization of Θ and let $\mathfrak{p} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$. Let $\nu_\theta(\alpha)$ be the least integer ν for $\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(\alpha y)$, $y \in \mathfrak{p}_E^{1+\nu}$. Certainly $\nu \leq [m/2]$ (2.3.1). Write $E = F[\alpha]$ and $d_\alpha = m - w_{E/F}$. We have $\nu \geq [d_\alpha/2]$ since, otherwise, the function $\mu_M * \alpha$ does not represent a character of $U_E^{1+\nu}$. If $\nu = [d_\alpha/2]$, there is nothing more to do.

LEMMA. Set $\nu = \nu_\theta(\alpha)$, and assume that $\nu > [d_\alpha/2]$. There exists $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that $\beta \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-\nu}}$ and $\nu_\theta(\beta) \leq \nu - 1$. This condition determines the stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, \nu - 1, \beta]$ uniquely, up to formal intertwining.

Proof. Recall that $\nu \leq [m/2]$. By hypothesis, the function

$$\xi(1+x) = \theta(1+x) \mu_M(-\alpha x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{p}_E^\nu,$$

represents a non-trivial character of U_E^ν , trivial on $U_E^{1+\nu}$. Consequently, there exists $z \in \mathfrak{p}^{-\nu}$ such that

$$\xi(1+x) = \mu_M(zx), \quad x \in \mathfrak{p}_E^\nu. \quad (7.1.1)$$

Choose a tame corestriction $s_{E/F} : M \rightarrow E$ and let μ_E be the character of E for which $\mu_E \circ s_{E/F} = \mu_M$. Thus (7.1.1) reads $\xi(1+x) = \mu_E(s_{E/F}(z)x)$, for all x as before. As ξ defines a non-trivial character of $U_E^\nu/U_E^{1+\nu}$, we have that $v_E(s_{E/F}(z)) = -\nu$ and $s_{E/F}(z)$ is uniquely determined, by θ , modulo $\mathfrak{p}_E^{1-\nu}$. We invoke [BK93, (2.2.3)]: the stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, \nu - 1, \alpha + z]$ is simple (whence $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$) and uniquely determined up to formal intertwining [BK93, (2.2.1)].

Set $L = F[\beta]$. We show that

$$\theta(1+x) = \mu_M(\beta x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{p}_L^\nu. \quad (7.1.2)$$

This will imply $\nu_\theta(\beta) \leq \nu - 1$, as required to complete the proof of the lemma.

Since $x \in L = F[\beta]$, there is a polynomial $f(T) \in F[T]$, of degree at most $p^r - 1$, such that $x = f(\beta)$. Write

$$f(T) = a_0 + a_1 T + \cdots + a_{p^r-1} T^{p^r-1}.$$

The L -valuations of the terms $a_i\beta^i$, $0 \leq i \leq p^r-1$, are distinct. The condition $v_L(x) = \nu$ translates as $\nu \leq p^r v_F(a_i) - mi$ for all i , with equality for exactly one value of i . So, if we put $y = f(\alpha)$, we get $v_E(y) = \nu$. Consider the element

$$t = x - y = f(\beta) - f(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq i < p^r} a_i((\alpha + z)^i - \alpha^i).$$

Expand $((\alpha + z)^i - \alpha^i)$. Any fractional \mathfrak{a} -ideal \mathfrak{p}^k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is stable under conjugation by α , so every term in the expansion of $(\alpha + z)^i - \alpha^i$ lies in $\alpha^{i-1}z\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^{(1-i)m-\nu}$. Since $p^r v_F(a_i) \geq mi + \nu$, the term $a_i((\alpha + z)^i - \alpha^i)$ lies in \mathfrak{p}^m , whence $t = f(\beta) - f(\alpha) = x - y \in \mathfrak{p}^m$.

With this element t , and setting

$$u = (1+t)^{-1}(1+y)^{-1}yt,$$

we have

$$1+x = (1+y)(1+t)(1-u).$$

We use this expression to evaluate $\theta(1+x)$. Our choice of z gives $\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(\beta y)$ and, since $t \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, we have $\theta(1+t) = \mu_M(\alpha t)$. As $yt \in \mathfrak{p}^{m+1}$, so $\theta(1-u) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\theta(1+x) = \theta(1+y)\theta(1+t) = \mu_M(\beta y)\mu_M(\alpha t).$$

On the other hand, $zt \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-\nu}$ and $m-\nu = (m-2\nu)+\nu \geq 1$, whence $\mu_M(zt) = 1$. Altogether,

$$\mu_M(\beta x) = \mu_M(\beta y)\mu_M(\alpha t)\mu_M(zt) = \theta(1+y)\theta(1+t) = \theta(1+x),$$

as required for (7.1.2). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The proposition now follows. \square

Note that, while the proposition is an existence statement, the proof is constructive.

7.2 To state our first result, it is convenient to have a looser concept reflecting the structure of 5.3 Theorem. We consider a datum $(E/F, m)$ in which E/F is a totally ramified field extension of degree p^r , $r \geq 1$, and m is a positive integer not divisible by p .

DEFINITION. Say that $(E/F, m)$ is *standard* if at least one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) $m > 2w_{E/F}$;
- (b) $m \leq w_{E/F}$;
- (c) $m \leq 2w_{E/F}$ and $w_{E/F} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Case (c) can only arise when F has characteristic 0 (1.8). We remark that, in case (b), the function ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, m/p^r)}$ has an odd number of jumps (4.2 Remark). In case (c), we actually have $m < 2w_{E/F}$, since m is not divisible by p . We can always reduce to one of these cases, as follows.

LEMMA. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r . There is a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M_{p^r}(F)$ such that

- (1) $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ contains a character θ of endo-class Θ , and
- (2) the datum $(F[\alpha]/F, m)$ is standard.

Proof. Choose a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$ in $M_{p^r}(F)$ such that $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$. If $m > 2w_{F[\beta]/F}$, then $(F[\beta]/F, m)$ is standard. Otherwise, the lemma follows from 6.3 Corollary. \square

We state our main results.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r . Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be a realization of Θ on a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M_{p^r}(F)$ for which the datum $(F[\alpha]/F, m)$ is standard. Write $E = F[\alpha]$, $l = l_E(\theta)$ and $\varsigma = m/p^r = \varsigma_\Theta$. For any such realization, the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If $l \leq \max\{0, m - w_{E/F}\}$, then $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$.*
- (2) *If $l > \max\{0, m - w_{E/F}\}$ and $l \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$, then*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = \max \{ {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), x - p^{-r}(m-l) \}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma. \quad (7.2.1)$$

- (3) *In part (2), the class Θ admits a parameter field E'/F as follows:*

- (i) $E' = F[\beta]$, where $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ and $\beta \equiv \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{-l}}$;
- (ii) $w_{E'/F} = m-l$ and $l_{E'}(\theta) = l$.

For any such β , $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E'/F, \varsigma)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$.

That Θ has a realization of the required form is 7.2 Lemma. We shall see in the course of the proof that (7.2.1) also holds in the situation of part (1), but says nothing new there. We comment in 7.5 below on the restrictive hypothesis in part (2) of the theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ have degree p^r . Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M = M_{p^r}(F)$ such that Θ has a realization $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. For any such realization, $l_{F[\alpha]}(\theta) = \max\{0, m - w_{F[\alpha]/F}\}$ and*

$$\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma_\Theta)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\Theta. \quad (7.2.2)$$

Remark. The endo-class Θ has a realization of the required form, by 7.1 Proposition. When proving Theorem 2, we show that (7.2.2) holds provided only that Θ has a realization $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that α is *weakly θ -conformal* (7.1 Definition). We will not use that version in the rest of the paper.

Before embarking on the proofs of the theorems, we give a consequence of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY. *Let E/F be a totally ramified field extension of degree p^r , and let m be a positive integer not divisible by p . There exists $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$, of degree p^r , with parameter field E/F and $\varsigma_\Theta = m/p^r$, such that $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, m/p^r)}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq m/p^r$.*

Proof. View E as a subfield of $M = M_{p^r}(F)$ and take $\alpha \in E$ such that $v_E(\alpha) = -m$. There is a unique hereditary \mathfrak{o}_F -order \mathfrak{a} in M such that $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ is a simple stratum in M . By Theorem 2, any $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ has the required property. \square

7.3 We prove 7.2 Theorem 1. In part (1) of the theorem, suppose that $(E/F, m)$ is standard of type (a) (respectively, (b); respectively, (c)). The assertion is then equivalent to part (2) (respectively, (1); respectively, (3)) of 5.3 Theorem.

The hypothesis in part (2) implies that $m < 2w_{E/F}$, so the standard datum $(E/F, m)$ is of type (b) or (c). To prove part (2), we first use 6.5 Corollary 2 to choose an element $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that

$$w_{F[\beta]/F} = m-l \quad \text{and} \quad l_{F[\beta]}(\theta) = l.$$

Consequently, $w_{F[\beta]/F} < w_{E/F}$ and $w_{F[\beta]/F} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Let θ_0 be the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ with $l_E(\theta_0) = 0$ and let Θ_0 be the endo-class of θ_0 . The hypotheses of part (1) of the theorem apply to θ_0 as an element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, so

$$\Psi_{\Theta_0}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma. \quad (7.3.1)$$

We compare θ and θ_0 from the standpoint of the element β . From 6.5 Theorem 1(1), we have $l_{F[\beta]}(\theta_0) \leq l$, with equality if and only if $l \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

If the function ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}$ has an odd number of jumps, then

$${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)} = \Psi_{\Theta_0} = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)} = \Psi_{\Theta},$$

by (7.3.1) and 5.3 Theorem (1) applied to Θ_0 and to Θ . Moreover,

$$x - p^{-r}(m - l) = x - p^{-r}w_{F[\beta]/F} \leq {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma,$$

so we are done in this case.

Assume therefore that ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps. Let I be the set of points x such that ${}^2\Psi'_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) = 1$. Since ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps, the set I is a non-empty open interval and (4.2 Proposition)

$${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) = x - p^{-r}w_{F[\beta]/F}, \quad x \in I. \quad (7.3.2)$$

By 5.6 Corollary 1, the functions Ψ_{Θ_0} , ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}$ agree outside I . By 5.6 Corollary 2, the only possibilities are that $\Psi_{\Theta_0}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for all $x \in I$, or else $\Psi_{\Theta_0}(x) < {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for all $x \in I$. The first alternative is untenable: if $\Psi'_{\Theta_0} = 1$ on an interval I' , then (by (7.3.1)) $\Psi_{\Theta_0}(x) = x - p^{-r}w_{E/F}$, $x \in I'$. But, if $\Psi_{\Theta_0}(x)$ equalled ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ on I , we would have $\Psi_{\Theta_0}(x) = x - p^{-r}w_{F[\beta]/F}$ there. Since $w_{E/F} > w_{F[\beta]/F}$, this is impossible. Therefore,

$${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) > \Psi_{\Theta_0}(x), \quad x \in I, \quad (7.3.3)$$

and

$${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) = \max \{ \Psi_{\Theta_0}(x), x - p^{-r}w_{F[\beta]/F} \}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \varsigma. \quad (7.3.4)$$

In terms of the ultrametric \mathbb{A} on $\mathfrak{E}(F)$, we have $\mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Theta_0) = l/p^r > 0$. It follows that the characters θ , θ_0 do not agree on $U_{F[\beta]}^l$. Theorem 5.3(4) now implies $\Psi_{\Theta} = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}$ and Part (2) follows from (7.3.1) and (7.3.4).

Part (3) holds relative to the same choice of β , so we have completed the proof of 7.2 Theorem 1. \square

Remark. The argument following (7.3.4) shows that the character ϕ of 5.3 Theorem (4), relative to l and β , is $\theta_0|U_{F[\beta]}^l$. It is trivial if and only if $l \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

7.4 We prove 7.2 Theorem 2. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ be a realization of Θ for which α is *weakly θ -conformal* and set $E = F[\alpha]$. Thus $l = l_E(\theta) = m - w_{E/F}$ or 0.

If either $m > 2w_{E/F}$ or $w_{E/F} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the desired relation $\Psi_{\Theta} = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ is given by 5.3 Theorem (2) or (3), respectively. We therefore assume that $m \leq 2w_{E/F}$ and that $w_{E/F} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. In particular, $l \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$. If ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, m/p^r)}$ has an odd number of jumps, then $\Psi_{\Theta} = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ by 5.3 Theorem (1).

We therefore assume that ${}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}$ has an even number of jumps (whence $(E/F, m)$ is not standard). Let I be the open interval on which ${}^2\Psi'_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x) = 1$, $0 < x < \varsigma$. For $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma$, we have (5.3 Theorem (4))

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\Theta}(x) &= {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x), \quad x \notin I, \\ \Psi_{\Theta}(x) &\leqslant {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x) = x - p^{-r}(m-l), \quad x \in I.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ at any point where these functions are smooth and have derivative other than 1.

We use 6.3 Corollary to construct from α a standard datum $(F[\beta]/F, m)$; this will be of type (b) or (c) in the scheme of 7.2 Definition. Since $(E/F, m)$ is not standard, $w_{F[\beta]/F} > w_{E/F}$. By 6.4 Proposition, $l_{F[\beta]}(\theta) = l$. By 7.2 Theorem 1(2),

$$\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = \max\{{}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x), x - p^{-r}(m-l)\}, \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \varsigma.$$

So, if Ψ_{Θ} is smooth at x and $\Psi'_{\Theta}(x) \neq 1$, then $\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$. Suppose, on the other hand, that $\Psi'_{\Theta}(x) = 1$. If ${}^2\Psi'_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) = 1$, then

$${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}(x) = x - p^{-r}w_{F[\beta]/F} < x - p^{-r}(m-l).$$

Therefore $\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = x - p^{-r}(m-l) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ at such points. Altogether, $\Psi_{\Theta}(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(E/F, \varsigma)}(x)$ for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \varsigma$. We have proved 7.2 Theorem 2. \square

7.5 Now that Theorem 2 has been proved, Theorem 1 has no further direct role in the paper. However, Theorem 2 gives no idea of how Ψ_{Θ} varies as Θ ranges over $\|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ while Theorem 1 does just that, modulo some limitations in part (2). For the sake of tidiness, we show that all Herbrand functions Ψ_{Θ} , $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$, are captured within the scheme of Theorem 1.

PROPOSITION. *Suppose that $m \leqslant 2w_{F[\alpha]/F}$. Let $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$. There exists $\beta \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, say $F[\beta] = E$, with the following properties:*

- (1) *the datum $(E/F, m)$ is standard and*
- (2) *either*
 - (a) $l_E(\theta) \leqslant \max\{0, m - w_{E/F}\}$ *or*
 - (b) $l_E(\theta) \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$.

Proof. We first choose β so that Θ is the endo-class of some $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \beta)$, as we may by 7.1 Proposition. Writing $E = F[\beta]$, suppose $w_{F[\beta]/F} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus $(E/F, m)$ is standard and $l_E(\theta) = \max\{0, m - w_{E/F}\}$, so option (a) applies.

Suppose then that $w_{E/F} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus $l_E(\theta) = \max\{0, m - w_{E/F}\}$, so $l_E(\theta) \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$. If $(E/F, m)$ is standard, there is nothing to do, so suppose otherwise. We use 6.2 Corollary to find $\gamma \in P(\mathfrak{a}, \beta)$ such that, if $L = F[\gamma]$, then either $w_{L/F} \geqslant m$ or $w_{L/F} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $w_{L/F} > w_{E/F}$. In all cases, $(L/F, m)$ is standard. By 6.3 Proposition, $l_L(\theta) = l_E(\theta) \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$, so option (b) applies. \square

Recall that, in the proposition, there is nothing to say when $m > 2w_{E/F}$ (5.3 Theorem (2)). Otherwise, Ψ_{Θ} is given by 7.2 Theorem 1.

Remark. The theorems of 7.2 and the proposition above leave open the following question. What are the functions ${}^2\Psi_{(F[\beta]/F, \varsigma)}$, where β ranges over elements of $P(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ subject to the condition that the datum $(F[\beta]/F, m)$ is standard?

8. Representations with a single jump

We consider here representations $\sigma \in \widehat{W}_F^{\text{wr}}$ for which the decomposition function Σ_{σ} of (2.2.2) has a *unique jump*: these play a central role in what follows.

8.1 For the moment, let G be a finite p -group with centre $Z \neq G$. Say that G is *H-cyclic* if Z is cyclic and G/Z is elementary abelian. Equivalently, G is an extra-special p -group of class 2. We introduce this new terminology to avoid ambiguous usage that has accumulated here. In particular, we do not need to specify G from among the various possibilities listed in, for instance, [Gor00, p. 203]. The material of this subsection is generally familiar, but we choose to give a complete, albeit brief, account.

If G is H-cyclic, the commutator group $[G, G]$ is the subgroup Z_p of Z of order p . We may view the pairing $G/Z \times G/Z \rightarrow Z_p$, induced by the commutator $(x, y) \mapsto [x, y]$, as an alternating form on the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space G/Z . If $x, y \in G$, then $[x, y] = 1$ if and only if x centralizes y . The alternating form is therefore *non-degenerate*: if $[x, y] = 1$ for all $y \in G$, then $x \in Z$.

We first give a technical result, needed in 8.4.

LEMMA. *Let G be an H-cyclic finite p -group with centre Z . Let α be an automorphism of G which is trivial on Z and induces the trivial automorphism of G/Z . The automorphism α is then inner.*

Proof. Consider the map $G \rightarrow Z_p$ given by $x \mapsto x^\alpha x^{-1}$. This induces a map $G/Z \rightarrow Z_p$ which is a homomorphism: $(xy)^\alpha y^{-1} x^{-1} = x^\alpha x^{-1} y^\alpha y^{-1}$. The non-degeneracy property of the commutator pairing gives a unique $y \in G/Z$ such that $x^\alpha x^{-1} = [y, x]$, for all x . This relation says $x^\alpha = yxy^{-1}$, as required. \square

PROPOSITION. *Let G be an H-cyclic finite p -group with centre Z , and let χ be a faithful character of Z .*

- (1) *There exists a unique irreducible representation σ of G such that $\sigma|_Z$ contains χ . The representation σ is faithful of dimension $(G:Z)^{1/2}$ and $\sigma|_Z$ is a multiple of χ .*
- (2) *A character ξ of G satisfies $\xi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$ if and only if ξ is trivial on Z . If $D(\sigma)$ denotes the group of such characters, then*

$$\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma = \sum_{\xi \in D(\sigma)} \xi. \quad (8.1.1)$$

Proof. Denote by h the alternating form on G/Z induced by the commutator pairing $(x, y) \mapsto \chi[x, y]$, $x, y \in G$. The non-degenerate alternating \mathbb{F}_p -space G/Z has even dimension $2r$, say. Let L be a *Lagrangian subspace* of G/Z , that is, a subspace on which h is null and is maximal for this property. Thus L has dimension r .

Let \tilde{L} be the inverse image of L in G . As h is null on L , the subgroup \tilde{L} of G is abelian and maximal for this property. The character χ therefore admits extension to a character χ_L of \tilde{L} . Let $y \in G \setminus L$. There exists $x \in L$ such that $[x, y] \neq 1$. This implies that $\chi_L^y(x) \neq \chi_L(x)$, whence $\rho_\chi = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{L}}^G \chi_L$ is irreducible. We form the usual inner product of characters,

$$1 = \langle \text{tr } \rho_\chi, \text{tr } \rho_\chi \rangle = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{tr } \rho_\chi(g)|^2.$$

As $\text{tr } \rho_\chi(z) = p^r \chi(z)$, for $z \in Z$, it follows that $\text{tr } \rho_\chi(g) = 0$, for all $g \in G \setminus Z$. Therefore ρ_χ is independent of the choice of χ_L . The function $\text{tr } \rho_\chi$ takes the value $p^r = \dim \rho_\chi$ only at the identity, so ρ_χ is faithful.

Let σ be an irreducible representation of G that contains χ . With L as before, the restriction $\sigma|_{\tilde{L}}$ is a sum of characters ϕ (since \tilde{L} is abelian), each of which satisfies $\phi|_Z = \chi$. However, any such character induces the representation ρ_χ , so $\sigma \cong \rho_\chi$, as asserted. This deals with (1).

A character ξ of G such that $\xi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$ is surely trivial on Z . That is, ξ is the inflation of a character of G/Z . The trace calculation ensures that any such character ξ satisfies $\xi \otimes \sigma = \sigma$. Thus ξ occurs in the representation $\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma$. The number of such characters ξ is $p^{2r} = \dim \check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma$, whence (8.1.1) follows. \square

8.2 Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ have dimension p^r , and let $\bar{\sigma} : \mathcal{W}_F \rightarrow \text{PGL}_{p^r}(\mathbb{C})$ be the projective representation defined by σ .

DEFINITION 1. The *centric field* $Z = Z_\sigma/F$ of σ is defined by $\mathcal{W}_Z = \text{Ker } \bar{\sigma}$. The *tame centric field* T_σ/F of σ is the maximal tame sub-extension of Z_σ/F .

Thus σ is absolutely wild if and only if $T_\sigma = F$. Observe that if K/F is a finite tame extension and $\sigma_K = \sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_K} \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K^{\text{wr}}$, then $Z_{\sigma_K} = Z_\sigma K$ and $T_{\sigma_K} = T_\sigma K$.

Define $D(\sigma)$ to be the group of characters χ of \mathcal{W}_F such that $\chi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$.

Since $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$, the restriction $\sigma_0^+ = \sigma|_{\mathcal{P}_F}$ is irreducible. Let $D^+(\sigma)$ be the group of characters ϕ of \mathcal{P}_F such that $\phi \otimes \sigma_0^+ \cong \sigma_0^+$. Since σ_0^+ factors through a representation of a finite p -group, the group $D^+(\sigma)$ is non-trivial. A character ϕ of \mathcal{P}_F lies in $D^+(\sigma)$ if and only if it is a component of $\check{\sigma}_0^+ \otimes \sigma_0^+$, whence $D^+(\sigma)$ has order at most p^{2r} . The group \mathcal{W}_F acts on $D^+(\sigma)$ in a natural way, with \mathcal{P}_F acting trivially.

If K/F is a finite tame extension, then $\mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}_F$. We may identify $(\sigma_K)_0^+$ with σ_0^+ and $D^+(\sigma_K)$ with $D^+(\sigma)$.

LEMMA. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$.

- (1) If K/F is a finite, tamely ramified field extension, the restriction map $D(\sigma_K) \rightarrow D^+(\sigma)$ is an isomorphism of $D(\sigma_K)$ with the group of \mathcal{W}_K -fixed points in $D^+(\sigma)$.
- (2) There is a unique minimal tame extension $T_I(\sigma)/F$ such that the map $D(\sigma_{T_I(\sigma)}) \rightarrow D^+(\sigma)$ is an isomorphism.
- (3) The field extension $T_I(\sigma)/F$ is Galois and contained in T_σ .

Proof. The lemma summarizes the discussion in [BH17, 8.2]. \square

We refer to $T_I(\sigma)$ as the *imprimitivity field* of σ .

DEFINITION 2. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$. Say that σ is *H-cyclic* if the finite p -group $\sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$ is H-cyclic.

PROPOSITION. If $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ is H-cyclic then $T_I(\sigma) = T_\sigma$.

Proof. Let Z_σ/F be the centric field of σ . Since T_σ contains $T_I(\sigma)$, nothing is changed if we extend the base field to $T_I(\sigma)$ and assume $T_I(\sigma) = F$. According to the lemma, the group $D(\sigma)$ is then isomorphic to $D^+(\sigma)$ and so has order p^{2r} , where $p^r = \dim \sigma$. The non-trivial characters in $D(\sigma)$ are wildly ramified of order p . The sum $\sum_{\phi \in D(\sigma)} \phi$ is a sub-representation of $\sigma \otimes \check{\sigma}$, of the same dimension, so $\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma = \sum_{\phi \in D(\sigma)} \phi$. We show that $\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma$ provides a *faithful* representation of $\text{Gal}(Z_\sigma/F)$. Let σ act on the vector space V . So, if $x \in \text{Ker } \check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma$, the operator $1 = \check{\sigma}(x) \otimes \sigma(x) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\check{V} \otimes V)$ is, in particular, a non-zero scalar. Each of the operators $\sigma(x) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, $\check{\sigma}(x) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\check{V})$, is therefore scalar. In particular, $x \in \text{Ker } \bar{\sigma} = \mathcal{W}_{Z_\sigma}$, as asserted.

Define K/F by $\mathcal{W}_K = \bigcap_{\phi \in D(\sigma)} \text{Ker } \phi$. The extension K/F is totally wildly ramified, and elementary abelian of degree p^{2r} . By definition, every $\phi \in D(\sigma)$ is trivial on $\text{Gal}(Z_\sigma/K)$, whence $K = Z_\sigma$ and so $T_\sigma = F$. \square

Remark. Following the proposition, it is natural to ask whether there exists a representation $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ for which the tame centric field and the imprimitivity field are distinct. We produce an example of such a representation σ in 9.7 below.

The following device is not central to our current concerns, but we include it here for its utility in constructing examples (as in 8.4 below).

EXAMPLE. Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be H-cyclic. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $D^+(\sigma) \cap D^+(\sigma') = \{1\}$;
- (2) $\sigma \otimes \sigma'$ is irreducible and totally wild.

When these conditions hold, the representation $\sigma \otimes \sigma'$ is H-cyclic.

Proof. If τ is a smooth, finite-dimensional representation of \mathcal{P}_F , then τ is irreducible if and only if the space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_F}(1, \tau \otimes \check{\tau})$ has dimension 1. Here, $\sigma \otimes \check{\sigma} | \mathcal{P}_F = \sum_{\phi \in D^+(\sigma)} \phi$, and similarly for σ' . Therefore

$$(\sigma \otimes \sigma') \otimes (\check{\sigma} \otimes \check{\sigma}') | \mathcal{P}_F = \sum_{\substack{\phi \in D^+(\sigma), \\ \phi' \in D^+(\sigma')}} \phi \phi'.$$

The trivial character occurs exactly once in the sum if and only if $D^+(\sigma) \cap D^+(\sigma') = \{1\}$, so (1) is equivalent to $\sigma \otimes \sigma'$ being irreducible on \mathcal{P}_F : this is the same as (2).

Abbreviate $\tau = \sigma \otimes \sigma'$, and assume τ to be irreducible. Let C and C' be respectively the centres of $\sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$ and $\sigma'(\mathcal{P}_F)$. For $x \in \mathcal{P}_F$, the operator $\tau(x)^p = \sigma(x)^p \otimes \sigma'(x)^p$ is scalar and lies in $CC' = \{z \otimes z' : z \in C, z' \in C'\}$. In particular, CC' consists of scalars and is central in $\tau(\mathcal{P}_F)$. Thus $\tau(\mathcal{P}_F)$ is of exponent p modulo its centre. Since τ is irreducible on \mathcal{P}_F , this centre is cyclic. \square

8.3 Let χ be a character of \mathcal{P}_F . Define the F -slope $\text{sl}_F(\chi)$ of χ by

$$\text{sl}_F(\chi) = \inf\{x > 0 : \mathcal{R}_F(x) \subset \text{Ker } \chi\}. \quad (8.3.1)$$

If χ extends to a character $\tilde{\chi}$ of \mathcal{W}_F , then $\text{sl}_F(\chi) = \text{sw}(\tilde{\chi}) = \varsigma_{\tilde{\chi}}$.

Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be H-cyclic, with $\dim \sigma > 1$. Say that σ is H -singular if there exists $a > 0$ such that $\text{sl}_F(\chi) = a$, for all non-trivial $\chi \in D^+(\sigma)$.

PROPOSITION. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be H -singular and let $a = \text{sl}_F(\chi)$, for $\chi \in D^+(\sigma)$, $\chi \neq 1$. The function Σ_σ has a unique jump, lying at the point a .

Proof. This is immediate, on applying (2.2.2) and (8.1.1) to σ . \square

8.4 The converse of 8.3 Proposition is more interesting.

PROPOSITION. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ have dimension p^r , $r \geq 1$. Suppose that the decomposition function Σ_σ has exactly one jump, at the point a , say. The following properties then hold:

- (1) the representation σ is H -singular and $\text{sl}_F(\chi) = a$, for every $\chi \in D^+(\sigma)$, $\chi \neq 1$;
- (2) $\text{sw}(\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma) = p^{2r} \Sigma_\sigma(0) = (p^{2r} - 1)a$;
- (3) if σ is of Carayol type, then $a = \text{sw}(\sigma)/(1+p^r)$.

Proof. The definition (2.2.2) of Σ_σ implies that

$$\Sigma'_\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} p^{-2r}, & 0 < x < a, \\ 1, & x > a. \end{cases} \quad (8.4.1)$$

Consequently, the restriction of σ to $\mathcal{R}_F(a)$ is irreducible and its restriction to $\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$ is a multiple of a character. The group $S^+ = \sigma(\mathcal{R}_F^+(a))$ is therefore cyclic and central in $S = \sigma(\mathcal{R}_F(a))$. The finite p -group S/S^+ is a quotient of $\mathcal{R}_F(a)/\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$, so it is elementary. Since σ is irreducible on $\mathcal{R}_F(a)$, the centre of S is cyclic. Consequently, the group $S = \sigma(\mathcal{R}_F(a))$ is H-cyclic with centre containing S^+ .

Let C be the centralizer of S in $P = \sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$. Again, C is finite cyclic. Let $y \in \mathcal{P}_F$. The representations σ, σ^y are equivalent, particularly on $\mathcal{R}_F(a)$. The element y must therefore act trivially on the centre of S . The commutator group $[y, \mathcal{R}_F(a)]$ is contained in $[\mathcal{P}_F, \mathcal{R}_F(a)] \subset \mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$, so y acts trivially on S modulo its centre. By 8.1 Lemma, there exists $x \in \mathcal{R}_F(a)$ such that $\sigma(xy)$ centralizes S . Therefore $P = SC$, implying that σ is H-cyclic.

It follows from (8.1.1) that $\check{\sigma} \otimes \sigma|_{\mathcal{P}_F} = \sum_{\chi \in D^+(\sigma)} \chi$. A non-trivial character $\chi \in D^+(\sigma)$ is non-trivial on \mathcal{P}_F but it is trivial on the centre C of $\sigma(\mathcal{P}_F)$, so χ is determined by its restriction to $\mathcal{R}_F(a)$. It is certainly trivial on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$, so it has F -slope a . Thus σ is H-singular and (1) is proven. Part (2) now follows from (8.1.1). Part (3) is 3.8 Proposition. \square

We exhibit some implications of the preceding argument.

COROLLARY. Let $Z = Z_\sigma$, $T = T_\sigma = T_I(\sigma)$ and $\sigma_T = \sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_T}$.

(1) The field Z is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_Z = \bigcap_{\chi \in D(\sigma_T)} \text{Ker } \chi.$$

(2) The Herbrand function $\psi_{Z/T}$ has a unique jump, lying at $e(T|F)a$. Moreover,

- (a) $\mathcal{R}_F^+(a) \subset \mathcal{W}_Z$ and
- (b) $\mathcal{W}_T = \mathcal{R}_F(a)\mathcal{W}_Z$.

(3) The group \mathcal{W}_T is the \mathcal{W}_F -centralizer of $\bar{\sigma}(\mathcal{R}_F(a))$.

Proof. Define a field extension Y/T by $\mathcal{W}_Y = \bigcap_{\chi} \text{Ker } \chi$, with χ ranging over $D(\sigma_T)$. It follows from 8.1 Proposition that Y/T is the centric field for the representation σ_T and hence that $Y \supset Z$. We have to check that \mathcal{W}_F acts trivially on $\sigma(\mathcal{W}_Y)$. However, $\sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_Y} = \sigma_T|_{\mathcal{W}_Y}$ is a multiple of a character, so that character is necessarily stable under \mathcal{W}_F . Therefore, $Y = Z$, as required for (1).

Every non-trivial element of $D(\sigma_T)$ has Swan exponent $e(T|F)a$, whence follows the first assertion of (2). The same observation proves (a), while (b) follows from the definition of Z via the group $D(\sigma_T)$. In (3), the group $\bar{\sigma}(\mathcal{R}_F(a))$ is the quotient of the H-cyclic group by its centre. The dual of this quotient is the character group $D(\sigma_T)$. Under the natural action of \mathcal{W}_F , the centralizer of this dual is \mathcal{W}_T , by 8.2 Lemma, implying the result. \square

We finish with an example derived from [BH14a] and 8.2 Example.

EXAMPLE. Take $p = 2$, and suppose that F contains a primitive cube root of unity. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\sigma_i \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ have dimension 2 and satisfy $\text{sw}(\sigma_i) = 1$. [BH14a, Theorem 5.1] gives the recipe for

$T_I(\sigma_i)$ and $D^+(\sigma_i)$. From that information and 8.2 Example, one sees it is possible to choose σ_1, σ_2 so that $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$ is irreducible and H-singular. It is not of Carayol type, as $\text{sw}(\sigma) = 2$. If $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$, $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, then Ψ_Θ has two jumps and is not convex; see 8.5 Example 1 of [BH17] for the formula.

9. Ramification structure

Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be of Carayol type. We return to the methods of § 3 to work out the structure of σ when restricted to an arbitrary ramification group of \mathcal{W}_F . If $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$, $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$, we get a formula for Ψ_Θ to set against those of § 7. Despite appearances to the contrary, everything in this section relies on the local Langlands correspondence and the conductor formula of [BHK98], since we use the main results of § 3.

9.1 To avoid carrying an irrelevant variable, we make a minor adjustment to our notation. If $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ and if $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ satisfies $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$, we now write $\Psi_\sigma = \Psi_\Theta$.

Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be of Carayol type, and set $\varsigma = \varsigma_\sigma$. If $0 < x < \varsigma$, define

$$w_\sigma(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Psi'_\sigma(x+\epsilon)/\Psi'_\sigma(x-\epsilon). \quad (9.1.1)$$

Thus $w_\sigma(x)$ is a non-negative power of p , and $w_\sigma(x) > 1$ if and only if x is a jump of Ψ_σ . We then call $w_\sigma(x)$ the *height* of the jump x .

Symmetry, as in 4.1 Proposition, gives an order-reversing involution $j \mapsto \bar{j}$ on the set of jumps of Ψ_σ . If Ψ_σ has an even number of jumps, this involution has no fixed point. If the number of jumps is odd, it fixes the middle one. In the notation of (9.1.1), the symmetry property of Ψ_σ gives

$$w_\sigma(\bar{j}) = w_\sigma(j). \quad (9.1.2)$$

We will occasionally have to deal with the case of a one-dimensional representation σ . There, $\Sigma_\sigma(x) = \Psi_\sigma(x) = x$ and the functions $\Sigma_\sigma, \Psi_\sigma$ have no jumps. Indeed, the converse also holds [BH17, 7.7].

9.2 Throughout the section, we use the following notation.

Notation. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be of Carayol type and dimension p^r , $r \geq 1$. Define c_σ by $c_\sigma + \Psi_\sigma(c_\sigma) = \varsigma_\sigma$. Let

$$j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_s < (c_\sigma) < \bar{j}_s < \bar{j}_{s-1} < \cdots < \bar{j}_1 \quad (9.2.1)$$

be the jumps of Ψ_σ with the understanding that

- (a) the term c_σ is included only if Ψ_σ has an odd number of jumps and
- (b) $s = 0$ when Ψ_σ has only one jump.

For the first version of the main result, we assume that σ is *absolutely wild*, written $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$, in the sense of 3.2 Definition. We deduce the final version, for $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$, in 9.5.

THEOREM. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be absolutely wild of Carayol type and dimension p^r , $r \geq 1$.

- (1) The restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)}$ is a direct sum of characters.
- (2) Let ξ be a character of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$ occurring in σ and let \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} be the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of ξ . Let σ_ξ be the natural representation of \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} on the ξ -isotypic subspace of σ .

- (a) The field extension L_ξ/F is absolutely wildly ramified (cf. 1.2) of degree $p^r w_\sigma(c_\sigma)^{-1/2}$ and \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} contains $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$.
- (b) The representation σ_ξ is irreducible, absolutely wild and

$$\sigma = \text{Ind}_{L_\xi/F} \sigma_\xi.$$

- (c) If c_σ is not a jump of Ψ_σ , then σ_ξ is a character. Otherwise, σ_ξ is H -singular, of Carayol type and dimension $w_\sigma(c_\sigma)^{1/2}$. The unique jump of Ψ_{σ_ξ} lies at $\psi_{L_\xi/F}(c_\sigma)$.

Remarks.

- (1) The triple (ξ, L_ξ, σ_ξ) is uniquely determined by σ , up to \mathcal{W}_F -conjugation.
- (2) The function Ψ_σ has no jump lying strictly between c_σ and \bar{j}_s . So, if ξ and ξ' are components of $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$, then $\xi = \xi'$ if and only if $\xi | \mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_s) = \xi' | \mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_s)$. If c_σ is not a jump then, in the same way, $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(\bar{j}_s)$ is a sum of characters, two of which are equal if and only if their restrictions to $\mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_s)$ are equal.

As we prove the theorem, we uncover further features of interest that we now list.

COMPLEMENT 1. Let $1 \leq k \leq s$.

- (1) The restriction $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(j_k)$ is a multiplicity-free direct sum of irreducible representations.
- (2) The restriction $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_k)$ is a direct sum of characters. The isotypic components of $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_k)$ are the subspaces $\tau | \mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_k)$, as τ ranges over the irreducible components of $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(j_k)$.

In light of Remark (2) above, one can equally relate the decompositions of $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F(j_k)$ and $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(\bar{j}_k)$. In the next result, we use the concept of *elementary resolution* from 1.9.

COMPLEMENT 2. For $1 \leq k \leq s$, choose an irreducible component τ_k of the restriction $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(j_k)$ so that τ_{k+1} is a component of $\tau_k | \mathcal{R}_F^+(j_{k+1})$, $1 \leq k < s$. Let \mathcal{W}_{E_k} be the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of τ_k .

- (a) If ξ is a character of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$ occurring in $\tau_s | \mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$, then $E_s = L_\xi$.
- (b) The Herbrand function satisfies

$$\Psi_\sigma(x) = p^{-r} \psi_{L_\xi/F}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq c_\sigma. \quad (9.2.2)$$

- (c) The tower of fields

$$F \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_s = L_\xi \quad (9.2.3)$$

is the elementary resolution of L_ξ/F .

Following 4.1 Proposition, symmetry implies that the relation (9.2.2) determines $\Psi_\sigma(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq c_\sigma$. The tower of fields (9.2.3) is uniquely determined by σ , up to \mathcal{W}_F -conjugacy.

The proofs of these results occupy 9.3 and 9.4.

9.3 The theorem of 9.2 is proved by induction on the number of jumps. If Ψ_σ has no jumps, then $\dim \sigma = 1$ and this case has been excluded. If Ψ_σ has just one jump, the theorem and its complements follow from 8.4 Proposition with $L_\xi = F$.

In this subsection, we assume that Ψ_σ has at least two jumps and give a reduction step concerned only with the outermost jumps. As in 8.2, let $D(\sigma)$ be the group of characters χ of \mathcal{W}_F such that $\chi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$.

PROPOSITION. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{awr}}$ be of Carayol type. Suppose that Ψ_σ has at least two jumps, of which a is the first and z the last. Let $D_a(\sigma)$ be the group of $\chi \in D(\sigma)$ for which $\text{sw}(\chi) \leq a$. Let E_1/F be class field to $D_a(\sigma)$.

- (1) The group $D_a(\sigma)$ is elementary abelian of order $w_\sigma(a)$.
- (2) The group $\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$ is contained in \mathcal{W}_{E_1} and $\mathcal{W}_F = \mathcal{R}_F(a)\mathcal{W}_{E_1}$.
- (3) There exists $\sigma_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{E_1}^{\text{awr}}$ such that $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{E_1/F} \sigma_1$. Moreover,

$$\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(E_1/F)} \sigma_1^\gamma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}. \quad (9.3.1)$$

The representations $\sigma_1^\gamma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}$, $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(E_1/F)$, are distinct and irreducible. The \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of $\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}$ is \mathcal{W}_{E_1} .

- (4) The jumps of Ψ_{σ_1} are $\psi_{E_1/F}(j)$, as j ranges over the jumps of Ψ_σ , $j \neq a, z$. Indeed, $w_\sigma(y) = w_{\sigma_1}(\psi_{E_1/F}(y))$, for $y \neq a, z$.
- (5) The restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(z)}$ is a direct sum of characters ξ . The \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of any such ξ is \mathcal{W}_{E_1} .

Proof. The group $D_a(\sigma)$ is non-trivial (3.3 Lemma 1), so choose $\chi \in D_a(\sigma)$, $\chi \neq 1$. Set $\mathcal{W}_K = \text{Ker } \chi$. The extension K/F is cyclic of degree p , and $\psi_{K/F}$ has a unique jump, lying at a . As in 3.3 Lemma 2, $\mathcal{W}_K \cap \mathcal{R}_F(a) = \mathcal{R}_K(a)$ is of index p in $\mathcal{R}_F(a)$ and $\mathcal{R}_K^+(a) = \mathcal{R}_F^+(a)$. There exists $\tau \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K^{\text{wr}}$ with $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{K/F} \tau$, the representation τ being either absolutely wild of Carayol type (3.3 Lemma 1) or a character. By 3.4 Theorem (2) and 3.5 Theorem, $w_\sigma(y) = w_\tau(\psi_{K/F}(y))$, provided $y \neq a, z$. On the other hand, the same results give $w_\sigma(a) = pw_\tau(a)$ and $w_\sigma(z) = pw_\tau(\psi_{K/F}(z))$.

Note. Since σ has at least two jumps, 3.5 Corollary 1 shows that the case of 3.4 Theorem (3) need not be considered here.

LEMMA.

- (1) The restriction $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_K(a)}$ is irreducible and

$$\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)} = \sum_{\delta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \tau^\delta|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}. \quad (9.3.2)$$

- (2) The representations $\tau^\delta|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}$, $\delta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, are disjoint.

Proof. Since a is the first jump of Σ_σ , the restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(a)}$ is irreducible. The Mackey formula implies that this restriction is $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{R}_K(a)}^{\mathcal{R}_F(a)} \tau|_{\mathcal{R}_K(a)}$, whence the first assertion follows. The relation (9.3.2) again follows from the Mackey formula.

Since $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(a)}$ is irreducible, the irreducible components of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}$ are all conjugate and occur with the same multiplicity. So, in (2), the representations $\tau^\delta|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}$ are either disjoint or identical. We show they are disjoint.

Let Δ_K be the canonical ultrametric on $\mathcal{W}_K \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_K$. Let $\delta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, $\delta \neq 1$. By 3.5 Theorem (and recalling the definition (3.4.2)) we have

$$\Delta_K(\tau, \tau^\delta) = \psi_{K/F}(z) > \psi_{K/F}(a) = a. \quad (9.3.3)$$

The representations $\tau^\delta|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}$, $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}$ are therefore disjoint, as asserted. \square

Remark. Relation (9.3.3) implies that τ and τ^δ are disjoint on $\mathcal{R}_F(z)$.

We proceed by induction on the integer $w_\sigma(a)$. Suppose first that $w_\sigma(a) = p$, whence $w_\tau(a) = 1$. We prove the proposition with $E_1 = K$ and $\sigma_1 = \tau$. As a is not a jump of Σ_τ (giving point (4)), we have that τ is irreducible on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(a) = \mathcal{R}_K^+(a)$. It follows that $D(\tau)$ has no element of Swan exponent a . The conjugates τ^δ , $\delta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, are disjoint on $\mathcal{R}_K^+(a)$, by the lemma. Consequently, $D_a(\sigma)$ has order $p = w_\sigma(a)$. The point $\psi_{K/F}(z)$ is not a jump of Σ_τ , by 3.4 Theorem again. It follows that $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_F(z)}$ is a multiple of a character. Thus

$$\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(z)} = \sum_{\delta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \tau^\delta|_{\mathcal{R}_F(z)}$$

is a sum of characters. Since z is a jump of Ψ_σ , these characters cannot all be the same: they fall into p distinct orbits under \mathcal{W}_F . Assertion (5) follows, and the proof is complete in the case $w_\sigma(a) = p$.

Suppose next that $w_\sigma(a)$ is divisible by p^2 . In particular, τ is not a character. Inductively, we may assume that the result holds for the representation $\tau \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_K^{\text{awr}}$. For convenience, we expand this assumption and fix some notation.

INDUCTIVE HYPOTHESIS. Let E/K be class field to the group $D_a(\tau)$. Let $\zeta \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_E^{\text{awr}}$ satisfy $\text{Ind}_{E/K} \zeta = \tau$.

- (1) The group $D_a(\tau)$ is elementary abelian of order $w_\tau(a)$.
- (2) The group $\mathcal{R}_K^+(a)$ is contained in \mathcal{W}_E and $\mathcal{W}_K = \mathcal{R}_K(a)\mathcal{W}_E$.
- (3) In the expansion

$$\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_K^+(a)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(E/K)} \zeta^\gamma|_{\mathcal{R}_K^+(a)}, \quad (9.3.4)$$

the terms $\zeta^\gamma|_{\mathcal{R}_K^+(a)}$, $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(E/K)$, are distinct and irreducible. The \mathcal{W}_K -stabilizer of $\zeta|_{\mathcal{R}_K^+(z)}$ is \mathcal{W}_E .

- (4) The jumps of Ψ_ζ are $\psi_{E/K}(k)$, as k ranges over the jumps of Ψ_τ , $k \neq a, \psi_{K/F}(z)$. Indeed, $w_\tau(y) = w_\zeta(\psi_{E/K}(y))$, for $y \neq a, \psi_{K/F}(z)$.
- (5) The restriction of τ to $\mathcal{R}_F(z) = \mathcal{R}_K(\psi_{K/F}(z))$ is a direct sum of characters ξ . The \mathcal{W}_K -stabilizer of any such ξ is \mathcal{W}_E .

We prove that E/F is class field to $D_a(\sigma)$. Each of the functions $\psi_{K/F}$, $\psi_{E/K}$ has a unique jump, lying at a . The same therefore applies to $\psi_{E/F}$. The field E appears as a subfield of the centric field of σ , so E/F is absolutely wild. As $\psi_{E/F}$ has a unique jump, lying at a , the case $k = 1$ of 1.9 Corollary 1 implies that E/F is elementary abelian and so every element ϕ of $D_{(1)}(E|K)$ (in the notation of 1.9 Proposition) extends to a character $\tilde{\phi}$ of \mathcal{W}_F lying in $D_{(1)}(E|F)$. We have $\tilde{\phi} \otimes \sigma = \text{Ind}_{K/F} \phi \otimes \tau = \text{Ind}_{K/F} \tau = \sigma$. That is, $\tilde{\phi} \in D_a(\sigma)$, whence $D_a(\sigma) = D_{(1)}(E|F)$ and this group has order $pw_\tau(a) = w_\sigma(a)$.

We have proved part (1) of the proposition, with $E_1 = E$. Part (2) follows from the relation $\psi_{E/F}(a) = a$. The lemma applies equally here, so the irreducible representations

$$\zeta^{\gamma\delta}|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(a)}, \quad \gamma \in \text{Gal}(E/K), \delta \in \text{Gal}(K/F),$$

are disjoint. Part (3) of the proposition now follows by induction.

Part (4) of the proposition follows directly from part (4) of the inductive hypothesis. It remains to prove part (5). By part (5) of the inductive hypothesis, $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(z)}$ is a sum of characters. The representations τ^δ , $\delta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, are disjoint on $\mathcal{R}_F(z)$ by the remark following (9.3.3). The result follows from the inductive hypothesis, with $E_1 = E$ and $\sigma_1 = \zeta$. \square

9.4 We prove 9.2 Theorem and its complements. We proceed by induction on the number of jumps of Ψ_σ .

Proof of Theorem. When Ψ_σ has at most one jump, there is nothing more to say. We therefore assume, in the notation of (9.2.1), that $s \geq 1$. We apply 9.3 Proposition to get a Galois extension E_1/F and a representation $\sigma_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{E_1}^{\text{awr}}$ such that $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{E_1/F} \sigma_1$. The extension E_1/F has a unique jump, lying at j_1 , so $\mathcal{R}_F^+(x) \subset \mathcal{W}_{E_1}$ for $x \geq j_1$. The function Ψ_{σ_1} has jumps at $\psi_{E_1/F}(j)$, where j ranges over all jumps of Ψ_σ , subject to $j \neq j_1, \bar{j}_1$.

Suppose the number of jumps to be even. Assume to start with that this number is 2, that is, $s = 1$. In 9.3 Proposition, the representation σ_1 is a character. The conjugates σ_1^γ , $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(E_1/F)$, agree on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(\bar{j}_1)$ but are distinct on $\mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_1)$. All assertions of the theorem follow readily in this case. We therefore assume that $s \geq 2$. By inductive hypothesis, $\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_s)}$ is a sum of characters, so the same applies to $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_s)}$. Part (1) is done in this case. The field $L = L_\xi$ appears as a subfield of the centric field of σ , so L/F is absolutely wild. The inductive hypothesis gives a character ρ_1 of \mathcal{W}_L which induces σ_1 . It follows that $\text{Ind}_{L/F} \rho_1 = \sigma$, and ρ_1 has the necessary properties relative to σ . This proves part (2) of the theorem when the number of jumps is even.

Suppose that the number of jumps is odd. Thus, by inductive hypothesis, $\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{R}_{E_1}(c_{\sigma_1})}$ is not a sum of characters, while $\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{R}_{E_1}^+(c_{\sigma_1})}$ is such. Since $\mathcal{R}_{E_1}(c_{\sigma_1}) = \mathcal{R}_F(\varphi_{E_1/F}(c_{\sigma_1}))$, the point $\varphi_{E_1/F}(c_{\sigma_1})$ is a jump of Ψ_σ . That is, $c_\sigma = \varphi_{E_1/F}(c_{\sigma_1})$ and we have proved part (1) of the theorem. Assertions (2)(a)–(c) now follow by induction, exactly as in the first case, on noting that $\dim \sigma_\xi = w_\sigma(c_\sigma)^{1/2}$ by 8.1 Proposition. \square

Proof of Complement 1. We follow 9.3 Proposition to write $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{E_1/F} \sigma_1$. That result also shows that $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_1)}$ is multiplicity-free. For $2 \leq k \leq s$, the restriction $\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_k)}$ is multiplicity-free by the inductive hypothesis. The relation $w_{\sigma_1}(\psi_{E_1/F}(j_k)) = w_\sigma(j_k)$ shows that $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_k)}$ is multiplicity-free, and we have proved part (1).

The first assertion of (2) follows from part (1) of the theorem. Since \bar{j}_1 is the last jump of Ψ_σ , the restriction $\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_1)}$ is a multiple of a character while $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_1)}$ is a direct sum of characters. The number of isotypic components in $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(\bar{j}_1)}$ is $w_\sigma(\bar{j}_1) = w_\sigma(j_1) = [E_1:F]$, by 9.3 Proposition, whence the result follows. \square

Proof of Complement 2. Recall that E_1/F was defined in 9.3 as class field to the group $D_{j_1}(\sigma)$ of characters χ of \mathcal{W}_F such that $\chi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$ and $\text{sw}(\chi) \leq j_1$. Thus E_1/F is Galois and, by 9.3 Proposition (3), \mathcal{W}_{E_1} is the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of any irreducible component of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(j_1)}$. In the first instance, we may therefore choose the extension $L = L_\xi/F$ of the theorem, within its conjugacy class, so that $E_1 \subset L_\xi$. Since all choices of ξ are \mathcal{W}_F -conjugate and E_1/F is Galois, we have $E_1 \subset L_\xi$ for all ξ . That is, $E_1 \subset L$.

Because of the relation $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{L/F} \rho_\xi$, a character ϕ of \mathcal{W}_F with $\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_L}$ trivial must satisfy $\phi \otimes \sigma \cong \sigma$. The definition of E_1 in 9.3 implies that j_1 is the least jump of $\psi_{L/F}$. By 1.9 Proposition (3), E_1/F is the first step in the elementary resolution of L/F . Parts (a) and (c) of Complement 2 now follow by induction.

In the proof of the theorem, we showed that $c_{\sigma_1} = \psi_{E_1/F}(c_\sigma)$. From 3.4 Theorem we conclude that the jumps of Ψ_{σ_1} are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{E_1/F}(j_2) &< \psi_{E_1/F}(j_3) < \cdots < \psi_{E_1/F}(j_s) \\ &< (\psi_{E_1/F}(c_{\sigma_1})) < \psi_{E_1/F}(\bar{j}_s) < \cdots < \psi_{E_1/F}(\bar{j}_2), \end{aligned}$$

with the same convention regarding the central entry in the list. Moreover,

$$w_{\sigma_1}(\psi_{E_1/F}(j_k)) = w_\sigma(j_k), \quad 2 \leq k \leq s, \quad (9.4.1)$$

and similarly relative to the central jump. Let $w_1 = w_\sigma(j_1)$, so that $w_1 = [E_1 : F]$. The functions $\Psi_\sigma(x)$, $w_1^{-1}\Psi_{\sigma_1}(\psi_{E_1/F}(x))$ have the same jumps in the region $0 \leq x \leq c_\sigma$. The heights (9.1) of these jumps are the same, and the functions agree on a region $0 \leq x < \varepsilon$. We conclude by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\sigma(x) &= w_1^{-1}\Psi_{\sigma_1}(\psi_{E_1/F}(x)) \\ &= p^{-r}\psi_{L/F}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq c_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

This proves part (b). \square

9.5 We extend the results of 9.2 to representations of Carayol type that are totally, but not necessarily absolutely, wild. The notational conventions of 9.1, 9.2 remain in force.

COROLLARY. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ be of Carayol type and dimension p^r , $r \geq 1$. Define c_σ by the equation $c_\sigma + \Psi_\sigma(c_\sigma) = \varsigma_\sigma$.*

(1) *The representation $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$ is a direct sum of characters.*

Let ξ be a character of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$ occurring in σ . Let \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} be the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of ξ and let σ_ξ be the natural representation of \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} on the ξ -isotypic subspace of $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$.

(2) *The representation σ_ξ is irreducible and $\text{Ind}_{L_\xi/F} \sigma_\xi = \sigma$. Moreover,*

(a) *$\dim \sigma_\xi = w_\sigma(c_\sigma)^{1/2}$, and*

(b) *if $\dim \sigma_\xi > 1$, then σ_ξ is totally wild, H -singular and of Carayol type.*

(3) *The field extension L_ξ/F is totally ramified of degree $p^r / \dim \sigma_\xi$ and*

$$\Psi_\sigma(x) = p^{-r}\psi_{L_\xi/F}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq c_\sigma. \quad (9.5.1)$$

Proof. Let $T = T_\sigma/F$ be the tame centric field of σ . Thus $\tau = \sigma | \mathcal{W}_T$ is absolutely wild of Carayol type. If $e = e(T|F)$, then $\Psi_\sigma(x) = \Psi_\tau(ex)/e$ and $\varsigma_\tau = e\varsigma_\sigma$, so $c_\tau = ec_\sigma$.

Consequently, $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma) = \mathcal{R}_T^+(c_\tau)$ and part (1) follows from part (1) of 9.2 Theorem. All choices of ξ are \mathcal{W}_F -conjugate so let us fix one and write $L_\xi = L$. The \mathcal{W}_T -stabilizer of ξ is $\mathcal{W}_T \cap \mathcal{W}_L = \mathcal{W}_{LT}$. The natural representation of \mathcal{W}_{LT} on the ξ -isotypic subspace of $\tau | \mathcal{R}_T^+(c_\tau)$ is $\sigma_\xi | \mathcal{W}_{LT}$, which is irreducible. It follows that σ_ξ is irreducible and has properties (2)(a), (2)(b). Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\sigma)$ is contained in \mathcal{W}_L and $\sigma_\xi | \mathcal{R}_F(c_\sigma)$ is irreducible.

The degree $[L:F]$ is the number of distinct characters occurring in the representation $\sigma | \mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma) = \tau | \mathcal{R}_T^+(c_\tau)$, so $[L:F] = [LT:T]$ and L/F is totally wildly ramified. Further,

$$\text{Ind}_{L/F} \sigma_\xi | \mathcal{W}_T = \text{Ind}_{LT/T} (\sigma_\xi | \mathcal{W}_{LT}).$$

This restriction is irreducible, whence $\text{Ind}_{L/F} \sigma_\xi$ is irreducible and equivalent to σ . Finally, for $0 \leq x \leq c_\sigma$,

$$\Psi_\sigma(x) = \Psi_\tau(ex)/e = p^{-r}\psi_{LT/T}(ex)/e = p^{-r}\psi_{L/F}(x),$$

by (2.2.3), 9.2 Complement 1 and 1.1 Lemma. \square

COMPLEMENT. If $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$, the assertions of 9.2 Complement 1 apply unchanged.

Proof. Take T/F , $e = e(T|F)$ and $\tau = \sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_T}$ as in the proof of the corollary. Thus $\mathcal{R}_F(x) = \mathcal{R}_T(ex)$, $\mathcal{R}_F^+(x) = \mathcal{R}_T^+(ex)$, for all $x > 0$. So, for $x > 0$, the decomposition structures of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F(x)}$ and $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(x)}$ are identical to those of $\mathcal{R}_T(ex)$ and $\tau|_{\mathcal{R}_T^+(ex)}$. \square

Remark. Let K/F be a finite tame extension and set $e = e(K|F)$. We may view ξ as a character of $\mathcal{R}_K^+(ec_\sigma) = \mathcal{R}_K^+(c_{\sigma_K})$, where $\sigma_K = \sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_K}$. The arguments in the proof of 9.5 Corollary show that $L_{\sigma_K, \xi} = KL_{\sigma, \xi}$, in the obvious notation.

9.6 We continue with the notation of 9.5 Corollary, and look into the structure of the inducing representation σ_ξ . This is in preparation for a more detailed discussion in the next section.

DEFINITION. Let $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ be the centric field of the representation $\sigma_\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{L_\xi}^{\text{wr}}$.

The extension $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ is Galois and $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/F$ is uniquely determined by σ , up to conjugation in \mathcal{W}_F .

PROPOSITION. Suppose σ is absolutely wildly ramified. The extension $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ is totally ramified and elementary abelian of degree $(\dim \sigma_\xi)^2$. If $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi} \neq L_\xi$, the extension $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ has a unique ramification jump, lying at $\psi_{L_\xi/F}(c_\sigma)$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma) \subset \mathcal{W}_{\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}}$.

Proof. All assertions are trivial if σ_ξ is a character, so assume otherwise. By 9.2 Theorem, the representation σ_ξ of \mathcal{W}_{L_ξ} is absolutely wild and H-singular. Thus $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ is totally ramified and elementary abelian of degree $(\dim \sigma_\xi)^2$. By 8.1 Proposition, it is class field to the character group $D(\sigma_\xi)$. The unique ramification jump of σ_ξ lies at $\psi_{L_\xi/F}(c_\sigma)$ (9.2 Theorem again), so every non-trivial element of $D(\sigma_\xi)$ has Swan exponent $\psi_{L_\xi/F}(c_\sigma)$ (8.3 Proposition). Therefore $\mathcal{W}_{\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}} \supset \mathcal{R}_{L_\xi}^+(\psi_{L_\xi/F}(c_\sigma)) = \mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\sigma)$. \square

In the general case $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$, the extension $\widetilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}/L_\xi$ is not totally wildly ramified. We recall the standard example.

EXAMPLE. For this example, we adhere to the classical framework of the exposition in [BH06, § 41]. Take $p = 2$, and let $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F$ be *primitive* of dimension 2. The representation σ is then totally ramified and H-singular. After twisting with a character, if necessary, we may assume that σ is of Carayol type. In terms of the preceding discussion, Ψ_σ has one jump and $L_\xi = F$. Using standard notation for permutation groups, $\bar{\sigma}(\mathcal{W}_F)$ is either A_4 (if F has a primitive cube root of unity) or S_4 (otherwise). The tame centric field T_σ/F is cyclic of degree 3 in the first case and, in the second, $\text{Gal}(T_\sigma/F) \cong S_3$.

9.7 As an application of the methods of this section, we return to the question posed in 8.2 Remark. If $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$, we use the notation $D(\sigma)$, $D^+(\sigma)$, $T_I(\sigma)$ introduced in § 8. In addition, $T(\sigma)$ shall be the tame centric field of σ .

APPLICATION. There exist a field F , of residual characteristic 2, and a representation $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ such that $T_I(\sigma) \neq T(\sigma)$. One may take σ to be of Carayol type and dimension 4.

Proof. Let F have residual characteristic 2. Let K/F be totally ramified of degree 4, such that $\psi_{K/F}$ has two jumps $a < b$, of which a is an odd integer. Replacing F by E and K/F by EK/E , where E/F is finite and tamely ramified, we may assume $b-a$ to be as large as necessary without affecting the parity of a .

Let m be a positive integer and define $c = c_m$ by the equation $4c + \psi_{K/F}(c) = m$.

LEMMA. *If $b-a$ is sufficiently large, one may choose m so that*

- (1) $a < c_m < b$,
- (2) $m \not\equiv 2a \pmod{3}$,
- (3) $m \equiv a+2 \pmod{4}$.

This is clear. Assume it has been done, and note that m is odd. We obtain

$$c = c_m = (m-2a)/6.$$

The bi-Herbrand function $\Psi = {}^2\Psi_{(K/F, m/4)}$ has three jumps, namely a , c and z , satisfying $a < c < z$. By 7.2 Corollary, there exists $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ such that $\Psi(x) = \Psi_\Theta(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq m/4$. Choose $\sigma \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ such that $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$. We show that σ has the desired properties.

Let $\phi \in D^+(\sigma)$, $\phi \neq 1$. The F -slope $\text{sl}_F(\phi)$ of ϕ , as in (8.3.1), can only take a value a, c, z (cf. [BH17, 8.1 Proposition]). Suppose $\text{sl}_F(\phi) = a$. The jump a has height 2, so there is only one possibility for ϕ . Since a is an integer, the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of $\phi|_{\mathcal{R}_F(a)}$ is of the form \mathcal{W}_E , where E/F is unramified. The character $\phi \in D^+(\sigma)$ is completely determined by its restriction to $\mathcal{R}_F(a)$, so \mathcal{W}_E is the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of ϕ . So, writing $\sigma_E = \sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_E}$, there exists a unique character $\tilde{\phi} \in D(\sigma_E)$ such that $\tilde{\phi}|_{\mathcal{P}_F} = \phi$ (8.2 Lemma). Thus $D_a(\sigma_E)$ has order 2.

Suppose next that $\text{sl}_F(\phi) = c = (m-2a)/6$. The conditions imposed on m imply $3c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. We conclude that there is no finite tame extension E/F for which ϕ extends to a character of \mathcal{W}_E . Finally, consider the case where $\text{sl}_F(\phi) = z$. By 3.5 Theorem, $z = (m-a)/4 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and the same conclusion holds. We have shown the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. *The group $D^+(\sigma)$ has order 2 and there is a finite unramified extension E/F such that every character $\phi \in D^+(\sigma)$ is fixed by \mathcal{W}_E . Further,*

- (1) $D(\sigma_E) = D_a(\sigma_E)$, where $\sigma_E = \sigma|_{\mathcal{W}_E}$ and
- (2) $T_I(\sigma)/F$ is unramified.

We now follow the procedure of 9.5 to choose a character ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c)$ occurring in $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c)}$. We set $L = L_\xi$ and $\tau = \sigma_\xi$. We have $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{L/F} \tau$. Since $\text{sw}(\sigma) = m$ and $w_{L/F} = a$, we get $\text{sw}(\tau) = m-2a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. The Herbrand function Ψ_τ has a unique jump, which lies at $(m-2a)/3$ (8.4 Proposition). It follows that $e(T_I(\tau)|L)$ is divisible by 3. This implies that $e(T(\sigma)|F)$ is divisible by 3, whence $T(\sigma) \neq T_I(\sigma)$. \square

Remark. The choice of $p = 2$ in the example is for simplicity only. There is nothing special about the case $p = 2$ in this context.

10. Parameter fields

Let $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ be a simple stratum in $M_{p^r}(F)$, $r \geq 1$, with the usual properties: $F[\alpha]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r and $m = -v_{F[\alpha]}(\alpha)$ is not divisible by p . Let

$$\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha) = \{\sigma \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}} : [\sigma]_0^+ \in {}^L\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|\}.$$

Observe that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ has dimension p^r .

If $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ and $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$, we have two determinations of Ψ_Θ , from 7.2 Theorem 2 and 9.5 Corollary, respectively. In 9.5 and 9.6 we attached to σ a tower of fields $F \subset L_\xi \subset \tilde{L}_{\sigma,\xi}$, given by a character ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$ occurring in σ . This configuration is determined by σ up to \mathcal{W}_F -conjugation. We now examine how it varies when Θ ranges over $\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$.

10.1 We fix notation for the rest of the section. With $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ as above, we abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_\alpha &= m/p^r, & w_\alpha &= w_{F[\alpha]/F}, \\ l_\alpha &= \max(0, m-w_\alpha), & \lambda_\alpha &= [l_\alpha/2]. \end{aligned} \quad (10.1.1)$$

By 7.2 Theorem 2, $\Psi_\Theta(x) = {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma_\alpha)}(x)$, for all $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ and $0 \leq x \leq \varsigma_\alpha$. We use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2\Psi_{(F[\alpha]/F, \varsigma_\alpha)} &= \Psi_\alpha, \\ c_\alpha + \Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) &= \varsigma_\alpha, \\ \Psi_\alpha(\epsilon_\alpha) &= \lambda_\alpha/p^r. \end{aligned} \quad (10.1.2)$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)$ be the subset ${}^L\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ of $\mathcal{W}_F \setminus \hat{\mathcal{P}}_F$. Every element of $\mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)$ is a singleton orbit, so we may treat such orbits as individual representations of \mathcal{P}_F . Restriction to \mathcal{P}_F gives a surjective map $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)$. Each fibre of this map is a principal homogeneous space over the group of tamely ramified characters of \mathcal{W}_F , as in [BH14b, 1.3 Proposition].

10.2 We give a relative characterization of the elements of $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ in terms of the ultrametric pairing Δ on $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_F$.

PROPOSITION. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ and $\tau \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$;
- (2) $\dim \tau \leq p^r$ and $\Delta(\sigma, \tau) \leq \epsilon_\alpha$;
- (3) $\dim \tau \leq p^r$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(\epsilon_\alpha)}(\sigma, \tau) \neq 0$.

Proof. We first work on the GL side.

LEMMA. *Let $\Theta \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{E}(F)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\Phi \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$;
- (2) $\deg \Phi \leq p^r$ and $\mathbb{A}(\Phi, \Theta) \leq \lambda_\alpha/p^r$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ have endo-class Θ . If $\Phi \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$, then $\deg \Phi = p^r$ and Φ is the endo-class of some $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. By definition, ϕ agrees with θ on $H^{1+\lambda_\alpha}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$, whence $\mathbb{A}(\Phi, \Theta) \leq \lambda_\alpha/p^r$. Thus (1) implies (2).

Assume (2) holds. Since $\mathbb{A}(\Phi, \Theta) \leq l_\alpha/2p^r < m/p^r$, we conclude that $\varsigma_\Phi = m/p^r$; this follows from the definition of \mathbb{A} . As $\deg \Phi \leq p^r$ and p does not divide m , so $\deg \Phi = p^r$ and Φ has a realization $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \beta)$, for a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \beta]$ in which $F[\beta]/F$ is totally ramified of degree p^r . The characters $\phi|_{H^{1+\lambda_\alpha}(\beta, \mathfrak{a})}$, $\theta|_{H^{1+\lambda_\alpha}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})}$ intertwine in $\text{GL}_{p^r}(F)$ by hypothesis. Since $\lambda_\alpha < m/2$, [BK93, (3.5.11) Theorem] allows us to replace ϕ by a conjugate to achieve $H^1(\beta, \mathfrak{a}) = H^1(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. The characters $\phi|_{H^{1+\lambda_\alpha}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})}$, $\theta|_{H^{1+\lambda_\alpha}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a})}$ intertwine and so are equal [BK93, (3.3.2)]. That is, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, as required. \square

In the proposition, the equivalence of (2) and (3) is the definition of Δ . Write $[\sigma]_0^+ = {}^L\Theta$, $[\tau]_0^+ = {}^L\Phi$. In particular, $\Theta \in \mathcal{E}^C(F)$ while $\Phi \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ is totally wild of degree at most p^r . We have $\Psi_\Theta(\Delta(\sigma, \tau)) = \mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Phi)$. The definition (10.1.2) shows that $\mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Phi) \leq \lambda_\alpha/p^r$ if and only if $\Delta(\sigma, \tau) \leq \epsilon_\alpha$. The proposition thus follows from the lemma. \square

Remark. In the lemma, the hypothesis $\deg \Phi \leq p^r$ is essential. For, the Density Lemma of [BH17, 5.3] shows that the set of values $\mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Phi)$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, is dense on the positive real axis. Indeed, the same proof shows that the set of $\mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Phi)$ is dense when Φ is confined to the set of totally wild endo-classes. In the proposition, the hypothesis $\dim \tau \leq p^r$ is likewise essential. Interpretation of the general case, with $\dim \tau$ unbounded, is the subject of [BH17, 6.5 Corollary].

10.3 Let $j_\infty(\alpha) = j_\infty(F[\alpha]|F)$ be the greatest jump of the function $\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}$.

DEFINITION. Say that $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ (or the element α) is \star -exceptional if $j_\infty(\alpha) = c_\alpha$, $l_\alpha > 0$ and $l_\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Otherwise, say that α is \star -ordinary.

Both exceptional and ordinary cases arise. If α is \star -exceptional, then Ψ_α has an odd number of jumps. Otherwise, both odd and even cases occur. We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM.

- (1) There is a character ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$ occurring in every representation $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. This condition determines ξ uniquely, up to \mathcal{W}_F -conjugation. In particular, each $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ determines the same conjugacy class of field extensions L_ξ/F .
- (2) Suppose that α is \star -ordinary but that Ψ_α has an odd number of jumps. There is an irreducible representation ρ_ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$ that contains ξ and occurs in every $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. This condition determines ρ_ξ uniquely, up to \mathcal{W}_F -conjugation.
- (3) If α is \star -ordinary, then $\tilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi} = \tilde{L}_{\tau, \xi}$, for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$.

Proof. We estimate the number c_α to get a more effective bound for the distance $\Delta(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$.

LEMMA 1. Write $j_\infty(\alpha) = j_\infty(F[\alpha]|F)$.

- (1) If $j_\infty(\alpha) \leq c_\alpha$, then $c_\alpha = (m+w_\alpha)/2p^r$ and $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) = l_\alpha/2p^r$.
- (2) If $j_\infty(\alpha) > c_\alpha$, then $c_\alpha < (m+w_\alpha)/2p^r$ and $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) > l_\alpha/2p^r \geq \lambda_\alpha/p^r$.

Proof. Suppose $j_\infty(\alpha) < c_\alpha$. The function Ψ_α then has an even number of jumps, its graph contains a non-empty open segment of the line $y = x - p^{-r}w_\alpha$, and $x = c_\alpha$ is the intersection of this line segment with $x+y = \varsigma_\alpha$ (4.2 Proposition). That is, $c_\alpha = (m+w_\alpha)/2p^r$ and so $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) = c_\alpha - p^{-r}w_\alpha = l_\alpha/2p^r$.

Suppose next that $j_\infty(\alpha) = c_\alpha$. Therefore $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) = p^{-r}\psi_{F[\alpha]/F}(c_\alpha) = c_\alpha - p^{-r}w_\alpha$. Thus $2c_\alpha - p^{-r}w_\alpha = \varsigma_\alpha$ whence $c_\alpha = (m+w_\alpha)/2p^r$ and $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) = l_\alpha/2p^r$ as desired.

In (2), the line $y = x - p^{-r}w_\alpha$ lies strictly below the graph $y = \Psi_\alpha(x)$, (cf. 1.6 Proposition, 4.2 Proposition), giving

$$\varsigma_\alpha - c_\alpha = \Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) > c_\alpha - p^{-r}w_\alpha,$$

and hence the first assertion. The three lines $y = l_\alpha/2p^r$, $y = x - p^{-r}w_\alpha$ and $x+y = \varsigma_\alpha$ all meet at $x = (m+w_\alpha)/2p^r$. As $(m+w_\alpha)/2p^r > c_\alpha$, we have $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) > l_\alpha/2p^r$. \square

LEMMA 2.

- (1) If $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ then $\Delta(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \leq c_\alpha$.
- (2) There exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ such that $\Delta(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = c_\alpha$ if and only if either
 - (a) $j_\infty(\alpha) < c_\alpha$ and l_α is even, or
 - (b) α is \star -exceptional.

Proof. By 10.2 Proposition,

$$\max\{\Delta(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : \sigma_i \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)\} = \epsilon_\alpha = \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_\alpha/p^r).$$

By Lemma 1 above, $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) \geq \lambda_\alpha/p^r$, whence $c_\alpha \geq \lambda_\alpha/p^r$. This proves (1). If $j_\infty(\alpha) > c_\alpha$, Lemma 1 gives $\epsilon_\alpha > c_\alpha$, so $\Delta(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) < c_\alpha$ in this case. If $j_\infty(\alpha) < c_\alpha$, Lemma 1 gives $c_\alpha = \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_\alpha/2p^r) \geq \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_\alpha/p^r)$, with equality if and only if l_α is even. This accounts for option (a) in case (2).

This leaves the case $j_\infty(\alpha) = c_\alpha$. If $l_\alpha \neq 0$, the same argument applies and gives option (b). It remains only to show that the conditions $j_\infty(\alpha) \leq c_\alpha$ and $l_\alpha = 0$ are incompatible.

Suppose these two conditions hold. We have $m \leq w_\alpha$ while, by Lemma 1, $c_\alpha = (m+w_\alpha)/2p^r$. Now 1.6 Corollary implies

$$c_\alpha = \frac{m+w_\alpha}{2p^r} \leq \frac{w_\alpha}{p^r} \leq \frac{p^r-1}{p^r} j_\infty(\alpha) < j_\infty(\alpha),$$

contrary to the hypothesis $j_\infty(\alpha) \leq c_\alpha$. □

We prove the theorem. In part (1), choose $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ and apply 9.5 Corollary. In the notation of that result, $\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)}$ is a direct sum of \mathcal{W}_F -conjugate characters ξ . If $\tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, Lemma 2 gives $\Delta(\sigma, \tau) \leq c_\alpha$ whence any such ξ occurs in τ . The uniqueness property follows by symmetry.

In part (2), take ξ as in part (1) and set $L = L_\xi$. By definition, \mathcal{W}_L is the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of ξ and we have $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{W}_L$. Let σ_ξ be the natural representation of \mathcal{W}_L on the ξ -isotypic subspace of σ . The $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$ -normalizer of the character ξ is $\mathcal{W}_L \cap \mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$, by the definition of L . So, the representation ρ_ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$, induced by $\sigma_\xi|_{\mathcal{W}_L \cap \mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)}$, is irreducible. If $\tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, Lemma 2 asserts that $\Delta(\sigma, \tau) < c_\alpha$, so ρ_ξ also occurs in τ . The representation ρ_ξ therefore has the required properties.

Part (3) is trivial if Ψ_α has an even number of jumps, as then $\tilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi} = L_\xi$. Assume otherwise. In the same notation as in the proof of part (2), $\sigma_\xi|_{\mathcal{W}_L \cap \mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)}$ is the natural representation on the ξ -isotypic subspace of ρ_ξ . Consequently, if $\tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, the representations σ_ξ, τ_ξ agree and are irreducible on $\mathcal{W}_L \cap \mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$. Therefore $\tau_\xi \cong \chi \otimes \sigma_\xi$, for a character χ of \mathcal{W}_L trivial on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$, and so σ_ξ, τ_ξ define the same projective representation of \mathcal{W}_L . Their centric fields are therefore the same. This proves (3) and completes the proof of the theorem. □

10.4 We fix a character ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$, occurring in some, hence any, $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. Let \mathcal{W}_L be the \mathcal{W}_F -stabilizer of ξ . For $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, let σ_ξ denote the natural representation of \mathcal{W}_L on the ξ -isotypic subspace of σ .

LEMMA 1. If Δ_L denotes the canonical ultrametric pairing on $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_L$, then

$$\max\{\Delta_L(\sigma_\xi, \tau_\xi) : \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)\} = \lambda_\alpha. \quad (10.4.1)$$

Proof. By 10.2 Lemma, $\max\{\mathbb{A}(\Theta, \Phi) : \Theta, \Phi \in \|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|\} = \lambda_\alpha/p^r$. So

$$\max\{\Delta(\sigma, \tau) : \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)\} = \Psi_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_\alpha/p^r) = \varphi_{L/F}(\lambda_\alpha),$$

by (9.5.1). Relation (10.4.1) now follows from 1.4 Proposition. \square

Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and K/F a finite field extension. Let $\Gamma_k(K)$ be the group of characters of K^\times/U_K^{1+k} , sometimes viewed as characters of \mathcal{W}_K . Let $\Gamma_k^0(K)$ be the group of characters of U_K^1/U_K^{1+k} .

Let $\mathcal{H}(L, \xi)$ be the set of representations $\sigma_\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_L^{\text{wr}}$, for $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. The induction functor $\text{Ind}_{L/F}$ then gives a bijection $\mathcal{H}(L, \xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$.

PROPOSITION.

- (1) If $\chi \in \Gamma_{\lambda_\alpha}(L)$ and $\kappa \in \mathcal{H}(L, \xi)$, then $\chi \otimes \kappa \in \mathcal{H}(L, \xi)$.
- (2) If α is \star -ordinary, then the set $\mathcal{H}(L, \xi)$ is a principal homogeneous space over $\Gamma_{\lambda_\alpha}(L)$.

Proof. By definition, the character χ is trivial on $\mathcal{R}_L^+(\lambda_\alpha)$, so $\Delta_L(\kappa, \chi \otimes \kappa) \leq \lambda_\alpha$. The representation $\kappa^F = \text{Ind}_{L/F} \kappa$ is irreducible and lies in $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. If ρ is an irreducible component of $\text{Ind}_{L/F} \chi \otimes \kappa$, it follows that $\Delta(\kappa^F, \rho) \leq \phi_{L/F}(\lambda_\alpha) = \epsilon_\alpha$ and $\dim \rho \leq p^r$. From 10.2 Proposition we deduce that $\rho \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ and $\dim \rho = p^r$. That is, $\text{Ind}_{L/F} \chi \otimes \kappa = \rho$ is irreducible and lies in $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. Therefore, $\chi \otimes \kappa \in \mathcal{H}(L, \xi)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_0(L, \xi)$ be the set of equivalence classes of representations $\sigma_\xi | \mathcal{P}_L$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. Induction, from \mathcal{P}_L to \mathcal{P}_F , gives a bijection $\mathcal{H}_0(L, \xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)$. In the second part of the proposition, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}_0(L, \xi)$ is a principal homogeneous space over $\Gamma_{\lambda_\alpha}^0(L)$. The sets $\mathcal{H}_0(L, \xi)$, $\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ are in canonical bijection, and $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ visibly has exactly q^{λ_α} elements, where q is the cardinality of the residue field of F . This reduces us to showing that, if $\kappa \in \mathcal{H}_0(L, \xi)$ and $\chi \in \Gamma_{\lambda_\alpha}^0(L)$, $\chi \neq 1$, then $\chi \otimes \kappa \not\cong \kappa$.

If $j_\infty(\alpha) < c_\alpha$, the representation κ is a character, and the result is obvious. To deal with the other cases, we need the following general fact. Recall that $j_\infty(L|F)$ denotes the largest jump of $\psi_{L/F}$.

LEMMA 2. If Ψ_α has an odd number of jumps, that is, if $j_\infty(\alpha) \geq c_\alpha$, then $j_\infty(L|F) < c_\alpha$.

Proof. Let d^- (respectively, d^+) be the left (respectively, right) derivative of Ψ_α at c_α . Let $\dim \sigma_\xi = p^s = p^r/[L:F]$. The H-singular representation σ_ξ of \mathcal{W}_L is irreducible on $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha) \cap \mathcal{W}_L$, but is a sum of p^s copies of ξ on $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$ (which is contained in \mathcal{W}_L). Therefore $d^+/d^- = p^{2s}$. Symmetry (as in 3.1) implies that $d^+ = (d^-)^{-1}$, whence $d^- = p^{-s} = p^{-r}[L:F]$. So, if δ is small and positive, $\psi'_{L/F}(x) = [L:F]$ for $c_\alpha - \delta < x < c_\alpha$. It follows (cf. 1.6 Proposition) that $j_\infty(L|F) \leq c_\alpha - \delta < c_\alpha$, as required. \square

Suppose that $j_\infty(\alpha) = c_\alpha$ and l_α is odd, or that $j_\infty(\alpha) > c_\alpha$. In either case, the function Ψ_α has an odd number of jumps. It follows from Lemma 2 that $j_\infty(L|F) < c_\alpha$, so $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{W}_L$ by 1.9 Corollary 2. The restriction $\kappa | \mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$ is irreducible, since $\psi_{L/F}(c_\alpha)$ is the only jump of κ . If ρ is a representation of \mathcal{P}_L such that $\rho | \mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha) = \kappa | \mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$, there is a unique character ϕ of \mathcal{P}_L , trivial on $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$, such that $\rho = \phi \otimes \kappa$. By 10.3 Lemma 1, any $\chi \in \Gamma_{\lambda_\alpha}^0(L)$ is trivial on $\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha)$. The representations $\chi \otimes \kappa$ are therefore distinct, as χ ranges over $(U_L^1/U_L^{1+\lambda_\alpha})^\wedge$, and the proposition follows. \square

Remarks.

- (1) Suppose that α is \star -ordinary. The set $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$ then inherits the structure of principal homogeneous space over $\Gamma_{\lambda_\alpha}(L)$, via the bijection $\text{Ind}_{L/F} : \mathcal{H}(L, \xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$.
- (2) If α is \star -exceptional, there will, in many cases, exist non-trivial characters $\chi \in \Gamma_{\lambda_\alpha}(L)$ such that $\chi \otimes \sigma_\xi \cong \sigma_\xi$. This is incompatible with a principal homogeneous space structure.

10.5 We assume, in this subsection, that α is \star -exceptional. We fix a character ξ of $\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha)$ as in 10.3 Theorem and abbreviate $L = L_\xi$, $\tilde{L}_\sigma = \tilde{L}_{\sigma, \xi}$. Let T_σ/L be the maximal tame sub-extension of \tilde{L}_σ/L , and define the character group $D(\sigma_\xi)$ as in 8.2.

THEOREM. *Suppose that α is \star -exceptional.*

- (1) *If $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, then $T_\sigma = T_\tau$.*
- (2) *The integer $d = |D(\sigma_\xi)|$ is independent of the choice of $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. It satisfies $d^{1/2} \leq \dim \sigma_\xi = p^r/[L:F]$.*
- (3) *There are, at most, d distinct Galois extensions of the form \tilde{L}_σ/L , as σ ranges over $\mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. If p does not divide $[T_\sigma:L]$, there are exactly d such extensions.*

Proof. We gather some identities. First, $\Psi_\alpha(x) = p^{-r}\psi_{L/F}(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq c_\alpha$, by 9.5 Corollary. Since $j_\infty(\alpha) = c_\alpha$, 10.3 Lemma 1 gives $\Psi_\alpha(c_\alpha) = l_\alpha/2p^r$. Consequently,

$$\psi_{L/F}(c_\alpha) = l_\alpha/2. \quad (10.5.1)$$

In this situation, $j_\infty(\alpha) = c_\alpha > j_\infty(L|F)$ by 10.4 Lemma 2, so

$$\mathcal{R}_F(c_\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_L(\psi_{L/F}(c_\alpha)) = \mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2) \quad (10.5.2)$$

by 1.9 Corollary 2. Write $e_\sigma = e(T_\sigma|L)$, so that $\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2) = \mathcal{R}_{T_\sigma}(e_\sigma l_\alpha/2)$. The point $e_\sigma l_\alpha/2$ is the unique jump of $\tilde{L}_\sigma/T_\sigma$, so

$$\mathcal{R}_F^+(c_\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_L^+(l_\alpha/2) = \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{L}_\sigma}^+(e_\sigma l_\alpha/2), \quad (10.5.3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{T_\sigma} = \mathcal{W}_{\tilde{L}_\sigma} \mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2). \quad (10.5.4)$$

We prove part (1) of the theorem.

LEMMA 1. *If $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, then $T_\tau = T_\sigma$.*

Proof. By 8.2 Lemma and Proposition, the group \mathcal{W}_{T_σ} is the common \mathcal{W}_L -stabilizer of the elements of the character group $D^+(\sigma_\xi)$. Dualizing (via 8.1 Proposition), the group \mathcal{W}_{T_σ} is the \mathcal{W}_L -centralizer of σ_ξ ($\mathcal{R}_L(\psi_{L/F}(c_\alpha))$) modulo its centre (cf. 8.4 Corollary). This centre, we assert, is independent of σ . The pairing $(x, y) \mapsto \xi([x, y])$ defines an alternating form on the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)/\mathcal{R}_L^+(l_\alpha/2)$. Let R be the inverse image, in $\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)$, of the radical of this pairing. Since \mathcal{W}_L fixes ξ , it normalizes R . The image $\sigma_\xi(R)$ is the centre of $\sigma_\xi(\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2))$. Thus \mathcal{W}_{T_σ} is the \mathcal{W}_L -centralizer of the finite group $\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)/R$ and so is independent of σ . \square

In part (2) of the theorem, the integer $\dim \sigma_\xi = p^r/[L:F]$ is certainly independent of $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$. By 8.2 Lemma (1), the order of the group $D(\sigma_\xi)$ is the number of fixed points for the natural action of \mathcal{W}_L on $\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)/R$, in the notation of the proof of Lemma 1. It is therefore independent of σ and we have proved part (2) of the theorem.

In light of part (1), we abbreviate $T = T_\sigma$.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that $T = L$. For $\tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\tilde{L}_\tau = \tilde{L}_\sigma$;
- (2) there is a character χ of \mathcal{W}_L , trivial on $\mathcal{R}_L^+(l_\alpha/2)$, such that $\tau_\xi \cong \chi \otimes \sigma_\xi$.

Proof. Surely (2) implies (1), so suppose that (1) holds. The restrictions $\sigma'_\xi = \sigma_\xi|_{\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)}$, $\tau'_\xi = \tau_\xi|_{\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)}$ are irreducible, and each is a multiple of ξ on $\mathcal{R}_L^+(l_\alpha/2)$. On the group R (as in the proof of Lemma 1), each is a multiple of a character of R extending ξ . Consequently, there is a character ϕ_R of R , trivial on $\mathcal{R}_L^+(l_\alpha/2)$, such that $\tau_\xi|_R = \phi_R \otimes \sigma_\xi|_R$. The character ϕ_R extends to a character ϕ of $\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)$. For any such ϕ , we have $\tau'_\xi = \phi \otimes \sigma'_\xi$. The projective representations $\bar{\sigma}_\xi$, $\bar{\tau}_\xi$ defined by σ_ξ , τ_ξ are therefore identical on $\mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)$. Each of these projective representations has $\mathcal{W}_{\tilde{L}_\sigma} = \mathcal{W}_{\tilde{L}_\tau}$ in its kernel, so $\bar{\sigma}_\xi$, $\bar{\tau}_\xi$ are the same on the group $\mathcal{W}_L = \mathcal{W}_T = \mathcal{W}_{\tilde{L}_\sigma} \mathcal{R}_L(l_\alpha/2)$. That is, σ_ξ , τ_ξ are liftings to \mathcal{W}_L of the same projective representation $\bar{\sigma}_\xi$. It follows that $\tau_\xi \cong \chi \otimes \sigma_\xi$, for some character χ of \mathcal{W}_L trivial on $\mathcal{R}_L^+(l_\alpha/2)$. \square

In the case $T = L$, we have $D(\sigma_\xi) \subset \Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}(L)$, so Lemma 2 implies that the number of distinct fields \tilde{L}_σ/L , $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, is

$$|\Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}(L) \backslash \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)| = |\Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}^0(L) \backslash \mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)|.$$

The set $\mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)$ is in bijection with $\|\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)\|$, and so has $q^{l_\alpha/2} = |\Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}^0(L)|$ elements, while each element of $\mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)$ is fixed under twisting by exactly d elements of $\Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}^0(L)$. Therefore $|\Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}^0(L) \backslash \mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)| = d$, as required for part (3) of the theorem in this case.

Return to the general case and write $e = e(T|L)$. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, write $\sigma_\xi^T = \sigma_\xi|_{\mathcal{W}_T}$. Thus σ_ξ^T has centric field \tilde{L}_σ/T . For $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^*(\alpha)$, Lemma 2 shows that $\tilde{L}_\sigma = \tilde{L}_\tau$ if and only if there exists $\chi \in \Gamma_{el_\alpha/2}(T)$ such that $\tau_\xi^T = \chi \otimes \sigma_\xi^T$. So, if there exists $\phi \in \Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}(L)$ such that $\tau_\xi = \phi \otimes \sigma_\xi$, then $\tilde{L}_\tau = \tilde{L}_\sigma$. Counting as before, there are at most $d = |D(\sigma_\xi)|$ distinct fields \tilde{L}_σ , as σ ranges over $\mathcal{G}_0^*(\alpha)$. We have proved the first assertion of part (3) of the theorem.

In general, the relation $\tau_\xi^T = \chi \otimes \sigma_\xi^T$ implies $\chi/\chi^\gamma \in D(\sigma_\xi^T)$, for all $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(T/L)$. That is, χ defines a $\text{Gal}(T/L)$ -fixed point in $\Gamma_{el_\alpha/2}(T)/D(\sigma_\xi^T)$. If p does not divide $[T:L]$, this is equivalent to $\chi \in \Gamma_{l_\alpha/2}(L)/D(\sigma_\xi)$, since $D(\sigma_\xi)$ is the group of \mathcal{W}_L -fixed points in $D(\sigma_\xi^T)$ (8.2 Lemma). The final assertion follows. \square

Remark. There are indeed cases of p dividing $[T_\sigma:L]$ in the context of the theorem; we have already seen this in the example of 9.6.

10.6 For this subsection only, we assume that $p \neq 2$. We outline a mild variant to our approach, following Mœglin [Moe90]. It gives a simpler expression of the results, at the cost of a loss of generality.

Otherwise, we use the notation from the beginning of the section. Suppose that $l_\alpha > 0$. Define $\mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)$ to be the set of $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)$ satisfying

$$\theta(1+y) = \mu_M(\alpha(y - \tfrac{1}{2}y^2)), \quad y \in E, \quad v_E(y) \geq [(1+l_\alpha)/2]. \quad (10.6.1)$$

This expression does indeed define a character of $U_E^{[(1+l_\alpha)/2]}$. Surely $\mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)$ is not empty. It is equal to $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)$ when l_α is odd. Let $\|\mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)\|$ be the set of endo-classes of characters $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)$. In the case $l_\alpha = 0$, we may put $\mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathbf{a}, \alpha) = \mathcal{C}^*(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)$; remember that this set has only one element.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. There exists $\beta \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$ such that $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathfrak{a}, \beta)$.*

Proof. This follows readily from 7.1 Proposition. \square

Let $\mathcal{G}^\dagger(\alpha)$ be the set of $\sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_F^{\text{wr}}$ such that $[\sigma]_0^+ \in {}^L\|\mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)\|$. The advantage of this approach is encapsulated in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *For $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^\dagger(\alpha)$, one has $\Delta(\sigma, \tau) < c_\alpha$.*

This follows from 10.3 Lemma 2. Imitating the discussion in 10.4 and 10.5, using the same notation, we find the following result.

PROPOSITION.

- (1) *If $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}^\dagger(\alpha)$, then $\widetilde{L}_\tau = \widetilde{L}_\sigma$.*
- (2) *Let $\lambda'_\alpha = \max\{[(1+l_\alpha)/2]-1, 0\}$. The set $\mathcal{G}^\dagger(\alpha)$ is a principal homogeneous space over $\Gamma_{\lambda'_\alpha}(L)$.*

10.7 Explicit results concerning the local Langlands correspondence fall into three areas. For essentially tame representations (which have trivial Herbrand functions), complete results are given in [BH05a, BH05b, BH10]. A method for reducing to the totally wild case is worked out in [BH14b]. For totally wildly ramified representations, results are confined to a small number of old, but distinguished, papers. We briefly examine the relation between this paper and that historical context.

Leaving aside the peripheral case of [BH14a], the significant work concerns dimension p , in the context of proving the existence of the Langlands correspondence. The case $p = 2$ is in Kutzko [Kut80, Kut84] (as recounted in [BH06]), $p = 3$ is Henniart [Hen84] while $p \geq 5$ is Mœglin [Mœ90].

The keystone of Kutzko's work is the management of the case where, in the notation of the rest of the section, Ψ_α has a single jump. He proves that this is equivalent to $m \leq 3w_\alpha$ (as we noted in 6.2 Example). He identifies the field we called T_σ in 10.5: it is the splitting field of the polynomial $X^3 - \text{tr}(\alpha)X^2 + \det(\alpha)$ [BH06, 45.2 Theorem]. This approach is extended to odd p in [Mœ90, V.4 Proposition]. A similar 'universal polynomial' appears in [BH14a, 5.1 Theorem] for epipelagic representations, that is, those with Swan exponent 1 in arbitrary dimension p^r . These results anticipate the more general 10.5 Theorem (1).

Kutzko's construction of the Langlands correspondence has little to say about relating parameter fields $F[\alpha]$, L_ξ on the two sides. To define the correspondence, he relies on the Weil representation. That construction has remained resistant to further elucidation.

Mœglin's paper [Mœ90], for $p \geq 5$, goes significantly further in that respect. It builds on Kutzko and Moy [KM85] and Kutzko [Kut79] along with Carayol [Car84]. It also relies on a number of working hypotheses that have since been verified, notably:

- (1) characterization of the Langlands correspondence via local constants of pairs (see [Hen93]);
- (2) compatibility of Kazhdan's lift [Kaz84] and the Kutzko–Moy tame lift [KM85] with Arthur–Clozel base change [AC89] (see [HH95, BH99], respectively).

All of those cited papers assume F to be of characteristic zero. That restriction is removed in [HL10, HL11].

A feature of [Mœ90] is the treatment of the relation between parameter fields. To rearrange matters in accordance with the scheme here, we start with a simple stratum $[\mathfrak{a}, m, 0, \alpha]$ in $M_p(F)$

(as throughout) such that $m > w_\alpha$. Write $E = F[\alpha]$ and let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$. Let χ_θ be a character of E^\times agreeing with θ on U_E^1 . The representation $\sigma(\chi_\theta) = \text{Ind}_{E/F} \chi_\theta$ is then irreducible, totally wild and of Carayol type. If E/F is cyclic, then $\sigma(\chi_\theta)$ is absolutely wild. In this case, Mœglin shows that the set of representations $\sigma(\chi_\theta)$, for $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^\dagger(\mathfrak{a}, \alpha)$, is what we have called $\mathcal{G}^\dagger(\alpha)$. That is, the Langlands correspondence matches parameter fields.

In general, the problem of describing parameter fields for H-singular representations seems to be of a different order. In the case of epipelagic representations [BH14a] (where $m = 1$), the field $F[\alpha]$ is so ill-determined as to make the question meaningless without some qualification.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Both the transparency and accuracy of the exposition were greatly improved by detailed criticism from referees. We are extremely grateful for their efforts.

REFERENCES

- AC89 J. Arthur and L. Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 129 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989).
- Bus14 C. J. Bushnell, *Effective local Langlands correspondence*, in *Automorphic forms and Galois representations, Vol. 1*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, vol. 414, eds F. Diamond, P. L. Kassei and M. Kim (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014), 102–134.
- BH96 C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *Local tame lifting for $\text{GL}(N)$ I: Simple characters*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **83** (1996), 105–233.
- BH99 C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *Local tame lifting for $\text{GL}(n)$ II: Wildly ramified supercuspidals*, Astérisque **254** (1999).
- BH03 C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *Local tame lifting for $\text{GL}(n)$ IV: Simple characters and base change*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **87** (2003), 337–362.
- BH05a C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), 685–710.
- BH05b C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, II: Totally ramified representations*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), 979–1011.
- BH06 C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *The local Langlands conjecture for $\text{GL}(2)$* , Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 335 (Springer, Berlin, 2006).
- BH10 C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, III: The general case*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **101** (2010), 497–553.
- BH13 C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *Intertwining of simple characters in $\text{GL}(n)$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **17** (2013), 3977–3987.
- BH14a C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *Langlands parameters for epipelagic representations of GL_n* , Math. Ann. **358** (2014), 433–463.
- BH14b C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *To an effective local Langlands correspondence*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **231** (2014), no. 1087.
- BH17 C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *Higher ramification and the local Langlands correspondence*, Ann. of Math. (2) **185** (2017), 919–955.
- BHK98 C. J. Bushnell, G. Henniart and P. C. Kutzko, *Local Rankin-Selberg convolutions for GL_n : Explicit conductor formula*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), 703–730.
- BK93 C. J. Bushnell and P. C. Kutzko, *The admissible dual of $\text{GL}(N)$ via compact open subgroups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 129 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993).

- BK94 C. J. Bushnell and P. C. Kutzko, *Simple types in $GL(N)$: computing conjugacy classes*, Contemp. Math. **177** (1994), 107–135.
- Car84 H. Carayol, *Représentations cuspidales du groupe linéaire*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **17** (1984), 191–225.
- Del84 P. Deligne, *Les corps locaux de caractéristique p , limite de corps locaux de caractéristique 0. Appendice: théorie de la ramification, et fonctions de Herbrand, pour des extensions non galoisiennes*, in *Représentations des groupes réductifs sur un corps local* (Hermann, Paris, 1984), 150–157.
- Gor00 D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups* (AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2000).
- HT01 M. Harris and R. Taylor, *On the geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 151 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001).
- Hei96 V. Heiermann, *Sur l'espace des représentations irréductibles du groupe de Galois d'un corps local*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **323** (1996), 571–576.
- Hen80 G. Henniart, *Représentations du groupe de Weil d'un corps local*, Enseign. Math. Sér II **26** (1980), 155–172.
- Hen84 G. Henniart, *La Conjecture de Langlands pour $GL(3)$* , Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) **11–12** (1984).
- Hen93 G. Henniart, *Caractérisation de la correspondance de Langlands par les facteurs ε de paires*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), 339–350.
- Hen00 G. Henniart, *Une preuve simple des conjectures locales de Langlands pour GL_n sur un corps p -adique*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 439–455.
- HH95 G. Henniart and R. Herb, *Automorphic induction for $GL(n)$ (over local non-archimedean fields)*, Duke Math. J. **78** (1995), 131–192.
- HL10 G. Henniart and B. Lemaire, *Formules de caractères pour l'induction automorphe*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **645** (2010), 41–84.
- HL11 G. Henniart and B. Lemaire, *Changement de base et induction automorphe pour GL_n en caractéristique non nulle*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) **124** (2011).
- JPS83 H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and J. Shalika, *Rankin-Selberg convolutions*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 367–483.
- Kaz84 D. Kazhdan, *On lifting*, in *Lie group representations II*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1041 (Springer, New York, 1984), 209–249.
- Kut79 P. C. Kutzko, *The irreducible imprimitive local Galois representations of prime dimension*, J. Algebra **57** (1979), 101–110.
- Kut80 P. C. Kutzko, *The Langlands conjecture for GL_2 of a local field*, Ann. of Math. (2) **112** (1980), 381–412.
- Kut84 P. C. Kutzko, *The exceptional representations of GL_2* , Compos. Math. **51** (1984), 3–14.
- KM85 P. C. Kutzko and A. Moy, *On the local Langlands conjecture in prime dimension*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), 495–517.
- LRS93 G. Laumon, M. Rapoport and U. Stuhler, *\mathcal{D} -elliptic sheaves and the Langlands correspondence*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), 217–338.
- Moe90 C. Mœglin, *Sur la correspondance de Langlands-Kazhdan*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **69** (1990), 175–226.
- Sch13 P. Scholze, *The local Langlands correspondence for GL_n over p -adic fields*, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), 663–715.
- Ser68 J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux* (Hermann, Paris, 1968).
- Sha84 F. Shahidi, *Fourier transforms of intertwining operators and Plancherel measures for $GL(n)$* , Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 67–111.

- Zin88 E.-W. Zink, *U^1 -Konjugationsklassen in lokalen Divisionsalgebren*, Math. Nachr. **137** (1988), 283–320.
- Zin92 E.-W. Zink, *Irreducible polynomials over local fields and higher ramification theory in local Langlands theory*, Contemp. Math. **131** (1992 (part 2)), 529–563.

Colin J. Bushnell colin.bushnell@kcl.ac.uk

King's College London, Department of Mathematics, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK

Guy Henniart Guy.Henniart@math.u-psud.fr

Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France